ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY STATE INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE HEARING

Under The Inquiries Act 2013 In the matter of The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in State Care and in the Care of Faith-based Institutions **Royal Commission:** Judge Coral Shaw (Chair) Dr Anaru Erueti Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofiyae Paul Gibson Julia Steenson Counsel: Mr Simon Mount QC, Ms Kerryn Beaton QC, Dr Allan Cooke, Ms Katherine Anderson, Ms Anne Toohey, Ms Tania Sharkey, Mr Michael Thomas, Ms Ruth Thomas, Ms Kathy Basire, Mr Winston McCarthy, Ms Julia Spelman, Ms Alice McCarthy and Ms Natalie Coates for the Royal Commission Ms Rachael Schmidt-McCleave, Mr Max Clarke-Parker, Ms Julia White for the Crown Ms Victoria Heine QC for the Office of the Children's Commissioner Ms Sally McKechnie for Te Rōpū Tautoko, the Catholic Bishops and congregational leaders Mr David Stone for the New Zealand State Abuse Survivors Charitable Trust Venue: Level 2 Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry 414 Khyber Pass Road AUCKLAND Date: 15 August 2022

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

INDEX

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE ROYAL COMMISSION	5
OPENING STATEMENT BY SAGE	10
OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CROWN	16
OPENING STATEMENT BY OFFICE OF THE CHILDREN'S COMMISSIONER	23
OPENING STATEMENT BY NEW ZEALAND STATE ABUSE SURVIVORS CHARITABLE TRUST	26
MINISTRY OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT	
Questioning by Ms Schmidt-McCleave	28
Questioning by Ms Toohey	37
Questioning by Mr McCarthy	49
Questioning by Dr Cooke	63
Questioning by Commissioners	84

OPENING STATEMENT BY NEW ZEALAND STATE ABUSE SURVIVORS CHARITABLE TRUST MR STONE: Thank you, ma'am. In terms of a description of how I look, Mr McCarthy whispered in my ear: You know, if you were to get the latest Muscle and Fitness magazine,

1	put a suit on him and black hair did I hear you right? It was something along those lines,
2	ma'am.
3	CHAIR: There are some parts of the evidence which we can't believe, but I won't comment on
4	that any further, Mr Stone.
5	MR STONE: Ma'am, I was listening to the opening statements from my learned friends, I adopt
6	everything they've said, everything they've said. And it got me thinking about my own
7	clients. And when I stood here about a year ago I made reference to the work that I had
8	done in respect of those Māori Battalion soldiers who never got their medals and I thought
9	about that and when MSD are coming here later today, and they'll probably think what an
0	earth does that kaupapa have to do with them being here today? And I thought to myself, it
1	has everything to do with today. Because those men wouldn't come forward to claim their
12	medals because the process was wrong. The process was wrong because there's a principle
13	and the principle that we call tikanga is kanohi ki te kanohi, face-to-face.
4	The policy then, and it is still the policy today, is that medals were sent in the mail
15	and their position was kāo, you need to do this properly. I have clients who will not
6	embark upon the MSD compensation process because that process is wrong.
17	GRO-B lives in a bus to Aotea Harbour, Aotea Harbour is between Kawhia and
8	Raglan. He suffers from diabetes, he's an amputee, he's a survivor of State abuse. He
9	knows that he could come forward and make a compensation claim. And I said to him,
20	"Why don't you?" He said to me, "David, the reason why I don't come forward is because
21	I need to keep what little mana I have left." Just like those soldiers who wouldn't come
22	forward because the process was wrong, their process is wrong.
23	The Army, they are calling, as I said before, the process to give these medals project
24	whakatika, to make right. The question that needs to be asked to MSD is, how are they
25	going to make it right? How are they going to give mana to GRO-B and the many other
26	people who refuse to come forward because their process is not right?
27	That's all I need to say.
28	CHAIR: Tēnā koe. Are there any further submissions by way of opening? Then that brings the
29	opening part of our proceedings to a close, Ms Beaton, unless there's anything else you
30	would like to say?

MS BEATON: No, thank you, Madam Chair, I think we take the morning break now.

CHAIR: We're actually on time, which is remarkable.

31

32

1	MS BEATON: We are on time but we have scheduled the evidence from the Ministry of Social
2	Development to start in the afternoon. I'm just over the break going to have a talk to my
3	friends from the Crown Response Unit about whether we can bring that forward a little.
4	CHAIR: Yes, if we can I think it's a good idea to give plenty of time for these witnesses to give
5	their evidence and for questions to be asked. I only ask that as soon as we know when the
6	matter is going to start that we advise the public, because they will be anxiously waiting to
7	watch and it's important that they know that it will be starting early, so just by way of a
8	warning, there's a strong possibility that the evidence of the first witness, Ms Power, will be
9	starting before 2.15; is that correct?
10	MS BEATON: Correct, yes, but we will notify of course the public in the room but also via the
11	livestream.
12	CHAIR: Thank you. Can I just acknowledge all of the opening submissions from SAGE, SAGE
13	members, from the Crown, the Office of the Children's Commissioner, from Mr Stone on
14	behalf of his clients, tēnei te mihi ki a koutou katoa. We will take the morning
15	adjournment.
16	Adjournment from 11.27 am to 1.52 pm