# THE INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS

CASES, MATERIALS, AND COMMENTARY

THIRD EDITION

SARAH JOSEPH AND MELISSA CASTAN

Capyrighted makeral

# OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

UNIVERSITY PRE

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DP, United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. In furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© Sarah Joseph and Melissa Castan 2013

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

First Edition published in 2000 Second Edition published in 2004 Third Edition published in 2013

Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reptroduced, stared in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law. by hence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above.

You must not circulate this work in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence Number C01P0000148 with the permission of OPS1 and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press 198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013937905

ISBN 978-0-19-964194-9

Printed and bound in Great Britain by CPI Group (UK) Ltd. Croydon, CRO 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and for information only. Oxford disclaim any responsibility for the materials contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

Copyrighted materia

160

self-determination, was intertwined with the notion of decotonization.9 However, in the post-Cold War era, a number of non-colonial peoples have successfully seceded, including the peoples of the former USSR, the former Czechoslovakia, the former Yugoslavia, Eritrea, East Timor, and South Sudan, Furthermore, the text of article I does not expressly confine the right on colonial peoples. Indeed, the FIRC has now confirmed that the principle of self-determination, and possibly the right of secession in some instances, 'applies to all peoples, and not merely to colonised peoples'.10

[7.11] The right of ESD is politically controversial, as it clearly threatens the territorial integrity of States.

### CERD GENERAL RECOMMENDATION 21

II- The Committee notes that ethnic or religious groups or minorities frequently refer to the right of self-determination as a basis for an alleged right to secession...

96. The Committee emphasises that, in accordance with the Declaration of the General Assembly on Friendly Relations, none of the Committee's actions shall be construed as authorising or encouraging any action which would distrember or impair, totally or in part. the territorial integrity or political unity of sovereign and independent states conducting themselves in compliance with the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples and possessing a government representing the whole people belonging to the territory without distinction as to race, creed or colour. In view of the Committee international law has not recognised a general right of peoples to unilaterally declare secession from a state. In this respect, the Committee follows the views expressed in the Agenda for Peace towns, 17 et seq.), namely that a fragmentation of States may be detrimental to the protection of human rights as well as to the preservation of peace and security. This does not, however exclude the possibility of an angement reached by free agreements of all parties concerned."

17.12] The HRC has largely avoided consensus comments on the territorial aspirations of secessionist groups within existing States Parties. Future potential candidates for secession include the Chechens, the Quebecois, and the Kosovars, though the existence of an international right of secession for such peoples would likely be opposed by, respectively, the Russian Federation, Canada, and the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia. The HRC has, however, criticized Morocco's policies regarding the Western Sahara:12

49. The Committee remains concerned about the very slow pace of the preparations towards a referendum in Western Sahara on the question of self-determination, and at the lack of information on the implementation of human rights in that region. The State party should

12 (1999) UN dec CCPR/C/79/Add.113.

move expeditiously and cooperate fully in the completion of the necessary preparations for

As the International Court of Justice has ruled that the peoples of the Western Salarra have a right of external self-determination.13 it is not surprising that the HRC has singled out their secession ist applications for explicit endorsement.14

### INTERNAL SELF-DETERMINATION

[7.13] ISD refers to the right of peoples to choose their political status within a State,15 or to exercise a right of meaningful political participation. For example, the institution of democratic rule in South Africa constituted an exercise of ISD by the black majority in South Africa. The notion of ISD overlaps considerably with the rights guaranteed in articles 25 (right of political participation) and 27 (minority rights)<sup>th</sup> of the ICCPR, Indeed, Cutsese describes ISD as a 'manifestation of the totality of rights embodied in the Covenant'.17

### CERD GENERAL RECOMMENDATION 21

14....The right to self-determination of peoples has an internal aspect, ie, the rights of all peoples to pursue freely their economic, social and cultural development without outside interference. In that respect there exists a link with the right of every citizen to take part in the conduct of public affairs at any level as referred to in article 5 (c) of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. In consequence, governments are to represent the whole population without distinction as to race, colour, descent, national, or ethnic origins.

15. In order to respect fully the rights of all peoples within a state, governments are again called upon to adhere to and implement fully the international human rights instruments and in particular the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Recial Discrimination. Concern for the protection of individual rights without discrimination on racial, ethnic, tribat, religious, or other grounds must guide the policies of governments. In accordance with article 2 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination and other relevant international documents, governments should be sensitive towards the rights of persons of ethnic groups, particularly their right to lead lives of dignity, to preserve their culture, to share equitably in the fruits of national growth, and to play their part in the government of the country of which its members are citizens. Also, governments should consider, within their respective constitutional frameworks, vesting persons of ethnic or finguistic groups comprised of heir citizens, where appropriate, with the right to engage in such activities which are particularly relevant to the preservation of the identity of such persons or groups.

Copyrighted material

<sup>\*</sup> Western Softern Advisory Opinion [1975] ICJ Rep. 12, 37, See also G Simpson, 'The Dillusion of Sovereignty: Self-determination in the Post-Colonial Age" (1996) 32 Stanford Towns of International Law 255, 265, and R McColquodale. 'South Africa and the Right of Self-Determination' (1994) 10 South African Journal on Human Rights 4.6. See also CERD General Recommendation 21, para 4

Concluding Comments on Azerbaijan (1994) UN dec CCPR/C774/Add.38, para 6

<sup>11</sup> This 'Assenda for Peace' was insteed in 1992 by Secretary General Bottrus Bottrus Girali (1992) UN due A/47/277-S/24111.

Western Sahanit Advisory Opinion [1975] ICJ Rep 12.
 See also Concluding Observations on Murrocco (2004) UN doc CCPR/CO/82/IdAR, para 8; United States of America (2006) UN doc CCPR/C/USA/CO/3/Rev. L. risg a 37; Panagra (2008) UN doc CCPRAC/PAN/CO/3, para 21.

<sup>15</sup> McCorquodale, 'Self-Determination: A Human Rights Approach', 864

<sup>16</sup> See generally Ch 24.

<sup>12</sup> A Cussese, Self-Determination of Peoples (Cumbridge University Press, 1995).

## Right of Self-determination

[6]

[7.15] Self-determination is therefore a complex right, entailing an "internal" and an 'external' form. The right can be conceptualized as a sliding scale of different levels of entitlement to political emancipation, constituting various forms of ISD up to the apex of the right, the right of ESD, which vests only in exceptional circumstances."

Different 'peoples' are entitled to different 'levels' of self-determination.

[7.16] It is comended that a people is entitled to ESD. \*\* by way of secession, when it lives under colonial\*\* or neo-colonial domination.\*\* or when it is so severely persecuted, and its human rights so systematically abused, that ESD is necessary to remedy such abuse, and preserve its long-term viability as a people. \*\*Definition of the people in a present free agreements to secode from each other. \*\*So occurred when Czechostovakia peacefully split into the Czech and Slovak Republics in 1993. Finally, peoples which are not entitled to ESD are nevertheless entitled to ISD.

[7.17] The HRC has cited article I in raising concerns with Israel over the expansion of settlements in the Occupied Territories, and has recommended that it because all construction of settlements in those territories.

[7.18] Indigenous peoples are peoples entitled to internal self-determination. For example, the HRC has said with respect to Finland.<sup>25</sup>

[17. The Committee regrets that it has not received a clear answer concerning the rights of the Sami as an indigenous people (Constitution, sect. 17. subsect. 3), in the light of article 1 of the Covenant. It reiterates its concern over the failure to settle the question of Sami rights to land ownership and the various public and private uses of land that affect the Sami's traditional means of subsistence—in particular reindeer breeding—thus endangering their traditional ordure and way of life, and hence their identity.

<sup>16</sup> F Kirgis Jr. The Degrees of Self-Determination in the United Nations Era' (1994) 88 American Journal of International Line 304, 306, and B Kingsabary, "Chains by Non-State Groups in International Law" (1992) 25 Connell International Law Journal 481, 503.

<sup>10</sup> See generally on sintations where peoples should be reorgaized as having a right of ESD. S Joseph. Resolving Conflicting Claims of Territorial Sovereignty and External Scil-Determination. Part I', and S Joseph. Resolving Conflicting Claims of Territorial Sovereignty and External Scil-Determination. Part 2' (1999) 3(2) International Journal of Human Rights 49.

20 Sec [7.10]

<sup>21</sup> Proxi-Sectiond World War invasions can be remod 'neo-colonial situations', and have rarely been recognized as valid by the international community. See eg regarding the Indonesian invasion of East Timur, GA Res. 3185 (N.XV.) and SC Res. 384 (1975). See, regarding the Echinese invasion of Thist, GA Res. 1723/16 (20 December 1961). Sence g regarding the Israeli Occupied Territories. UN doc A/RES/IBS-772, GADR, 7th Envergency Session, Supp. 1, 3 (1980). See, regarding the Turkish invasion of mother Cyptus, SC Res. 354, 1974/J.SC Res. 4401 (1978), and SC Res. 354, 18 November 1983, See also: S Joseph. 'Resolving Conflicting Claims of Territories' Sovereignty and External Self-Determination.

<sup>26</sup> Nunceous commentators have recognized a right of 'remodal ESD' such as I. Buchheir. Secession: The Legithway of Self-Determination (Yale University Press, 1973), 220, and White, 'Self-Determination: Time for a Re-Assessment', 160, its entirestic station implied by the Determination on Friendly Relations, which guarantees territorial integrity only to States which are 'conducting themselves in compliance with the principles of quari rights and self-determination of people'. See absorbed General Recombinedation 21, para (17.11).

21 See eg CIERD General Recommendation 21, para 6 [7, 11].

\* See Concluding Observations on Israel (2010) UN doc CCPR/C/ISR/CO/3, page 16.

\*\*(2001) UN doc CCPP/C@/82/FIN: see also Concluding Observations on Chile (2007) UN doc CCPR/C/CHE/C@/5, para 19.

### 162

### The ICCPR

The State party should, in conjunction with the Sami people, swiftly take decisive action to arrive at an appropriate solution to the land dispute with due regard for the need to preserve the Sami identity in accordance with article 27 of the Covenant. Meanwhile it is requested to refrain from any action that might adversely prejudice settlement of the issue of Sami land rights.

This comment also highlights the strong connection between article 1 and article 27 rights.<sup>26</sup>

### Article 1(2)

[7.19] Article 1(2) sounds like a very important right. For example, its terms suggest that a government cannot permit mining on a people's land without its approval, <sup>27</sup> The right is tempered by the saving of certain 'international obligations arising out of international economic cooperation'. However, this tempering may be undone by article 47 of the Covenant, <sup>20</sup> which provides:

Nothing in the present Covenant shall be interpreted as impairing the inherent right of all peoples to enjoy and utilise fully their natural wealth and resources.

[7.20] Unfortunately, the HRC has shed very little light on the terms of article 1(2). Its most significant statements have come in the context of the recognition of indigenous land rights. In Concluding Observations on Canada, the HRC stated:<sup>29</sup>

§8. The Committee notes that, as the State party acknowledged, the situation of the aboriginal peoples remains "the most pressing human rights issue facing Canadians". In this connection, the Committee is particularly concerned that the State party has not yet implemented the recommendations of the Rayal Commission on Aberiginal Peoples (RCAP). With reference to the conclusion by RCAP that without a greater share of lands and resources institutions of aboriginal self-government will fail, the Committee emphasises that the right to self-determination requires, inter thia, that all peoples must be able to freely dispose of their natural wealth and resources and thor they may not be deprived of their own means of subsistence (art. 1, para. 2). The Committee recommends that decisive and urgent action be taken towards the full implementation of the RCAP recommendations on land and resource allocation. The Committee also recommends that the practice of extinguishing inherent aboriginal rights be abandoned as incompatible with urricle 1 of the Coverum.

Thus, the extinguishment and presumably the diminution of aboriginal native title rights breaches article 1(2).

2º See [24.02] and [24.03].

\* See, in this respect, [24,27]ff.

28 McGoldrick, The Human Rights Committee. 15 and 251.

29 (1999) UN doc CCPR/C/79/Add/.105.

<sup>20</sup> The CERD Committee found the diminuium of native title rights in breach of the CERD Committee, in they were ratifiely discriminancy, in Concluding Comments on Australia (1999) UN doc CERD/COS/Alvits-All-Rev.2.As in the justure of solf-determination and its felationship with exhomotic and social aspects of subsistence, see SJ Artays. Indigenous People and International Low 103 full Pulsersky Press, 1996.

Copyrighted material

Copyrighted materia