ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY STATE INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE HEARING

Under The Inquiries Act 2013 In the matter of The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in State Care and in the Care of Faith-based Institutions **Royal Commission:** Judge Coral Shaw (Chair) Dr Anaru Erueti Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofivae Paul Gibson Julia Steenson Counsel: Mr Simon Mount QC, Ms Kerryn Beaton QC, Dr Allan Cooke, Ms Katherine Anderson, Ms Anne Toohey, Ms Tania Sharkey, Mr Michael Thomas, Ms Ruth Thomas, Ms Kathy Basire, Mr Winston McCarthy, Ms Julia Spelman, Ms Alice McCarthy and Ms Natalie Coates for the Royal Commission Ms Rachael Schmidt-McCleave, Mr Max Clarke-Parker, Ms Julia White for the Crown Ms Victoria Heine OC for the Office of the Children's Commissioner Ms Sally McKechnie for Te Rōpū Tautoko, the Catholic Bishops and congregational leaders Mr David Stone for the New Zealand State Abuse Survivors Charitable Trust Venue: Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry 414 Khyber Pass Road **AUCKLAND** Date: 19 August 2022

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

INDEX

EDUCATION REVIEW OFFICE	
Questioning by Ms Schmidt-McCleave	455
Questioning by Ms Sharkey	459
Questioning by Commissioners	514
TEACHING COUNCIL OF AOTEAROA NEW ZEALAND	
Questioning by Mr McMullan	522
Questioning by Ms Anderson	527
Questioning by Commissioners	558

15	Madam Chair, Commissioners, my name is Sam McMullan and I appear for the Teaching
16	Council of New Zealand. For those who can't see me, I'm a male of European descent with
17	dark hair and a dark suit. Here with me today, Madam Chair, Commissioners, is Lesley
18	Hoskin and Robyn Baker of the Teaching Council, and Madam Chair, if it's an appropriate
19	point I'll ask them to introduce themselves to the Commission.
20	CHAIR: First I'll take their affirmation and then we can release you.
21	TEACHING COUNCIL OF AOTEAROA NEW ZEALAND
22	LESLEY HOSKIN AND ROBYN BAKER (Affirmed)
23	QUESTIONING BY MR McMULLAN: Ms Hoskin, may we start with you; can you tell me
24	your full name?
25	MS HOSKIN: Lesley Anne Hoskin.
26	MR McMULLAN: Can you help by describing yourself for those who can't see you?
27	MS HOSKIN: I can. I'm a Pākehā female, who is in my early 50s. I have shoulder length dark
28	brown hair, I wear purple-framed glasses and today I am wearing a white shirt that is
29	gathered around the neckline.
30	MR McMULLAN: Thank you. The Commissioners have your brief of evidence, but have you
31	prepared a brief of that brief to help frame the evidence that you intend to give today?
32	MS HOSKIN: I have.
33	MR McMULLAN: Madam Chair, I can provide a written copy of that if you would like, in due
34	course, but I've discussed this with Ms Anderson.

MR McMULLAN: Tena koutou e ngā Kaikōmihana. Ko McMullan mō Matatū Aotearoa.

CHAIR: Yes, we'll take that later. Thank you.

- **MR McMULLAN:** Thank you. If you could start working through your pre-prepared statement?
- **MS HOSKIN:** E ngā iwi o motu nei, tēnā koutou katoa. Ko Tarikaka te maunga, ko Te

Kaiwharawhara te awa, ko te Moana o Raukawa te moana, he tangata Tiriti ahau. Nō Kānata ahau, engari kei te Whanganui a Tara e noho nei ahau. Ko au Te Tāhuhu Rangapū o

Matatū Aotearoa. Nō reira, tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou, tēnā tātou katoa. I want to begin by

acknowledging survivors of abuse by teachers. I particularly acknowledge those who have

had involvement with the processes of the Teaching Council or its predecessor whom we

have let down. I acknowledge that our processes have had a significant and ongoing

negative impact on some of you, and for that I am sorry.

The Teaching Council is an independent statutory body. By independent I mean it is not part of the public sector or what is termed the Crown. That means it is not a Government department or agency and it is not directed by the minister. The Teaching Council is governed by a council made up of 13 councillors, seven are elected by the teaching profession and six are appointed by the minister. Robyn Baker is one of the councillors and is the chair of the council. There are about 140,000 registered teachers in New Zealand today. Approximately 108,000 have a current practising certificate working in early childhood education, primary and secondary school.

At the Council, our responsibilities are limited to working with registered teachers, or those who hold a limited authority to teach. The Teaching Council's key areas of responsibility are raising the status of the profession, quality of teaching, and the safety of children and young people.

We undertake these responsibilities for setting the requirements for who can become a teacher and the requirements that allow them to stay teaching. In addition to the legislation, it is the code and standards that describe what it means to be a teacher, what they do and how they do it. The code and standards also provide the framework to determine if teachers are competent, of good character and fit to teach. We are funded by the teaching profession through fees and levies to do this work.

We hope the information we have given to the Commission demonstrates that, over time, there has been changes in societal and professional expectations, legal requirements, policies and practices and induction and training.

These changes have influenced how we perform our role and how we interact with those involved in our processes. Part of our commitment to doing better is trying to be as open as possible about where we have not done as well as we should. We acknowledge that for ourselves as a regulatory body and for the whole of the teaching profession. This is an ongoing journey with much yet to be learned and applied, and with a view to overcoming the impact of colonisation, learning how to give genuine effect to Te Tiriti o Waitangi, reflecting the ethnic diversity of Aotearoa, being proactive in the ways that we work with differently-abled people. Ultimately, respecting the mana of all and most important, keeping all learners safe.

I did want to take the opportunity to reiterate, I acknowledge survivors of abuse by teachers, particularly those who have been involved in our processes, where we have not taken appropriate care to ensure their safety and well-being.

The instances of harm that resulted are unacceptable. We recognise our processes have had a significant and ongoing negative impact on some individuals, and we wish to engage with survivors in a genuine and well-considered way. We have done and will continue to apologise to them. We want to make sure those apologies are meaningful and individualised and so what we say here today should be only seen as a part of that engagement.

MR McMULLAN: Kia ora, Ms Hoskin. I'll come back to you in just a moment with a bit more detail. But, Ms Baker, could I have you please introduce yourself and maybe give a description of yourself for those who can't see you?

MS BAKER: Thank you. My name is Robyn Jane Baker. I'm an older person, actually this morning ancient, apparently, which I will accept. I have blonde hair that was once natural and is no longer natural. I'm tall about 5 8 and I'm Pākehā.

MR McMULLAN: Kia ora, thank you. And have you also similarly prepared a synthesis of your brief of evidence for the Commission?

MS BAKER: I have. I have a short statement I'd like to make, thank you. I also want to acknowledge those survivors who have had involvement with the processes of the Teaching Council or its predecessors where we have not taken appropriate care to ensure your safety. Nothing that we wish to say this afternoon is intended to take away from the hurt and trauma that you have suffered.

I'm the Chair of the Teaching Council, and I have been involved in education for all of my career. Over the last 30 years, the Teaching Council and its predecessors have evolved from a body charged with registering and certificating teachers to one with a mandate that is fit-for-purpose for a professional body in the world of today. One very important aspect of our work today is the many ways we work collaboratively with others who are also working to ensure the safety of children and young people.

1	We are committed to ensuring safe and high-quality leadership, teaching and
2	learning for children and young people in early childhood settings and in schools. We
3	acknowledge that the best way to do this is to continue to improve our practices in light of
4	new knowledge in learning. We see our involvement in the Commission processes as an
5	opportunity to be held accountable, as well as to listen, share our thinking, and learn how
6	we might better improve to ensure the safety of all our learners in the future. Thank you.
7	MR McMULLAN: Kia ora Ms Baker. Ms Hoskin, I said I'd come back to you. Do you
8	understand one of the areas of interest from the Commission is likely to be the Teaching
9	Council's disciplinary processes?

MS HOSKIN: Yes, I do.

MR McMULLAN: Thank you. Similarly, for proficiency purposes, have you prepared a synthesis of your brief of evidence insofar as it relates to those processes?

MS HOSKIN: Yes, I have.

MR McMULLAN: Could you take us through that, please.

MS HOSKIN: The Teaching Council has several ways in which it can prevent or respond to abuse by teachers. This is primarily a gatekeeping role, controlling entry to the profession and thereafter controlling who may remain within it. I apprehend that our responsibility for maintaining professional standards and disciplining those who breach the code is of most interest to the Commission. It is therefore worth me explaining that function in a little more detail.

The professional disciplinary process is designed to work out whether a teacher should be permitted to continue teaching, and if so, under what conditions. The most severe penalty that the Council can apply to a teacher is to remove the teacher from the profession by cancelling their registration. Professional discipline is principally concerned with safety for learners and the reputation of the profession, including public perception. To do this work well, we need to receive good information, and over the past 30 years, the legislative and policy framework has improved the flow of information to us.

Today, information about serious breaches of the code comes from five main sources: a mandatory report where the law requires a teacher's employer to immediately report to the Teaching Council when it believes a teacher has committed a serious breach of the code of professional responsibility, or they resign, and a conduct issue was being managed within that last year. If they are dismissed for any reason, they must also send a mandatory report.

Serious misconduct is now described in detail in our rules and includes unjustified or unreasonable physical force, emotional abuse that causes harm to a young person, and neglecting a young person.

The second way is a complaint which can come from any member of the public where it is believed a teacher has committed a serious breach of the code. The Council can also raise an own motion if a concern has not been notified to us.

Teachers are required to self report convictions where an offence may have a penalty of more -- of imprisonment of more than three months. And the last way is information coming from other agencies that we work with. We receive information from the courts about convictions, including about specified offences as determined in the Children's Act where immediate cancellation of registration occurs; from Oranga Tamariki, the Ministry of Education and Police, where we have a memorandum of understanding.

Our investigation and determination of the complaints process are controlled by our empowering legislation and governing rules, along with general principles of natural justice. In this context, we work hard to take care of everyone involved with particular care for vulnerable witnesses, including those with disabilities. For example, in certain situations, we encourage victims to make their own complaint so that they obtain the rights of an initiator, which under the rules allows them to access more information throughout the process and this ensures they are not left out of the process.

The Complaints Assessment Committee, which is the body with responsibility for investigating and determining whether complaints should be referred to the Disciplinary Tribunal or not and the teacher are parties to the proceeding. The rules require the complaints assessment committees' process to be confidential. We also have developed the capacity to conduct all proceedings in accordance with tikanga Māori and in te reo Māori. We recognise there is more to be done to build a system that meets the needs of our most vulnerable, including survivors of abuse.

I note that work like the Commission's can support the work we are already doing ourselves to achieve this.

MR McMULLAN: Kia ora Ms Hoskin, Ms Baker, those are all the questions I have for you. If you could just remain there and answer any questions that Ms Anderson has for you or the Commissioners have for you.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr McMullan. Welcome back, Ms Anderson.

1	QUESTIONING BY MS ANDERSON: Thank you, Madam Chair. For those tuning in at this
2	time who can't see me, I am a, I would have to say, shorter of statute, older female lawyer
3	with blondish hair wearing green-rimmed glasses.
4	Kia ora and welcome. Are you happy for me to call you Robyn and Lesley?
5	MS HOSKIN: Kia ora thank you.
6	MS ANDERSON: Let's proceed on that basis. Just a little bit of orientation, in the first instance,
7	to the role of the Teaching Council and the overall regulatory scheme. And we heard
8	yesterday from the Secretary for Education, in that they've got the big picture stewardship
9	role and I think what you've outlined in your evidence is that the remit of the Council is
10	much narrower, not so much for the whole system, but am I right to characterise it, you've
11	got a very distinct role in relation to the profession operating within the overall educational
12	system?
13	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.
14	MS ANDERSON: So, clearly, you've got a leadership role, but that's a leadership role in relation
15	to the profession generally?
16	MS HOSKIN: Yes.
17	MS ANDERSON: That's evolved over time, hasn't it, because you now you issue guidance,
18	you've got a mandate in that space as well?
19	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.
20	MS ANDERSON: And, helpfully, you've outlined the disciplinary process, you've referred to the
21	Complaints Assessment Committee, and of course there's a Disciplinary Tribunal as well,
22	isn't there, in the mix?
23	MS HOSKIN: Yes.
24	MS ANDERSON: It's the tribunal that would ultimately make a decision to cancel registration?
25	MS HOSKIN: Yes. The determination for whether something has to go to the discipline tribunal
26	at the moment, and there is a law change, is if a matter may possibly be serious misconduct
27	then it must go to the discipline tribunal.
28	MS ANDERSON: So you're working in a landscape, if you've got an initiator, a survivor who's
29	come forward with a complaint, you might be initiating the Teaching Council processes,
30	but there might also be an employment matter going on at the school in relation to the same
31	incident that's given rise to the complaint; that might be right?
32	MS HOSKIN: That is true and I would add it's not unusual also for Police to be performing their
33	role.

1	MS ANDERSON: Yes, I was coming to that. So, as is often the case, three processes might be
2	initiated and then there's a question of sequencing and I think what you've said in your
3	evidence is that the disciplinary process would wait until the end of any Police process.
4	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.
5	MS ANDERSON: That, of course, can be quite a long time.
6	MS HOSKIN: That is true. I would, just for clarity, say that the school involved, so different
7	with historic abuse perhaps, but ordinarily that mandatory report is required immediately.
8	So they do have to give us that mandatory report immediately. We just then wait for the
9	outcome of the Police investigation before we do anything further.
10	MS ANDERSON: But there are some safeguards that can be put in place in the interim, aren't
11	there, in relation to because the fact that a person's charged doesn't mean of course that
12	they step aside from their employment, but there's some mechanisms that you've got in the
13	Teaching Council to make some interim arrangements?
14	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.
15	MS ANDERSON: Would you like to just outline those briefly for the Commissioners.
16	MS HOSKIN: Yes. So the rules have the ability for an interim suspension to be applied by the
17	Complaints Assessment Committee, and the process of how that might happen, which is
18	why I was drawing attention to the immediate requirement for a mandatory report, is so that
19	we can look and determine right at that very beginning point if there are any concerns or
20	risks to children. And if there are, our normal practice is to then ask the teacher to agree to
21	what we call an undertaking not to teach, so it's done by agreement, but it is based on the
22	construct in our rules called an interim suspension. If the agreement is not reached, the CIC
23	would then apply for interim suspension.
24	MS ANDERSON: That's a helpful clarification. And so your process pauses while the Police
25	matter is in train. Does your process pause because an employment matter is in train in the
26	school?
27	MS HOSKIN: No, it doesn't.
28	MS ANDERSON: So those two can run in parallel?
29	MS HOSKIN: Yes. I would just yes is the answer. I would just add that we would have
30	received the mandatory report. There is a requirement, with some exemptions, but there is
31	a requirement initially for the school to be able to be investigated and so we would always
32	ensure that the employer, so the board or the early childhood centre, might have their own
33	process of looking into that complaint, but that does not you know, we still want the

mandatory report immediately.

MS ANDERSON: Does that mean you get to rely for some of your evidence on what's happened
in that employment context, or the survivor has to participate potentially in both of those
processes?
MS HOSKIN: I understand the question. The employment matter is probably not something that
we would be that information is not necessarily relevant to us but we do get it. I think
often what is to answer you know, is this person going through multiple occasions of
having to tell their story? We do work with every agency, so the school, but also if Police
are involved we work with them to ensure that, where possible, we're not having to
re-traumatise and re-interview.
MS ANDERSON: That's a helpful orientation to the very crucial safety role that the Council
plays as a check in the system. I'm just going to come now to your statutory remit, so the
purpose of the Teaching Council, and just to help Commissioners and others, we're going to
call it up on the screen. It's from the notice to produce that the Council provided,
TG C 00002432. This of course will be a provision that both of you will be very familiar
with and which I think you've summarised in your evidence. Just coming up to page 9 of
the document
CHAIR: For those watching and in the back of the room, you won't see these documents because
they can't be properly redacted for privacy reasons. We can see it, the witness can see
it and counsel can, but counsel will read out any relevant portions so that you know what's
being discussed.
MS ANDERSON: We'll just call out where it says, under the second paragraph under 2.1:
"Our purpose is to ensure safe and high quality leadership, teaching and learning for
children and young people in early childhood, primary and secondary schooling and
English medium and Māori medium settings through raising the status of the profession."
I've got some questions for you just about that, about how you interpret that
purpose, because of course this is the touchstone for everything that you do in terms of all
the powers that you have. I'm not going to bring it up on the screen but in the briefing to
the incoming minister in 2020, one of the statements that you've articulated in that briefing
is that you say, "it's our duty to protect and grow teachers' mana" and that the Council's
legal purpose speaks of "an enhancing of the status of the profession."
And then you go on to explain that the mana-enhancing responsibility is seen as key

standing back and coming to this fresh, and you both work with this every day, it seems a

slightly complicated little purpose, that you've got things that you've got to do through

33

raising the status of the profession, and then we see through your briefing to the incoming minister and other documents, annual reports and things, that you've got a real focus on the enhancing the mana of the profession.

It would be very helpful for you to clarify how you link that enhancing the mana of the profession to this overall child safety element that is a key part of your work.

MS HOSKIN: So, mana, that authority and respect and ability to have self--determination, we have set mana at the heart of being able to be a good leader and having good leadership. It sits at the ability to have care for others and for self and for environment. So in the - to connect that, how we connect that and think about that in relation to the conduct matters is the public, the parent, the profession themselves to have a high--trust relationship and therefore the mandate to do their job, they do have to have trust.

And so when we're describing the work that we do, and I would say -- and I don't minimise or trivialise as all, but we deal with a few in the conduct space of the whole -- the importance of doing that and doing that well and getting the right outcome, so whether someone can stay in the profession or not is about the mana or what is described in the statement in law, about status. And the connection is do we do that well, that there can remain trust in those teachers who are -- have that core duty of care.

MS ANDERSON: Robyn, perhaps I'll come to you because you've recently come into this chair role, haven't you, in July this year, and I presume that this is one of the first things that you will have looked at as the sort of key piece of legislation that governs, sets out what the purpose of the council you chair is: does it leave any ambiguity for you about where child safety sits in this statutory purpose?

MS BAKER: Although I've come into this role recently, as I said, I've been in education for a very long time. I think that what's really important here is people, including myself, went into teaching because we have a strong, have had, and continue to have a strong belief in learning and that by providing opportunities for young people to build the skills and knowledge needed to thrive as people, personally and professionally, and contribute to the society that we want in Aotearoa New Zealand. That is what drives us. And I'm not avoiding the question about safety, but what I'm saying is we're driven by knowing that education matters. And in doing that, we inherently, as a profession, want our charges to be safe.

The status part, one of the things, as Lesley has said, it's really important that the public as a whole, so a lot of this is set, and this is where a professional body with responsibility to the profession and the public, I think that's really important. And one of

1	the things that's critical here is that we want the we need the public's trust in us, and so as
2	a profession you've got the work to do and part of that is knowing and being held to
3	account for a code of standards of what we expect of ourselves and each other, and also a
4	code of conduct about how we expect ourselves to behave and how we expect our
5	colleagues to behave.
6	MS ANDERSON: We'll come on and look at those two documents in a little bit more detail.
7	MS BAKER: Yeah. So I think that I know in this context we're talking about child safety in a
8	slightly different way and it really matters, but I don't think there's a conflict in the idea that
9	we had we aren't pushing the status of teaching as though in that traditional view of
10	putting them on a pedestal, we really want the public to value and appreciate the
11	importance of education and therefore the role of us as professionals in supporting their
12	young people.
13	MS ANDERSON: And, Lesley, you gave an outline of what you think is within the concept of
14	mana that you're talking about there. And I think we can probably agree, can't we, that the
15	mana of a teacher, of an individual teacher, must by definition be sustained in relation to
16	their services to the tamariki and the school, so we can agree on that.
17	MS HOSKIN: We can.
18	MS ANDERSON: Where harm to a child occurs by a teacher, or in fact even by a volunteer or
19	teacher's aide in the school, we'll come on and talk about those who are not registered and
20	under your remit, both the mana of the teacher and the child will be impacted by that
21	incident
22	MS HOSKIN: Yes.
23	MS ANDERSON: or that abuse. Do you think that the Teaching Council would do things
24	differently in relation to your gatekeeping role to the profession, and that's both entry and
25	during the lifetime of being a member of the profession, if the overall purpose in the
26	legislation was more squarely on enhancing the mana of the child and of the child's rights?
27	And I'll ask that question of each of you.
28	MS HOSKIN: So my the way that we think about the work that we do, because of course
29	education and teachers are there for learners and children. As a professional body and a
30	regulator of registered teachers, our contact and our engagement is with teachers. But we
31	do that very clearly understanding that the reason we do that is for the benefit of those
32	children and young people that they are working with every day.

1	MS ANDERSON: And so are you aligning that in the focus on enhancing the status or mana of
2	the profession, that there's a - and I'll say trickle down, it's not intended to be pejorative in
3	that sense, but there's a flowo-n impact for the children in the educational settings.
4	MS HOSKIN: That is what we are saying.
5	MS ANDERSON: But, overall, is it your view that you've got the right balance currently between
6	the emphasis on children's rights versus regulation of the profession?
7	MS HOSKIN: When you say "children's rights", are you thinking about our consideration of how
8	we're doing our work? We don't work directly with children at all. Could I give you an
9	example maybe of where I think that might fit? One of our roles is the requirements for
10	teaching education. We approve programmes and we monitor them. And certainly within
11	the design of those programmes which have been refreshed very recently, those
12	considerations of the child, those with disabilities, different cultural backgrounds, which
13	are and I know you'd said you'll come back to it, but they are set in the foundational
14	document of the code and the standards, is what we then built that up from.
15	So I don't mean to be to separate that, but we are very clear about what our role is
16	and how we can influence and our powers and we work to do that so that teachers can do
17	the job that they need to do.
18	MS ANDERSON: So you're working on the layer at the top that's got this benefit for children in
19	the educational settings?
20	MS HOSKIN: Yeah.
21	MS ANDERSON: And just also in the notice to produce, and again, we might not need to turn to
22	it, but just you were asked some questions about the commitment of the Teaching Council
23	to Te Tiriti and how giving effect to that in your work is manifest. So perhaps if you could
24	like to summarise in your own words, because what I took from the notice to the
25	response to the notice to produce is that as a Crown entity you don't see yourself
26	necessarily having obligations of the Crown but that you have incorporated that into parts
27	of the way that you work and the commitments that you've made.
28	MS HOSKIN: Just a technicality, we're not even a Crown entity, we are an independent statutory
29	body. But the answer would still be true for how you have characterised that. And what
30	I might say is in the work that we have done in giving effect to Te Tiriti o Waitangi we
31	worked with the profession. And it's the profession that gave us the mandate to do the

work that we have done, and I come back to those important documents, the code and the

standards, where it is articulated the expectation.

32

1	The profession wrote those themselves. We consulted on them and they approved
2	them and now they are legally binding. And so the difference perhaps of those Crown
3	agencies is that the profession, because we're an independent statutory body, are the ones
4	that are giving us our direction and mandate.
5	MS ANDERSON: And in terms of Te Tiriti, can you explain how you work within that frame and
6	the delivery of what it is that you're delivering for the teaching profession?
7	MS HOSKIN: So what we did was we took a view of the changing language in the law over time
8	from things like honouring and committing to now being "give effect". And so we have
9	looked at what practical things we might do that genuinely gives effect to those aspirations
10	or dreams of the tīpuna who were there at that time.
11	So maybe some examples of those practical things is the standards do articulate the
12	requirement and what we have done is we have pulled out one of the standards so that when
13	an endorser of a certificate, so often a principal will have to endorse the certificate of a
14	teacher every three years, we've asked a question specifically that says has this teacher
15	progressed in their knowledge and understanding of te reo, me Ngā tikanga Māori and it's a
16	check box "yes" or "no". If it's a "no" then we require a comment.
17	What we're doing is putting a spotlight on those things that should be done that will
18	start to enable us to better give effect to Te Tiriti. I might add to that
19	MS ANDERSON: When you say "us" do you mean society generally or do you mean the
20	Council?
21	MS HOSKIN: Sorry, I mean the profession, so that certificate point is when a teacher renews
22	their certificate to teach. Another example, if I could just add, is the work we've done.
23	And when I say "we" I mean us, the profession, on creating 'unteach racism'. That's an app
24	with lots of resources, it is for teachers, it is not a curriculum programme or anything, and it
25	is supporting teachers to reflect, to literally unteach what we know or thought we knew, and
26	then to learn how to teach in a way that gets the outcomes that we all want for all children.
27	MS ANDERSON: And I think we see this expressed in the code of professional responsibility,
28	don't we, so we'll turn to that now, which is TG C 00002440. And on page 10 of the
29	document, which you'll be very familiar with so I won't need to orientate you to it.
30	Actually, perhaps we'll start on page 4 and so we'll just wait for that to come up on the
31	screen so Commissioners can follow it. So this is "our" commitment, being the teaching
32	profession commitment.
33	MS HOSKIN: That's right.

MS ANDERSON: That's what's being expressed here. Just if we could call up at the bottom of the left-hand, the very last paragraph? And so in this code, this is what teachers are making a commitment to, "To honouring Te Tiriti o Waitangi and we understand that this has implications in all of our practice." We'll just call up the bottom half of the red, it's slightly difficult to read it, but -- so, again, we see the focus on being the professional body for the teachers and the last sentence:

"It means enabling changes to the system that improve the quality of teaching and educational leadership and doing so with the interests of children, young people and the public at heart."

I think when I was looking through all of your documents, this was the one place I found the concept of being the child being at the heart of what you're doing. And just turning over to beginning on page 10, running through so that Commissioners can see, the first code relates to commitment to the teaching profession, which includes demonstrating a high standard of professional behaviour and integrity, commitment to learners.

Perhaps we'll just call up that whole bottom commitment there, is "Promoting the well-being of learners and protecting them from harm, respecting professional boundaries and respecting diversity, affirming Māori learners as tāngata whenua and supporting their educational aspirations." So this commitment here clearly intended that the teaching profession is going to carry a commitment to give effect to the Treaty into the classroom.

MS HOSKIN: That's correct.

MS ANDERSON: Just so that Commissioners can see the third and the fourth commitment, page 12. So the code expresses a commitment to families and whānau, and a commitment to society, including again demonstrating a commitment to Te Tiriti o Waitangi.

24 MS HOSKIN: [Nods].

MS ANDERSON: So quite a strong emphasis in the code, and this is baked into the legislative scheme, isn't it, that teachers have to comply with this?

MS HOSKIN: That's true.

MS ANDERSON: And perhaps you could clarify for the Commissioners how you as a council go about being confident that all teachers are meeting these standards that are set out in the code. And I suppose the question is, is it purely reactive, you know, complaints come in the door and problems come in the door and that's when you identify perhaps that conduct hasn't met the standard, or is there some other methodology that you employ?

MS HOSKIN: To start at the end of your questions, the discipline processes where there may have been serious misconduct is where those processes kick in against the code. But the

code on a day-to-day basis of how a teacher behaves and does their job of causing learning to happen, this frames, as I call them, foundational documents. So they are day to day. There is a supplement document to that where there are examples where each of these commitments are shown in a light of "this is what it would look like if you were doing this well", and then the profession themselves says, "We want bottom lines as well", and so that example also has, "This is what it would look like if you are not meeting these expectations."

To go to your first part of your question, every teacher, to renew their practising certificate, has to engage in a professional growth cycle. We don't determine what the content of that is, that's for the teacher and the schools to do that, but what we do -- what we have done is set the requirement for the elements that need to be there. And so the legislation requires that to happen, so there has to be professional growth, and that those two foundational documents, the standards and the code, are where they would go to start to frame what growth of practice would look like.

MS ANDERSON: We'll come on and talk a little bit more later about that renewal process because there's a few thorns in how that might work as well, isn't there? It doesn't always work as intended. But I'll come on to that.

MS HOSKIN: I'm not quite sure what you're referring to but happy to discuss it.

MS ANDERSON: In terms of the code, I won't go through the standards, but they're a back-to-back arrangement are they, so clearly teachers have clarity as to the standards they're intended to meet. We heard yesterday and today about the role of the Education Review Office and reports on schools that might, for example, indicate that over time there's a repeated problem with the use of corporal punishment in a school, or other bullying, violence matters, cultural aspects within a school. Is there a relationship between the Teaching Council function and the information being revealed through those ERO reports that gives you -- is an activator for any steps that you might take in relation to a particular set of teachers in a particular setting?

MS HOSKIN: It can be, and it certainly does happen. What I would say is what we are doing at the moment, and it's because we've got another situation where it's working well, is we are working on a memorandum of understanding that, one, formalises that and, two, enables the Privacy Act still to be -- the intentions of those principles to be met but for information to be shared on a regular and ongoing basis.

MS ANDERSON: And is that perhaps a recognition that things haven't been quite working as well as they might have because you'd want to know if there was practice in a particular

1	school where it looked like the relevant standards expected of teachers weren't being met as
2	revealed through ERO reports?
3	MS HOSKIN: I think what we've identified is that it is an opportunity to improve. I'm not able to
4	recall anything that would have suggested we didn't know something that the ERO report
5	had found, but I'm sure that you know, I can't reference something but I'm sure that may
6	have happened.
7	MS ANDERSON: And sometimes, as you say, you might come into information and
8	arrangements, you might share that with ERO?
9	MS HOSKIN: If there was a concern for the safety of children, so rather than the competence of
10	teachers, if we had any concern for safety of children, we have the powers to act and to
11	move alongside with Police and Oranga Tamariki and with the Ministry of Education,
12	particularly in early childhood settings, and so we already have, and have had for a number
13	of years, a memorandum of understanding where regular meetings occur with those
14	agencies and information shared and it has nothing's perfect but it has actually proved to
15	be very effective in what you're describing what we might do with ERO.
16	CHAIR: Is that memorandum of understanding just with ERO or is it with other educational
17	agencies?
18	MS HOSKIN: It's not yet with ERO. What we are looking to do is to create that to see if that
19	strengthens the system. It is currently with the Teaching Council, Oranga Tamariki, Police
20	and the Ministry of Education.
21	CHAIR: So you have an existing MOU?
22	MS HOSKIN: That's right.
23	CHAIR: And you're thinking of adding ERO to that?
24	MS HOSKIN: Yes. We haven't got far enough through to determine do they just add into that
25	existing one or are there reasons that's not appropriate. And I would just add we're also
26	talking to the Education Payroll company for the same reason, they hold information about
27	where teachers where they're getting paid, means that's where they're working. So I don't
28	know if that answers your question.
29	CHAIR: That answers my question, thank you.
30	MS ANDERSON: Linked to that, I think you've been very clear that the way that you manage the
31	data is really on a teacher-by-teacher basis, isn't it, that if somebody comes to you and says
32	"We've got a concern about a particular school", what you know isn't able to be pulled
33	together currently and say, "Well, actually, there's a repeated pattern of problems of
34	misconduct, abuse of children" at this particular school.

MS HOSKIN: I've done a lot of thinking about this. Mainly, you know, was there a missed opportunity and should we look to do that now? And it's not that we haven't thought of it before. Historically, so what we were asked for through this process, was to organise our data around a particular school. In a day-to-day situation, it's not that that might not occur, and I think of -- I've got two examples in my head where there have proven to be multiple occurrences of abuse in one setting.

In the process of the investigation, and the discipline process, that is where we most commonly identify at that time, and I accept that not everybody might come forward, but that is where most commonly we would identify that potentially there was a, if you like, a failure in leadership, and potentially look to own motion other -- in fact, not potentially, we do; if we do find in investigating one matter other failings, potential or serious breaches, then we will own motion those teachers. And that includes things like knowing of abuse, and not completing that --

MS ANDERSON: We'll come on to that because that's partly the employers' mandatory reporting obligation as well, which is the front-end intended to get the Teaching Council attention rather than perhaps wait until the end of a disciplinary process to find out more.

MS HOSKIN: In sending a mandatory report?

MS ANDERSON: Yes. You've acknowledged in your written brief and in the statements that you've made this morning, and I'll use language perhaps that you haven't quite used but we'll see whether you can agree to it, that the process for survivors who are at the centre of a complaint against a teacher, a report of abuse against a teacher, that they haven't been dealt with in a trauma-informed way through the Teaching Council processes.

MS HOSKIN: I can agree with that if we're talking about matters that were considered in the past. I would say that if they were being considered today, and we are clearly, through this process and others, we are considering some today. I am confident we do that much better, and yet I know there will be more we can do.

MS ANDERSON: And presumably that's a matter of operational practice within your framework, it's not that adding a statutory element or a requirement for you to engage in that traumainformed way would change your current practice? Is that what you're saying, that you're already doing it?

MS HOSKIN: I am and I'm being careful because in other constructs of the law where my predecessors have operated that might not have the been the case, but today there is no reason why we can't take care of our vulnerable witnesses.

1	MS ANDERSON: We'll come on probably after the break to talk about somebody's experience of
2	the process which is no doubt one of the experiences that have helped shape the changes
3	that you've foreshadowed have been made. Just before we close for the break, so the
4	Commissioners and those listening are clear, there are the limits, the boundaries on where
5	you can't do go as a Teaching Council. So just to clarify, you don't have a role in relation
6	to non-registered teachers?
7	MS HOSKIN: That is correct, so you have to be qualified through a degree at a university or a
8	polytech, you get your training, your teaching qualification, and that enables you then to
9	apply to be registered, and there are criteria there. Those are what we call teachers, and
10	then there are also limited authority to teach, where we award, for limited times, as it
11	suggests, to either people with in short skill spaces or in supply pressures.
12	MS ANDERSON: And some of the documents that you've given to us, the Teaching Council has
13	had to write to a complainant, so you've had somebody come in with a complaint or a
14	notification that there's something of concern about a teacher's behaviour and you've had to
15	write back and say, "In fact, we can't do anything"?
16	MS HOSKIN: That is absolutely true. If they're not registered, we have no remit. Registered or
17	with a LAT, for technical
18	MS ANDERSON: It seems that your practice would be then to refer the person perhaps to go to
19	the Police?
20	MS HOSKIN: If that was appropriate, we look to ensure we can connect them to any other
21	support agencies, whether that's the Ministry of Education, Police, Oranga Tamariki, victim
22	support. We try not to just say "it's not us", in fact, we don't say it's not us, therefore find
23	your way through a system that can be quite hard to navigate. We do try and support that
24	connection.
25	MS ANDERSON: And some of the other aspects where you've got no remit and relate to the
26	boarding hostels attached to some schools because they're mostly not staffed by teachers,
27	are they?
28	MS HOSKIN: That is true.
29	MS ANDERSON: So your safety remit doesn't reach into that environment?
30	MS HOSKIN: No, it doesn't.
31	MS ANDERSON: And in terms of persons teaching when not registered when of course the law
32	requires them to be, if the Council received a report that there was perhaps a school that had
33	a large number of unregistered teachers, when the teachers should be registered in
34	accordance with the law, what are the steps that you would take?

1	MS HOSKIN: So we have a system where every fortnight we do a data match with our payroll
2	company to inform us if there are any teachers being paid, so therefore working, who don't
3	hold a certificate. So that's generally how we get advised of those situations. At that point
4	we write to the teacher or ring them to
5	MS ANDERSON: Can I just pause there; will that bring up everything? So, for example, if it's a
6	private school and they've got unregistered teachers in that private school, would the
7	system that you've just talked about, the data matching, would that help in that
8	MS HOSKIN: No, it doesn't, that's a good point, thank you. It doesn't cover early childhood and
9	it doesn't cover those situations where the Crown is not paying the salaries of teachers.
10	MS ANDERSON: And that's a bit of a gap?
11	MS HOSKIN: For this particular process, it doesn't work, but what I would suggest is, in a
12	regulatory environment or regulatory body such as ours, there are a number of checks and
13	balances and so if the teacher did come to renew their certificate, we would see there had
14	been a period of time that potentially that they had been teaching.
15	MS ANDERSON: And would you take so you've got no remit over unregistered teachers so is
16	there nothing much you can do in relation to those individuals?
17	MS HOSKIN: For unregistered, that's correct.
18	MS ANDERSON: So if we take the private school example, there might be a lot of people
19	teaching who should be trained teachers with a certificate but in fact they've got
20	unregistered people teaching, is that a situation where you don't have any levers, there's
21	nothing that you can do directly?
22	MS HOSKIN: That's correct. So if I think about the design of a school or even early childhood
23	centre, there are many different roles in addition to a registered teacher having engagement
24	with children. As you say, our ability and it's about because, if I could just maybe add
25	the clarity as to why that might be, because I hesitate to say it again, but we have a code
26	where we can hold people to account and that's where the discipline comes in. So they're
27	registered, they've signed up to the code, and if there are any serious breaches, then that is
28	where our discipline process can intervene.
29	For someone who is not a registered teacher, a teacher aide or someone else you
30	were thinking of, they're not part of the teaching profession and so that code doesn't apply.
31	I have no teeth, there's nothing I could actually do, it would be an employment matter.
32	MS ANDERSON: So, within the overall education system, whose responsibility would it be to
33	ensure that only registered teachers are teaching?

1	MS HOSKIN: So, ultimately, the board of trustees and the principal delegated I guess to the
2	principal who, in law, that person must ensure that their teachers have a current practising
3	certificate.
4	MS ANDERSON: And who would take action against the board to enforce that obligation? It's
5	obviously not the Council, I'm just interested as to who it might be.
6	MS HOSKIN: It's interesting. As of 1 August this year, just a month ago, this month, we sought
7	law change to enable us to prosecute in those situations. What we found mostly through
8	the data match was if we had someone who refused to renew their certificate but continued
9	to teach, it wasn't clear who should take action. So we wanted that clarified because it goes
0	to child safety. At the point of a renewal of a certificate a Police vet occurs and other
1	things.
12	MS ANDERSON: Just pause there just for a moment. That's because you've got a remit over
13	teachers and formerly registered teachers, isn't it?
4	MS HOSKIN: That's right.
15	MS ANDERSON: That's why you've got it in there, but in the scenario where they've never been
6	a registered teacher, and the board's employing them, my question is, and you might not be
17	able to answer it, is to it's clearly not in the Council's remit, who's the actor in the system
8	that would
9	MS HOSKIN: The principal. The principal would hold that responsibility to ensure, through the
20	core workers and Children's Act, they still have to have a Police vet, they still have to do
21	safety checks and those requirements are set and the responsibility falls to the principal.
22	MS ANDERSON: Yes, I understand completely as to what you've said, where the responsibility
23	sits at the board and/or principal level, my question is, who is the enforcer, the regulator in
24	the system to take any action if that board or principal has failed to fulfil their duties? It's
25	clearly not the Council.
26	MS HOSKIN: It's clearly not the Council and I would only suppose that it would be the Ministry
27	of Education.
28	MS ANDERSON: You might not you know but okay, thanks.
29	MS HOSKIN: No.
30	MS ANDERSON: Perhaps, Madam Chair, that's a convenient place to take a break?
31	CHAIR: Yes, we'll take 15 minutes and return shortly after quarter to.
32	Adjournment from 3.33 pm to 3.47 pm
33	CHAIR: Thank you, Ms Anderson.

MS ANDERSON: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Welcome back, Lesley and Robyn. We've got a sessions that's about an hour and a quarter before 5 and there are two major topics that I'm going to cover. One is a survivor's experience of bringing a complaint and some of the difficulties that the Teaching Council had under the legislative structure at the time included in that.

And then we'll come on and the last topic of the day will be in relation to mandatory reporting and there will be time for Commissioners' questions so that is the direction we're heading in.

I'm going to call up document TG C 0000011_00088. I think you'll be familiar with this document from the bundle. I'm just going to call up the first two paragraphs there.

Commissioners, to orientate you, this letter relates to a survivor whose experience we're going to look at in more detail and she's also got some questions that she's put forward, the survivor has, that will be discussed with Robyn and Lesley. This is a letter which has come from the Teaching Council to a particular school and it says, "Noting that the school has not applied for cancellation of the teacher's registration, and as a result the board", as it was at the time, "will not have jurisdiction to address this matter until such time as the teacher's practising certificate expires and a new application is made." That must have been quite frustrating for the Council of the day at the time.

MS HOSKIN: I can only imagine so.

1 2

MS ANDERSON: And so what we're seeing here is a reluctance of a school perhaps to notify the Council at the time in relation to what we can see from the paragraph 1 there, that it deals with a sexual relationship between a teacher and pupil, being at the most serious end of the misconduct scale. I think in your brief, Lesley, you outlined what you thought some of the reasons it might be that schools are reluctant to come forward and disclose a report, as they should, to the Council, reports of serious abuse like this.

Two of those had a cultural aspect; the first one was really that everything happens in secret and the barriers effectively to disclosure might be such that nobody outside the abuser and the person being abused has any knowledge. So it's confined to those individuals.

MS HOSKIN: That is my reflection particularly when this situation occurred.

MS ANDERSON: And then there may be cultural aspects in relation to the internal culture of the school where the behaviour is normalised, and there may also -- the other element you identified is that it's just not seen as something that should be reported outside of the school.

MS HOSKIN: I agree, and just for clarity, those are my reflections of the time.

1	CHAIR: I appreciate that there's been some redactions about date, are we allowed to know
2	roughly when this is this a contemporary matter or an historic matter?
3	MS ANDERSON: It's in the early 2000s.
4	CHAIR: That's helpful, that's all we need to know. Thank you.
5	MS ANDERSON: And this legislative gap has been fixed, hasn't it, where you couldn't really do
6	anything between the renewal process and the knowledge that had come to you?
7	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.
8	MS ANDERSON: So that's a gap that's been fixed. But in terms of the reporting obligation
9	today, the way that that gap has been fixed is through the mandatory reporting of serious
10	misconduct, is that right? Real-time reporting.
11	MS HOSKIN: Yes, that is the formal mechanism put in place to ensure the employer for a
12	mandatory reports immediately to the Council.
13	MS ANDERSON: And so looking at this through a survivor's eyes, they've come to you, to the
14	Council, they've disclosed a complaint, and then the survivor must have also needed to be
15	told, "Wee can't do anything because the school hasn't reported it"?
16	MS HOSKIN: Yes, and I can see in the evidence in fact how hard that Council worked at that
17	time to try and get the right outcome, and I'm sure all parties that were trying to achieve
18	that were frustrated.
19	MS ANDERSON: And the witness that we're talking about, Mrs N, you've read the statement, in
20	her supplementary statement, that she's provided?
21	MS HOSKIN: I have.
22	MS ANDERSON: I'll orientate the Commissioners and those who are listening to the scenario
23	before we go through some questions.
24	This was a student complaint to a faithbased school that her teacher had had sex
25	with her. Before the school board could determine the guilt of grave misconduct and
26	there's another narrative that I won't go into now about what that experience of that school
27	inquiry was for this particular survivor the teacher resigned and of course what that
28	meant was that the school then considered it didn't need to determine the misconduct
29	allegation.
30	And, Lesley, I think we can agree that's probably an accurate summary of it
31	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.
32	MS ANDERSON: of the situation? Then, as we've said, the survivor came to the Council and
33	then was informed that because the college itself had not sought cancellation of this

1	teacher's certificate, there was nothing the Council could do at that time. And the survivor
2	clearly found that quite a traumatic experience.
3	CHAIR: Did you not have an own motion ability at that stage?
4	MS HOSKIN: No, they did not.
5	MS ANDERSON: But this survivor was very determined to ensure that other people did not
6	experience abuse by this person and she fought very hard, didn't she, for the Council to
7	consider the registration issue?
8	MS HOSKIN: She absolutely did.
9	MS ANDERSON: And in terms of the internal process, if that complaint was received today,
10	I just digress a little bit from the complaint would come in, it would be triaged. If it was
11	over a certain level, which this certainly would have been, it would have been referred to
12	the Complaints Assessment Committee who would have done perhaps an initial
13	investigation or referred it directly to the Disciplinary Tribunal?
14	MS HOSKIN: And, importantly, we would have put in an undertaking not to teach and the Police
15	would also be if the Police were not already informed, then we would have informed
16	them.
17	MS ANDERSON: And is that regardless of whether the survivor wished to bring the Police into
18	the picture?
19	MS HOSKIN: I'm sure that would no.
20	MS ANDERSON: I think you would appreciate there are a lot of victims of sexual abuse who do
21	not find the police process comfortable to engage in?
22	MS HOSKIN: Yes. Absolutely. Should we be able to aid in connecting that process for them,
23	then we will do that. If they don't wish that, then obviously they need to maintain the self-
24	determination of that.
25	MS ANDERSON: And then in 2001, at this the stage the survivor was living off-shore, working
26	in another country, and she received notification saying that her case was to be heard by the
27	Council, but that she couldn't appear by CCTV and she needed to attend in person; that's
28	right, isn't it?
29	MS HOSKIN: That is the account of the witness.
30	MS ANDERSON: And so the survivor has left her off-shore job, come back to New Zealand, and
31	paying the cost of her own flights to return, but in fact the hearing was delayed for some
32	years after that point, wasn't it?

1	MS HOSKIN: Yes, it was further frustrated by the teacher going to an Ombudsman, to a Privacy
2	Commissioner, seeking court proceedings around that ability to voluntarily deregister to
3	avoid the process, and so the many years of delay were because of those processes mostly.
4	MS ANDERSON: Perhaps understandable processes but still very difficult, very, very difficult
5	from a survivor perspective?
6	MS HOSKIN: I can't even you know, I look at that in the role we have and imagining wanting
7	to do the right thing and I can see the organisation at the time did want to do the right thing
8	and not having the powers or finding the ability to be able to do that, I can't reconcile that
9	and I can only imagine for that survivor of abuse how traumatising that must have been.
10	MS ANDERSON: And it would be right, wouldn't it, that in fact those same other legal
11	mechanisms might today cause delay in one of the Council's processes relating to a
12	particular teacher?
13	MS HOSKIN: That is true. Other processes would cause a delay.
14	MS ANDERSON: And so three years after returning to New Zealand, the survivor has, from her
15	perspective having her case heard, but is it fair to say that perhaps she wasn't participating
16	in a process that was her case at that time, she was at the centre of something which was a
17	process about a teacher?
18	MS HOSKIN: That is correct.
19	MS ANDERSON: And we know, don't we, that she's described that experience of giving
20	evidence in person in that hearing as horrific.
21	MS HOSKIN: She has, and my review of that is I completely agree, can only have been horrific.
22	MS ANDERSON: And part of the reasons, one of the reasons she identifies for the experience of
23	being in that process which was only to ensure that other students were safe from that
24	person, was that she found herself in a panel of 20 in the room of teachers who had no
25	specific training.
26	MS HOSKIN: That's correct. So, because of the delays, the Teachers' Registration Board had
27	been disestablished, they'd become the Teachers' Council, we've had many names, and the
28	ability the law around a CAC and a DT was governed
29	MS ANDERSON: That's the Disciplinary Tribunal.
30	MS HOSKIN: Sorry, my apologies, the Complaints Assessment Committee and the Discipline
31	Tribunal were established and that 2002 law took effect in 2004. It was, in effect, the
32	governing council, the board that were the members of the Complaints Assessment
33	Committee and the Discipline Tribunal. So her reflections of teachers who were not skilled
34	to run that tribunal hearing, I think is an absolute fair reflection.

1	MS BAKER: May I just add something there, not in any way to I totally agree with what
2	Leslie's saying. I think in this role it was I think there were about nine councillors there
3	at the time, they were actually there as the governors of they were governors of the
4	Teachers' Council at the time. So although they came from a teaching background, they
5	were there in that moment to enact what they were able to under the legislation and they
6	were required to, as a whole group, listen, but they were working as governors in a very
7	different time, so I just think that's important to say.
8	MS ANDERSON: Because it's a very unusual aspect of a governance role, is to have an
9	operational disciplinary function, isn't it?
10	MS BAKER: Yes, it's just a slight nuance but I think it matters, because the people around the
11	table, in retrospect, didn't take a duty of care to the witness. At the time they would have
12	been looking at their role as governors to make the best decision about this teacher, and
13	given the evidence, they would have been putting all their focus on making sure that they
14	had sufficient evidence to make the right decision. So their eyes which you have picked
15	up, their eyes were on the teacher and the decision and not the witness.
16	MS ANDERSON: The Committee records, I think, of that clearly have at least 19 people in the
17	room, some of them lawyers, some of them, as you say, the governors, a stenographer, and
18	obviously the teacher.
19	MS BAKER: That's right. Yeah.
20	MS ANDERSON: And because the teacher represented himself, he was able to cross-examine the
21	survivor for quite a long period of time. That's what happened, isn't it?
22	MS HOSKIN: That is what happened.
23	MS ANDERSON: And she said that the Chair enabled irrelevant questions to be asked of her.
24	Now, we did try to we did ask you for a copy of the transcript and there's clearly a record
25	of that, the transcript from the hearing being delivered to the Teachers' Council, and you're
26	not now able to find it, are you?
27	MS HOSKIN: No, we're not and we have taken further steps to see if it was hidden among some
28	other papers, so we have exhausted our ability to try and find that. It is not with the other
29	evidence.
30	MS ANDERSON: And we'll come on later on to because the survivor's got some questions and
31	some natural concerns about who's got access to that.
32	MS HOSKIN: I understand.
33	MS ANDERSON: So I'm going to read out into the record, Commissioners, the survivor says:

"I felt physically sick during this experience, embarrassed having to reveal graphic details to strangers who were judging me and cross-examining me. I found it very traumatising for the content I was having to explain. I was crying and shaking and one teacher leaned over with tears in her eyes and said she hoped it would be over soon. But no one stopped him, the teacher asking me these questions."

So years later she's reflected on this and she said, "The teacher was able to continue his abuse of power over me through the Teaching Council hearing, sitting metres away from me, cross-examining and tormenting me for more than an hour. He asked irrelevant questions, such as whether I was stopped by Customs agents at the border when I was trying to leave the country for a holiday."

She says:

1 2

"The Chair allowed it, she allowed all his questions and in no way acted as a gate-keeper for my safety. She treated all his rights as though it was a court setting, but it was not a court setting. I was a victim and he was continuing to victimise me and she allowed it. She was clearly inexperienced and out of her depth."

Now, we know coming on from this, that she achieved the outcome that she wanted in terms of safety of other teachers¹ and that the decision of the Council was to deregister the teacher. You've indicated that you think the processes of a Disciplinary Tribunal hearing today would be a different experience for a survivor. I'm going to ask you to explain why you think that's the case, because when I look at the Teaching Council rules of the Disciplinary Tribunal process, in which the Complaints Assessment Committee acts as a prosecutor in the hearing, and the rules are very much as if it's a criminal trial process; so against that background, what would be different today for a survivor participating in a tribunal hearing? Would there be other modes of them giving evidence, do they need to be present? How would that operate?

MS HOSKIN: Before I go on, I can't not acknowledge and sincerely apologise for what happened there. It just should never have happened, and I can't continue until I say that.

MS ANDERSON: I think we're both very disturbed by it, aren't we?

MS HOSKIN: We are, and rightly so. I'm okay with that, these are terrible things that have happened to people who deserved the care and protection of the organisation.

¹ Point of clarification, Mrs N achieved the outcome that she wanted in terms of safety of other students.

1	So, to answer your question, they are not they are a quasi-judicial body and what
2	that means is that they are in effect set up like a courtroom and operating like a courtroom,
3	possibly not dissimilar to here today.
4	But what is very different is the things that sit outside of the rules, and the rules are
5	one part of what we must do, but they don't go far enough to how we must do it. Some of
6	the changes and some of the things why I am confident to say that that wouldn't happen
7	today, firstly, we have a very experienced Chair who is a lawyer, so more than seven years
8	experience, who knows things like
9	MS ANDERSON: Can I pause there for a moment, just a side question. I wasn't able to find on
10	your website who are the members of the Disciplinary Tribunal. Is there a public list of
11	who's on that tribunal?
12	MS HOSKIN: There can be, absolutely. From time to time we put it on the website, they change.
13	MS ANDERSON: It could have been operator error on my part, but I can certainly tell you it's
14	not intuitive to find out.
15	MS HOSKIN: No, and I will note that and take that away and rectify that. So the Chair is an
16	experienced lawyer who therefore is very clear around not only in our legislation and our
17	rules where the protection for witnesses and vulnerable people are determined, they are
18	also, I think, more skilled in the understanding of things like pre-conference hearings and
19	determining what safety requirements might be needed.
20	MS ANDERSON: Is that because they've had in- addition to their legal training, have those
21	people on that tribunal been given traumainformed learning? -
22	MS HOSKIN: That's correct. Our investigators who are working on behalf of the CIC and those
23	people on the Complaints Assessment Committee and the tribunal now participate in
24	trauma-informed practice and training.
25	MS ANDERSON: That's very recent, isn't it?
26	MS HOSKIN: It is quite recent. Another thing that happened was the Chair has written a practice
27	note in 2021 on how to work with vulnerable witnesses, so knowledge and understanding
28	of the need and then desire, ensuring the right kind of people are on those panels, so they
29	are populated with teachers still. There are many other things we have done like a learner
30	and whānau support process.
31	MS ANDERSON: Is that support for the survivor going through during the process, because
32	that's one of the aspects that wasn't afforded to this particular survivor. There was no
33	support provided to her
34	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.

1	MIS ANDERSON: for the actual process.
2	MS HOSKIN: That's correct, and I don't want to overstate it. The role that we perform there is to
3	ensure they understand the process, we encourage them in these sorts of situations to
4	become what we call the initiator, so to lay their own complaint because in law that enables
5	them to get more information at different points.
6	MS ANDERSON: Can you just unpack that for me a little bit? So they're not it's still the
7	Complaints Assessment Committee that's bringing effectively the charge against the
8	individual teacher and prosecuting it in the tribunal. So as the initiator, are you saying that
9	they have got more process rights?
0	MS HOSKIN: That's correct. So, described in our rules, they get the triage outcome, a CAC
1	decision letter, notice of referral if it was referred to the DT. So certainly not any of the
12	content that is protected for confidentiality reasons. But if they weren't an initiator, and by
13	that I mean the complainant, it's the parties to that proceeding remain the CAC and the DT.
4	And this is just through horrific experiences, but also just where I'm getting complaints
15	as the Chief Executive of people being frustrated by our process, where they feel left out of
6	it, they don't know what's happening and the way that's constructed means that without
17	being initiator, they cannot get that information.
8	MS ANDERSON: And that's a reflection, isn't it, that the process is not about resolving what the
9	survivor's bringing to the table, their motivation for coming forward, it's responding to, in
20	the gatekeeping sense, of should this person be in the profession or not, and that's the focus
21	So there's still probably quite a bit of the ship to turn there, isn't there, to ensure that the
22	process is more survivorfriendly, because not everyone might want to go through a
23	procedure where they have to become an initiator to participate in a at an enhanced
24	information level?
25	CHAIR: Could I ask, because I haven't looked it up, and I'm sorry for that, are these rules
26	statutory rules, are they in your statute?
27	MS HOSKIN: Yes, they are.
28	CHAIR: Or are they regulations attached to it?
29	MS HOSKIN: They are secondary legislation, they are the Teaching Council Rules 2016.
30	CHAIR: So they're rules? Sorry, what year were they?
31	MS HOSKIN: 2016, the most recent ones that we have. There were previous rules 2004 when
32	that first body so the Teachers' Registration Board, the first organisation, had no powers.
33	The next organisation, Teachers' Council, had some, and then lots of name changes, there's
34	an Education Council which predominantly has the same powers at the Teaching Council.

1	CHAIR: My short question really is: given they're just rules, albeit secondary legislation, it would
2	be within your the ambit of the Council to ask if they could be amended or changed or
3	rewritten; would that be right?
4	MS HOSKIN: Yes, and we are in the process of doing that very thing.
5	CHAIR: Perhaps in the light of some of these experiences?
6	MS HOSKIN: Undoubtedly, absolutely. We will and that is a commitment and certainly the
7	Council, the Chair, we are not missing this opportunity that happens to coincide with the
8	time where we are refreshing the rules. To your point, what do we need to ensure that that
9	witness or survivor of abuse, while protecting the proceeding and natural justice, what
10	needs to be done to ensure that they are looked after and cared for and able to get, I don't
11	know what we haven't done the work, but it's certainly a question on our mind.
12	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Sorry, counsel, so the Act, the primary statute is the Education and
13	Training Act? Yes, thank you.
14	MS HOSKIN: [Nods].
15	MS ANDERSON: In terms of recalibrating the rules, are you proposing to engage with survivor
16	advocacy groups so that you can develop with that collaborative approach to ensuring the
17	survivor's voice is part of the process?
18	MS HOSKIN: 100%. This is not an area that I think we could add our own thinking to and get it
19	right.
20	MS ANDERSON: At the end of the process here, the teacher's registration was cancelled, but
21	when somebody looks on the online registration for the teacher's name and sees that it says
22	"cancelled" or sometimes it might say "censured" or some other kind of very brief
23	annotation, how would any member of the public appreciate what might have happened to
24	cancel that person's registration, or from the Council perspective, do you think that the
25	world understands that that annotation means misconduct? That the public would interpret
26	it in that way?
27	MS HOSKIN: I don't think that, that they would that they would have the understanding to
28	necessarily interpret that. The purpose, the primary purpose for the register is employers do
29	need to ensure that someone has a current practising certificate, or if there are conditions,
30	what they are. It's another area we want to explore. Do we have the right level of
31	information there for the public interest component? We've taken, I guess, historically a
32	much more careful view in relation to confidentiality and privacy, but what I would say, for
33	the public, the Discipline Tribunal hearing is an open hearing and anyone can attend. And
34	in addition, the decision, the judgment, is published on our website.

1	MS ANDERSON: That's once it goes if it's gone through that process?
2	MS HOSKIN: Correct. But most likely it has, in instances where you're referring to, around
3	cancellation.
4	MS ANDERSON: Before we move on to the questions that Mrs N wants to ask, she's
5	characterised her experience as saying that the Council put her in a dangerous and
6	life-threatening position. And I just wonder what your reflections are on her sense of the
7	peril that she was in.
8	MS HOSKIN: Having read back through and reviewed that, all I can say is I fully accept her
9	view, her perspective, her position, and again, I wholeheartedly apologise for that, I accept
10	that that is how she would have felt.
11	MS ANDERSON: So, turning to some of the questions that Mrs N has for the Council, in terms
12	of the online register which identifies the person's teacher registration is cancelled, she's got
13	a question about how, if the person's got a job in a different industry, how would that
14	industry have any awareness of the severity of cancelling a teacher's registration?
15	MS HOSKIN: I think it comes back a little bit to what our role is, and the regulatory body to say
16	who can be a teacher, and if you stay, can you stay, or do you need to exit the profession.
17	So that role means that we are providing that information in relation to people working in
18	the education system. I'm not sure what other industry she might be referring to, but
19	certainly in relation to access to children and being a core worker, in those other industries
20	or those organisations, those criteria of Police vet and things would still stand.
21	MS ANDERSON: Does a Teaching Council cancellation, does that information flow across to
22	Police and would show up if somebody was doing a Police vet?
23	MS HOSKIN: A Police vet shows convictions or concerns by Police, so it wouldn't use that
24	language per se, but the cause perhaps of why that something of a specified offence and
25	things where there's convictions, they would appear on the Police vet, but it would not
26	connect it to also having been cancelled by the Teaching Council.
27	MS ANDERSON: For misconduct that might be sexual misconduct; so that information stays
28	over with the Council and never enters the Police sphere of awareness where there's been
29	no related criminal matter proceeded with?
30	MS HOSKIN: So I come back to your point. If the person who has been abused chooses not go
31	down the criminal process or path, we don't share that information.
32	CHAIR: This is a question for you. We spoke this morning with a witness, I mentioned the word
33	Police vetting and I was corrected, correctly, that, who was it, was it ERO? Was it Mr Pole
34	who said that, no, it's not vetting, there's another word for it which is wider than vetting

1	where the Police take into account things other than criminal convictions. This is not for
2	you, but it's something for us to look at, is what the scope of that wider suitability finding is
3	and whether it includes teacher deregistration.
4	MS ANDERSON: Yes, I think my point is it probably at this stage doesn't, which is an obvious
5	error for area for opportunity for greater information sharing.
6	CHAIR: Absolutely. I think we first need to know whether it is or not for sure, and then if it's
7	not, then obviously I think you'd agree with that?
8	MS HOSKIN: I would, in preparing to respond to the questions that were posed by Mrs N, I did
9	look into that and I can confirm that that is not the case, and Mr Pole's it's still a Police
10	vet, but, for example, we get different amounts of information at different levels of concern
11	because of the fact that we're looking to put that person in with children.
12	CHAIR: Thank you for that.
13	MS ANDERSON: Just returning to the topic of the transcripts, and Mrs N's got some very
14	specific questions around that. One of them was whether a copy of that had been provided
15	to the abuser, because obviously she never received a copy. Are you able to tell from the
16	records whether it was sent to the teacher who cross-examined her?
17	MS HOSKIN: I can't confirm or deny it. There's nothing that indicates to me that it did or did
18	not.
19	MS ANDERSON: So, again, you probably can't answer most of her questions about storage and
20	security, but perhaps what you can outline for Commissioners, because in all Disciplinary
21	Tribunal matters and even in the investigations and triaging stage, there will be highly
22	sensitive personal information disclosed. What is the security of that information? What
23	are your systems for ensuring the privacy of the individuals are protected?
24	MS HOSKIN: So at that time I would reflect that it was an entirely paper-based system that was
25	used, although the transcript may have had a digital recording process. The environment
26	we work in today is mostly in an online digital environment. We understand the duty of
27	care and I'm sure they did too. Clearly, some things go missing at times, but we do ensure
28	that that information is protected and safe and if it is in physical, because sometimes it is,
29	then in storage, it is stored in a secure offsite storage.
30	MS ANDERSON: So you're confident with the current practices, both in terms of document
31	retention issues that's occurred here, shouldn't arise in the future for others and also that
32	the -information only- those who really need to see it have access to it? -
33	MS HOSKIN: I would. I would just account for human error.

1	MS ANDERSON: In terms of Mrs N's question about why she had to sit in a court-like room in
2	person, what's your response to her question about why she had to participate in that way?
3	MS HOSKIN: So this is just me looking at it and imagining why that might be. I think it was set
4	up like a courtroom deliberately. I think the idea of a quasi-judicial body in proceedings,
5	I felt that from what I can see and actually experienced, this was not, sadly, an isolated
6	event in the way that the proceeding happened, of course, and at the point of change when
7	the Education Council was coming in, I did sit in and observe the very thing that was
8	described there, not of a witness being so terribly looked after, but certainly that very you
9	know, a courtroom, formal power, you know, displacement, being separated from support
10	people and whānau, and I can only imagine they felt that that was their ability to get to the
11	right decision and hold those things.
12	What I would say, and I will continue to say, is how we get there is just as important
13	as getting the right decision, and I think we agree, they got the right decision, the teacher
14	had his registration cancelled.
15	MS ANDERSON: After many years.
16	MS HOSKIN: After many years, but the process there, which we acknowledge, it was not - it was
17	just not satisfactory. She was not looked after, she was not wellprepared to for it, for
18	what would happen in there, what the possible outcomes
19	MS ANDERSON: Do you mean the Council process had not well prepared her, as opposed to
20	some fault of her own for not preparing herself?
21	MS HOSKIN: Absolutely not, no, totally looking at the responsibility of the organisation, where
22	today there'd be a written document that, you know, would be given to the witness to
23	ensure they understood what was happening, how it would happen. They would have a
24	contact person to be able to speak to about any questions or concerns that they might have.
25	MS ANDERSON: And in terms of her question around why the abusive teacher was actually
26	allowed to cross-examine her in person, can you comment on why that might have
27	happened at the time, as the first limb, and the second limb is whether that could still
28	happen today under the current regime?
29	MS HOSKIN: So reading back through the evidence and my knowledge of the process suggests
30	to me that what they were attempting to do was allow for natural justice for those questions
31	to be asked, not intervening and not ensuring and using all the provisions that should be
32	been
33	MS ANDERSON: Just on the question of whether the accused teacher can be the one asking
34	questions of the survivor?

I	MIS HOSKIN: So then it did nappen, and I'm imagining they left that that ability for those
2	questions to be asked, I don't think they realised that there could be another way and that
3	there should have been another way to protect the witness.
4	MS ANDERSON: But if a teacher today was facing a charge and representing themselves in a
5	tribunal hearing, could they in fact be in the same position where they're not represented by
6	counsel, they're representing themselves and conducting the hearing on their own behalf,
7	that they would get to ask questions of a survivor?
8	MS HOSKIN: Absolutely not.
9	MS ANDERSON: So that would go through the Chair?
0	MS HOSKIN: It would go through the Chair. The survivor of abuse would have options around
1	how that might work; they could be in the room with screens, they could use video, they
2	could be asked by somebody else, the Chair. It's well -understood that the need to protect
13	those vulnerable people and not allow, as she rightly describes, a continued environment of
4	abuse, that would not happen, that would be
15	MS ANDERSON: So that process of questions through the Chair to the survivor giving evidence
6	in the tribunal, which of course to support the Council's objective of only having fit and
17	proper people in the profession, does that apply also where a teacher is legally represented,
8	in the sense of do all questions at all times go through the Chair?
9	MS HOSKIN: That's right.
20	MS ANDERSON: So quite a different change in process over the years which I'm sure Mrs N
21	will be very pleased to hear, that others won't have that same experience.
22	MS HOSKIN: Yes. I hope she is reassured that that is not what happens today and would not
23	happen.
24	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Could I just confirm that the remedy is cancellation, there's no
25	rehabilitation or counselling or any other form of redress, today?
26	MS HOSKIN: For the teacher after cancellation or for that witness?
27	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: For the witness, yeah.
28	MS HOSKIN: No, there isn't any at this stage, and it is those are the types of things that we
29	need to consider how it might not be us, but how do we reassure ourselves that they have
30	been cared for through the process. At the moment, we can find little system design
31	opportunities to support them.
32	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: And does that include the advocacy support as well?
33	MS HOSKIN: It does.
34	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Yeah, okay. Thank you.

1	MS ANDERSON: Madam Chair, we'll move on from the survivor's, Mrs N's experience now, and
2	move into discussion, some questions, probably for about 15 minutes. Do Commissioners
3	think they might need 20 minutes or how long do you think?
4	CHAIR: We never know but we're getting better and better at confining things to the very
5	essential, so let's see where we go.
6	MS ANDERSON: I'll attempt to go for the next 10 minutes or so on mandatory reporting.
7	CHAIR: Okay.
8	MS ANDERSON: So, clearly, a very critical and central element of the safety regime for children
9	is the mandatory reporting regime. One of the documents in the bundle that I won't take
10	you to because I think if I describe it you'll recall the circumstances, so it relates to a
11	Complaints Assessment Committee decision last year to refer a matter to the Disciplinary
12	Tribunal relating to a principal's repeated endorsement of a teacher as being fit and proper.
13	MS HOSKIN: Yes.
14	MS ANDERSON: And so the circumstances, the facts that emerge in the Complaints Assessment
15	Committee document is clearly that there had been a report of abuse against a teacher in
16	2012, and there'd been subsequent certifications by the principal on two occasions after
17	that, that the relevant teacher was fit and proper.
18	MS HOSKIN: [Nods].
19	MS ANDERSON: So inherent in that circumstance, which has gone through a process, including
20	a Disciplinary Tribunal process, is the fact that the original report of abuse in 2012 was not
21	reported to the Council.
22	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.
23	MS ANDERSON: And there are other instances we've seen, I think, that that's not an isolated
24	incident in itself.
25	MS HOSKIN: That the mandatory report doesn't come to us?
26	MS ANDERSON: Yes.
27	MS HOSKIN: Most often, so certainly in the situation you're referring to where it wasn't known,
28	that is true. I would say I do believe that the process of mandatory reporting by schools is
29	well known and is well used, it's been in place for a number of years. There are of course
30	instances where it doesn't happen in a timely fashion.
31	MS ANDERSON: And there's also some evidence in the documents, isn't there, of quite lengthy
32	delays for information coming from the courts through the court registrar notifications of
33	convictions.

1	MS HOSKIN: Yes. There are, I think, two examples there, and again I would say, on the whole,
2	since that was put in place in 1996 even, that works reasonably well. There is an aspect of
3	maybe human error moving into the digital world. Perhaps we can get even better at
4	ensuring that happens every time.
5	MS ANDERSON: And we're just going to call up a document which is a December 2018
6	document, TG C 0002439. This is a Teaching Council response to an Official Information
7	Act request. So we'll just call up the top part of that letter. It's a 2018 response. You've
8	been asked about how many fines have been issued for failing to manditorily report, how
9	many investigations have been conducted under section 396 of the Act, so that's the
10	provision, isn't it, that
11	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.
12	MS ANDERSON: Because it's the Council's role to monitor and enforce the mandatory reporting
13	obligation.
14	MS HOSKIN: That's correct.
15	MS ANDERSON: And if there have been any investigations or fines. And the answer is that
16	there have been no investigations or prosecutions under that section at that time. And of
17	course as a consequence no convictions. In terms of no investigations of failure to
18	manditorily report, what's your view of whether the Council is adequately meeting its remit
19	to actually monitor whether people are reporting in accordance with the law?
20	MS HOSKIN: So the question as I see it in the OIA refers to investigations conducted under
21	section 396 of the Education Act. And we have not done that, so that's investigations to
22	prosecute. What we have done, so we absolutely consider whether someone should have
23	sent us a mandatory report if they haven't. The actions that we have taken, so we have not
24	prosecuted, but what we have done, we have considered prosecution, we haven't taken that
25	step, but what we have done is taken an own motion to investigate those decisions and
26	behaviours of the person that should have sent that mandatory report to us. And, again, it
27	comes back to sort of the most severe so we could fine, potentially, if that was if we
28	chose to, but the most severe action we can take is to exit that person from the profession.
29	So at that time
30	MS ANDERSON: So that becomes the topic, the subject of a disciplinary charge against the
31	person who failed to report being misconduct effectively, is that
32	MS HOSKIN: Correct.
33	MS ANDERSON: And it goes through that misconduct route
34	MS HOSKIN: Same process.

- **MS ANDERSON:** -- as opposed to this investigation or prosecution route. 1
- 2 **MS HOSKIN:** Yes, so even -- we could also prosecute.
- 3 **MS ANDERSON:** That was what I was just coming to. Why does it seem to be either/or.
- MS HOSKIN: It's not either/or, so I think we have considered prosecution in the instance I'm 4
- thinking of and I think possibly the situation you've just recently referred to, it was 5
- considered. And the decision was that we would not do that. The decision from the 6
- Tribunal and the penalties awarded in that situation suggested that we would not have the 7
- evidence to be successful with the prosecution. But we did consider it. 8
- MS ANDERSON: So you let that first process run through and then might have a look at whether 9 there's an evidential basis for effectively a beyond reasonable doubt standard for a 10 prosecution, because there'd be two different standards in your processes?
- **MS HOSKIN:** That's correct. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

MS ANDERSON: The big question for the Inquiry of course, because a lot of people advocate mandatory reporting as a real solution to prevent abuse, keep people safe. It's obviously part of a system, not the complete answer. But the big picture question is, how reliable is the system and how do you know that the system is reliable, because almost certainly the incentives for those who are misbehaving is to not comply, whether it's a principal or someone else.

So the hard question is, in your monitoring role of how well the profession is complying with this mandatory obligation, what are the steps that you take to satisfy yourself? Is there a formal audit of that function? How -- perhaps I'll come to Robyn because this is really a sort of governance risk management, it's at the governance table, isn't it, of how well the agency's performing its statutory obligation.

MS BAKER: I think I'll start with Lesley and the detail and then I'll make a governance comment. MS HOSKIN: So one of the things we do, and I would -- I have to say mandatory reporting, it is one avenue. We have a system and regulation that has checks and balances and multiple points of entry and where these things might become known to us. But I accept that people see that one pathway as a very critical one and it is certainly -- I do believe it's working well.

To answer the question, so we have a governing board, we report to the governing board monthly on -- so we have key performance indicators of the number of matters, the types of matters, how long they are taking to be resolved. What I would say in addition to that is we proactively release on to our website statistical information. Media play a part in asking for that information.

1	MS ANDERSON: Those are transparency elements, aren't they, they're not quite the same as
2	what gives you the level of confidence that your professional members of the
3	professional body are actually doing the right thing.
4	MS BAKER: I think I'll pick that up. I think it's a very good question. We said at the beginning
5	that we know we can improve our processes, we're here to learn. I think that our I go
6	back to a comment I made earlier, we have a strong profession, we have a very good
7	commitment to the code and standards. I think people in the profession hold themselves
8	and each other to account.
9	So saying, which is your point, there are always people who don't behave well. I
10	think that's a question that we as a governing board should ponder about what is our
11	assurance of a gap. And that's something we can talk we do a lot of obviously
12	conversations with the profession. I'm sure principals will be able to give us good advice
13	about what kind of processes would be useful.
14	CHAIR: Can I just interrupt, while you're thinking about these matters. Have you have got a
15	sense of the scale of the problem of non-reporting, the extent to which the requirement to
16	mandatorily report is breached. You're trying to prove the invisible, I suppose.
17	MS HOSKIN: What I can say is where there's a delay we certainly so the matter comes to us
18	somehow, whether through Police, the courts, we see it in the media.
19	CHAIR: So you'll get the information, but it's not reported by the school?
20	MS HOSKIN: Not how it should have been, so
21	CHAIR: So that might give you have a sense of numbers, mightn't it?
22	MS HOSKIN: Yes, and so I can't tell you who never reported obviously and should have, but in
23	those ones, they are fewer and further between year on year. The knowledge and
24	understanding and the work we have done on those expectations, I am confident it is
25	well-known from the board, to the principal, to the staff, we have done an extensive amount
26	of work on making sure they understand that expectation.
27	CHAIR: Right. Can you give us a sense of numbers at all?
28	MS HOSKIN: I can't, but that is something that we could interrogate our data for.
29	CHAIR: I think it would be good to know and if there's a trend downward or a trend of any sort it
30	would be good to see over the last few years, if you've got those numbers.
31	MS HOSKIN: To give you a sense on my sort of intuition of that, that we are talking maybe a
32	handful, because I have written recently to a principal where there was a delay, to remind
33	them of their obligations. So we are aware of that and we do intervene. Perhaps to your
34	point, what we're not doing is maybe a formal collection of that data on a regular basis to

1	report. So I don't think it's a large number and certainly where we see that happening, we
2	do intervene. And we have own motion for that very reason.
3	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Do you look at other jurisdictions like we're talking about Victoria
4	and a mandatory reporting and several States in Australia have this, to look for insights
5	about how to ensure that the system is working properly the way it should?
6	MS HOSKIN: Yes. I meet regularly with the chief executives of all of those bodies and we do
7	talk about although very different environments, of course, we do talk about how better
8	to do that very thing.
9	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Are you talking about other jurisdictions?
0	MS HOSKIN: Yes, the Australian, all of the Australian States and then actually internationally
1	there is also a group for teacher registration boards who don't meet as often but meet and
12	talk about those things that are common in all of our systems, the challenges of.
13	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: That's helping?
4	MS HOSKIN: It is. Our systems are very different but because there are common concerns they
15	don't know, how do they you know, those conversations, I think, are helping us to at least
6	understand the problem. I don't have an answer, is what I'm saying.
17	CHAIR: Yes, Ms Anderson.
8	MS ANDERSON: Thank you, Madam Chair. Of course we could continue for quite some hours
9	with fruitful conversation and dialogue and I've got only partway through some of my
20	topics, but I think I'll draw it to a close here and have questions from the Commissioners.
21	CHAIR: So you are quite certain you've ground to a halt?
22	MS ANDERSON: I've done all the essential work, and other work we can do offline, but follow
23	up information.
24	CHAIR: Very well. I'll just take a moment for us to take breath and see if there are questions
25	from my colleagues.
26	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Just quickly, on the Disciplinary Tribunal, I think you said there
27	was a lawyer chair and teachers. Are there any lay people, non-teachers involved in that
28	process?
29	MS HOSKIN: On the Complaints Assessment Committee there are three teachers and a laypersor
80	and in the Discipline Tribunal they have a chair who is an experienced lawyer plus two
31	teachers, so no layperson on that one.
32	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Is that in the regulations, how that's made up or is that

1	MS HOSKIN: It is in the regulations. I can't recall quite off-hand about that layperson. I know
2	that is what we have, but it points to a very good question around our the role that
3	layperson has in those processes.
4	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: The mix of, I think you said, there were 13 people on the
5	Teaching Council at the moment. Is that a general diversity across the population; Māori,
6	Pacific, disabled people?
7	MS BAKER: I'll answer that. Yes, there are 13 people. Seven are elected by the profession, so
8	secondary, primary, primary principal, secondary principals, early childhood settings, and
9	the other six are appointed by the Minister of Education. And the usual process is the
10	elections are held, and then the minister appoints the remaining councillors to ensure both
11	diversity and knowledge, the kind of mix of knowledge to be a strong governing group
12	today. So as a chair and the chair is appointed by the minister.
13	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: So are there Māori and Pacific and disabled people on that group?
14	MS BAKER: There are Māori and Pacific. There is currently no disabled person on the group.
15	MS HOSKIN: Sorry, I just want to slightly
16	MS BAKER: Yes, you can add that, actually
17	MS HOSKIN: adjust that. There is someone representing the disability sector. I'm not aware
18	of any personal disability or but I do know that the appointment was made for that
19	purpose.
20	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: My question was about was there disabled people. So thanks.
21	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you, tēnā kōrua. My question is, we've heard a lot of
22	survivor evidence around the imbalance of power, we've had a couple of examples this
23	afternoon, and I'm really wanting to pose to both of you, and I really appreciated your
24	comments, Ms Baker, that you feed back into the profession, to your constituency, there's
25	lots of room for dialogue back and forth. In terms of where you've placed, I was going to
26	say sexual offending but inappropriate sexual behaviour, inappropriate relationships, the
27	age of consent in New Zealand is 16. So when you get to those older children that would
28	be vulnerable where there was inappropriate relationships happening, it might be easy to
29	say that consent is not an issue because they're over 16.
30	Is there any discourse in the profession, or even from the trends that you might see,
31	about raising the age of consent to 18 specifically for the school population?
32	MS HOSKIN: Not that I'm aware of, for your question. What I might add is in our role, that
33	criminal distinction is irrelevant and we do engage students who are over that 16-year-old

1	age and get their testimony, even though that might not or would not have been part of the
2	Police process.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: But for survivors in terms of the impacts what we hear years later they come back, but they can track the roots of the trauma to the abuse that actually happened in the schools. Whether or not, philosophically, in terms of honouring the profession and you talk about the mana of the teachers, the mana of the students, whether or not that's a discourse that would be worth having.

Of course, it's a wider societal conversation, but just in terms of driving the discussion, to be able to put a stake in the ground, about a group of children, you care for all children in one sense in Aotearoa, that comes through --

MS HOSKIN: I absolutely do and coming back to our first starting point today around our purpose, for leadership and direction of the profession, being an independent statutory body to be able to speak on those big important issues is what fits into that purpose.

MS BAKER: I want to say sometimes documents can seem to be not as important as they are and I think the code and standards are really important, because not only do they specify what as a profession you have agreed to be and do, but it gives a very strong conversation, an ongoing conversation. So that's a very big change in recent years, to be able to talk about things that we didn't talk about.

So the code and standards provide a vehicle and I think that's been very helpful. I think in the cup half full, that is a very -- it's constructive. It's also, as Lesley pointed out, it's also very helpful when we're looking at where people haven't met the standards but just think of it in a positive sense, too, it does enable the conversations that we should have been having a long time ago.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Food for thought. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Tēnā kōrua. Independence is so critical, of course. I couldn't help wondering though, listening to the evidence about whether there would be some advantage in having clearer direction in legislation about your fundamental principles. I know you've created them through your code in partnership with teachers, but I just wondered of you'd thought about that, about the utility of having a statutory code which had directions on how the Disciplinary Tribunal should be operating consistently with human rights and Treaty principles, for example. I think it's admirable what you've done with the Treaty through that code, but I also wonder whether if there was that clear direction or legislation, would you need to have gone down that path?

1	MS HOSKIN: I'll start with some initial thoughts. I think one of the successes of the new code
2	and standards, and I was asked them being in the same booklet. That was something the
3	profession chose to do, was to bind them together even in the same booklet. They own that
4	they created them, they consulted on them and I think there is I'm not suggesting the law
5	doesn't have a place, but in matters like this where the profession have to hold themselves
6	to account and each other to account, I actually think the environment and the them being
7	accepted to the degree they have possibly was, I wouldn't say because they weren't in the
8	law, but I think the process that did occur has meant that they are owned by the profession.
9	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: I can see the merit, I can see that, yeah, accept that. Do you want
0	to add to that?
1	MS BAKER: I think there's an advantage in using something like a code and standards that have
12	been I think there's a strong advantage at this moment in time for a code and standards
13	that's been constructed by a profession and owned to help make the changes at the
4	grassroots, that someone that wouldn't happen so easily just by law. That's my gut
15	instinct, that many people working in schools feel already, I won't say "many" many Nev
6	Zealanders feel over-regulated anyway, and so this is just my feeling would be if we can,
17	let's do things that help change behaviour for better, for better outcome for our young
8	people, through ways that are closer to everyday action.
9	On the other hand, I rather lean into your suggestion for different reasons, but I'd
20	have to think about it because I think it's a very interesting suggestion.
21	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Appreciate it. Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER STEENSON: Ngā mihi ki a kōrua, kia ora. I've got questions around
23	Te Tiriti and it's good to see you've got a journey that you're going on, both with the
24	Council itself, and it's good to hear that there is some Māori representation on the board;
25	one?
26	MS BAKER: No, many.
27	COMMISSIONER STEENSON: Many? Great. Many, as in? I don't need exact, but
28	MS BAKER: About four.
29	COMMISSIONER STEENSON: Four out of?
30	MS HOSKIN: 13?
31	MS BAKER: Four or five.
32	COMMISSIONER STEENSON: And it's good that you've acknowledged that there is some way
33	to go with the disciplinary process with regards to a Te Ao Māori lens, and acknowledging

the injustices that have been created over time by a biased education system and the impact

that's had on both teachers and learners. So you've got a strategy and so I guess my first question is around when would you -- when do you expect the strategy to get to a point where you feel you've got cultural competence, as the Teaching Council, with regards to te reo me Ngā tikanga Māori?

MS HOSKIN: The strategy has got some dates in there that we are holding ourselves to account for. They have very detailed and explicit descriptions of what we would see to reo, tikanga, it's described in there as it would be part of our DNA, it's not a lens we apply, it's not something we think of after, it's inherent in how we think and behave. Each of those different things have dates against them, and supposing those are the right things and we will review it along the way, then I think by 2025 we have suggested in there when we started that we would have a significant shift in those cultural competencies.

COMMISSIONER STEENSON: That's great. How far along do you think you're going to meet it?

MS HOSKIN: The te reo is variable but I am confident that we can get very close and I say that because we've done things that enable that, like our recruitment process. Right in the very interview, we ask for that -- we set our expectation that you will learn and understand how to behave, I won't use the word partner", but understanding your Te Tiriti place. And then every step through that, when they get their letter of offer, we ask them to tick and confirm yet again because we want them to know, if you're going to work here, then this is the waka we are on.

And we have another process set up where we defined our kawa and tikanga and kaupapa that says some things are non-negotiable for here. So we've done a lot of those environmental things, if you like, that gives me the confidence that we, if we don't hit those goals we will significantly have shifted how we do that.

COMMISSIONER STEENSON: Then my second question -- so that sounds really great, ambitious which is an important focus. My second question is around, then, the teacher's progress part of it. Because you have a process which, by which the -- it's another senior teacher endorses that they have -- they either have or they haven't. And I guess it's just around whether or not they get to a point where it's -- how do you know, other than that endorsement? Because what I'm thinking about is, you know, there's so many, what is it, 2,500 schools right, so you've got some in areas where Māori will be absolutely the minority and that's where it becomes quite -- they become quite vulnerable in that setting. And whether or not that supervising teacher also feels there's enough competency becomes a question, I guess.

MS HOSKIN: So firstly acknowledging that as a whole country this is something that we are all learning how to do better in and teachers signed up to this quite a long time ago. How we know if they've met the standards around that specific area is we ask the question. Now, that's unusual because normally that determination happens with the principal or that senior person closest to where that practice is happening and that's the correct sort of regulatory model.

To assure ourselves - actually, let me start at the other end. I am sure that we do not have the desired levels of competency, and therefore we have chosen to put a spotlight on that particular area of the standard, and at the Council level. So in a three---year cycle, we would have asked every teacher to confirm if they have progressed, and I can -- I think I may have said it already, I can tell you that in the last year, 317 said no, the teacher had not progressed. And then they have to tell us why would that be, because there's responsibility of course on the endorser and responsibility on the teacher.

I can tell you the reasons and things and share them, but we put a spotlight on the expectation and then we are potentially in the future more able to decide at what point do we then use it differently for a hard lever to -- so we'll get generally those willing, push a little bit harder with our lever, we might get more engaged. The reality is that at some point we're going to have to say no longer can you have a certificate to teach in Aotearoa New Zealand without that competency.

COMMISSIONER STEENSON: I guess that was my next obvious question, because what we have heard from our survivors is the importance of cultural identity and being able to relate to teachers. So thank you for that. Kia ora.

CHAIR: I think I asked enough questions along the way, so I'm not going to ask any more. I would like to acknowledge the fact that you, Robyn, said it, and I think it's obvious from your answers, that you've come here first of all because you were asked to, but - and you've given your evidence but you've also come here to learn, and I think the Commissioners really appreciate that attitude, that this, although we're sitting here in a quasi---judicial setting, this is about learning both ways, and so I really appreciate your openness to that and thank you very much. Thank you for all the work you did in replying to our notice to produce, I know that was onerous and difficult, and thank you to the team behind you who assisted as well.

MS HOSKIN: Yes.

CHAIR: Please convey that. So you are now free to go, but we invite you to stay for our closing karakia. But before we do that, kua mutu aku mahi mō tēnei wiki. It's been a long and hard

week, we've worked long hours, but I think no one's worked harder than our survivors, our loyal band of brothers and sisters who have sat throughout the whole week, and I want to acknowledge you. You're all familiar faces to us, we know who you are, and it's of enormous credit to you that you come and you sit and you listen through the interesting bits and the boring bits, but you're showing -- and here's another one coming in now. Just come in, Keith, in time to be thanked. I'm just acknowledging survivor presence today.

I don't forget, I know there are survivors who are watching online and thank you to you for your interest, thank you for your contributions so far, and, yeah, we just acknowledge your presence to the cause.

So I think it's time that we end it and we hand over to our matua, kei a koe te karakia matua. Nau mai.

KAUMATUA: Tēnā anō tātou katoa. E tū ana hau tēnei te mihi ana ki a koe. Madam Chair, kei te mihi ana ki a koe. Tautoko me o tātou kōrero, te mamae, te ngākau o rātou, te wairua o ngā tātou te tāngata e mate mamae, nō reira tēnei te mihi. This evening, just on listening to our Chair, talking about the wellness of us, of people. It reminds me of a tauparapara of our tupuna. It talks about our native birds, talks about the tui and how we all relate to the tui; the sweet sound, the sweet note of a tui which brings us joy into our life, into our lifespan, and it creates the wellness in our being. And that tauparapara is whakarongo ake hau ki te tangi a te manu nei a tui, tui, tui tuia. Tuia i runga, tuia i raro, tuia i roto, tuia i waho, tuia ai te muka here tangata. Karanga te ao karanga te pō, ki te pō uriuri, ki te pō nakonako, me te ranga wairua waenganui ki a koutou.

So in that saying that the sweet sound that we share together will be above, below, inside and outside, and that brings us, bonds us to the sweetness of life. So that will be part of my korero this evening, and we're going to sing Purea Nei to close off.

(Waiata Purea Nei). (Karakia).

Hearing adjourned at 5.09 pm to Monday, 22 August 2022 at 9 am