ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY FOSTER CARE INQUIRY HEARING

	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Date:	17 June 2022
Venue:	Level 2 Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry 414 Khyber Pass Road AUCKLAND
Counsel:	Mr Simon Mount QC, Ms Kerryn Beaton QC, Dr Allan Cooke and Ms Aroha Fletcher for the Royal Commission Ms Rachael Schmidt-McCleave, Ms Julia White and Mr Max Clarke-Parker for the Crown
Royal Commission:	Judge Coral Shaw (Chair) Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofivae Dr Anaru Erueti
In the matter of	The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in State Care and in the Care of Faith-based Institutions
Under	The Inquiries Act 2013

INDEX

SURVIVOR PANEL	
PANEL 1	418
PANEL 2	438
CLOSING SUBMISSIONS BY THE CROWN	462
CLOSING SUBMISSIONS BY THE ROYAL COMMISSION	462

Hearing opens with karakia tīmatanga and waiata Te Aroha by Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei [9.47 am]

KAUMĀTUA: Mōrena, kei te noho e whaea. Nā reira, me tuatahi, tēnei te mihi ana ki a koe i tae mai mō tēnei wā, nō reira ki a koutou, nau mai haere mai. Haere mai ki te hononga o tātou, tēnei te kaupapa i te rā nei. Haere mai i te kotahitanga mai tō tātou wairua, tātou tēnei whare, tēnei marae. Nō reira, kei te mihi ana ki a koe e te rangatira o te Kōmihana, āe, tēnā koe, ki ahau ki te kōrero mai o timatanga o tātou karakia.

Before we start the karakia I'd like to say a couple of words if I may. Kia ora. This morning I thought we might delve into a bit of tauparapara where we say in Māori terms. The tauparapara is words of, from our tupuna that develops us on our way, part-way through life. This tauparapara I'd like to use today gives me – very mindful of what has happened this week here in this marae. The kōrero by our whānau who have come together and expressed their opinions, and we have our Commissioners sitting here and their role is to listen and to help at the end of the week to write a report.

And for me as a kaumātua of people, but also as a person of the public who's been able to hear a few short stories, and I found it very, very heavy; very, very heavy. And my heart goes out to our Commissioners who have to give a report, my heart goes out to the staff behind the Commissioners who also develop the report. And of course, they too have to go home, and our heart goes out to all those who have come in with the grief, nē.

So, what I thought about I might do this morning is do a tauparapara, a quite simple one. And it talks about nature, our tupunas refer to nature to develop our way of life, so I believe. Talk about now, this one is about the Tui, the bird the Tui. When it whistles, the shrill of the Tui vibrates across the plateau. And the tranquillity of that sound gives us enjoyment of life, and this is what I wanted to add here today.

The tauparapara talks about coming together, binding together under the shrill of the Tui, under the tangi of the Tui that binds us together. It binds us above, it binds us below, it binds us outside, it binds us within, and we bring that together and our himene is going to be Te aroha, Te whakapono, Te rangimārie, Tātou tātou. And that is us here today. So, I just want to share that as part of our karakia this morning, and especially to our rangatiras also sitting here who will be on the panel.

[Tauparapara]. [Waiata Te Aroha]

CHAIR: Korihi te manu, takiri mai i te ata, ka ao, ka ao, ka awatea, tihei mauri ora. I start this morning as I started on the first day with the cry for lightness to come, for a new dawn to come, and that is what this hearing this week into foster care is all about. And we've heard

through the week the painful, the sad, the tearful stories of our survivors, and today we hope to move into the light by hearing from survivors, from our experts in what I hope will be a robust discussion about where to from here, how do we find the light out of all of this darkness.

Today we are asking three particular questions. In what circumstances should a child be taken from its family and placed into alternative care arrangements? Secondly, if a child has to be placed into alternative care arrangements, what does that child need, what nurturing, what love, what else does a child need for it to thrive, not just survive but to thrive? And the last question is, how can those child's needs be protected and enhanced?

Those are profound questions, and we look to our panel of experts, all of them, whether they be survivors or academics, experts in this field, to help us navigate our way through them. Our panel today is led by Dr Calvert – sorry, it's not, it's led by Dr Tania Cargo, ka aroha ki a koe, who will take over as soon as I sit down, which will be very soon; and she will introduce the panel and she will lead the discussions.

If you're a member of the public sitting there and you would like in some way to have a question asked, or a comment made, I'm going to direct that if you turn around you will see that our counsel, who you will be familiar with there, Aroha Fletcher, Dr Allan Cooke, who you've been seeing all week, if you'd just like to take your question or comment to them, they will make sure that that is conveyed. Because we want to leave all the talking to our wonderful panel here.

So, I'm going to introduce Tania Cargo shortly, but in light of our wonderful introduction, the tauparapara from our Kaumātua, something else flew into my mind and that comes from an old Tainui waiata, Tūī tūī, tūī, Whitiwhiti te ora, Hui ē, tāiki ē. That again is the binding of the words and the voice of the tui.

On that note I'm very happy to introduce Dr Tania Calvert -- I'm sorry, what have I done? I hope I haven't put some sort of mākutu on you, I'm really, really sorry.

DR CARGO: We are closely aligned.

CHAIR: You are closely aligned but you're not the same. Can I just share this with all of you, I say this often; one of the things I've learned to do best of all in my role as Chair of this Royal Commission is to apologise, I'm very, very good at it and my humblest apologies to you. I'm going to sit down now and be quiet and leave it to you Tania.

SURVIVOR PANEL

DR CARGO: Tēnā koutou katoa. Tēnei te mihi nui ki a koe matua mō tō whakamoemiti mō tō whakawātea i a mātou, tēnei te mihi nui ki a koe mō tērā, he take whakahirahira hoki, so

tēnei te mihi nui mō tērā. Okay, so I have been told by my Pākehā bosses – and I don't often listen to Pākehā bosses, I'll put that out there – that this has to be like the speed dating of whakawhanaunga. And being Ngāpuhi we're very loving people, so we're used to doing the speed dating kind of thing.

Also to say, yeah, just that how humbled I am to be here. I sit here with 16 of my Māori clinical psychologist buddies who do the work in this space. Very uncomfortable with the expert title because that's not where we see it. I see myself as a kaimahi, a worker, an advocate for whānau. I've worked with Cookie over at the back there for some time – I think that's how I got the gig.

But yeah, just really humbled by the generosity of spirit that our experts-by-lived-experience have shared with us this week. And can I say that my belief is that this place wasn't packed out because for Māori when we hear "inquiry" we think it's us, so we tend to stay away. And I asked some of my whānau, how come this wasn't packed out, because when we had it at the marae it was really packed out and there were people waiting to get in. And that's what I heard was the word "inquiry", automatically we think of -- we're not supposed to be here or it's about us. So, I just wanted to mihi out to there.

Already taken up too much time, aroha mai. I'm going to introduce this fabulous panel, they're going to do it as a whakawhanaunga, I'm going to ask you to stand and, in whatever way represents your culture and your values, to introduce yourself and your experience, kia ora.

MS KEREPETI: Tēnā tātou katoa. I want to mihi to our kaumātua and to mana whenua for the greeting and the welcome and the karakia this morning, just to set the scene for our day. I also want to mihi to the Commissioners, the Commission staff, the panel – this and the two to follow – the facilitators and to those gathered here. But most importantly I also want to mihi to those whose experiences, stories and journeys have helped inform this whole process, and that will help inform reports past and pending, that will help shape future policy, and whose lived realities talk to their resilience, their survival, their success and the potential of possibilities and I hope better inform this nation, the Government of the day, providers, funders, educationalists, researchers, learning institutes and so on – the list is long. But I mihi to those of you who have shared your stories over the last couple of years and certainly this week.

Ko wai au? Ko Neta Kerepeti ahau, I'm from Te Rarawa, Ngāpuhi, Ngāti Wai and Ngāti Mutunga, so the Hokianga and to Taranaki maunga, and I'm privileged and humble to

be here and to sit alongside my peers and people who are going to help inform this first session. Ngā mihi, ngā mihi, ngā mihi.

CHAIR: Kia ora.

DR HYSLOP: Kia ora koutou katoa. Ko Ian Hyslop tāku ingoa. I too am very humble to be here and to have the opportunity to be part of this process. I'm an old social worker: I worked in the Child Welfare, State Child Welfare system for 20 years of my working life up until about 2005 I think. Since then, I've been a social worker academic, teaching – or trying to teach – our new generation of social workers. And I live in hope that we are very much on the cusp of a new dawn as far as the development of child welfare policy and practice goes. This Commission is part of that process. I'm very excited about a better future and very humbled the way we've had the opportunity to learn from the experience of people who have been on the receiving end of the system. So yeah, I'm excited about this morning and very pleased to be here, thank you.

DR CALVERT: Kia ora, I'm Sarah Calvert. I'm an academic, although somebody nicely said I'm a pracademic, that is I'm a practitioner and an academic. I've been researching and writing about and arguing with policymakers about listening to the voices of the people who they are making policy about pretty much all of my life. I don't think I've been very successful, but I think that it's magnificent now that finally we have an official way in which the voices of the people who have lived the experience are actually getting heard. That has not been the practice in the Ministry until now.

So, I think it's incredibly brave for people who have lived an experience that has not been what it should have been to speak out in such a forum as this. It's not a very easy thing to do, no matter what the forum is, but in such an official way. Your stories are incredibly important, because the lived history of people informs how things will be done differently in the future and that is really what I think my mahi has always been about, let's do the future better than we did the past. And so, I'm looking forward to the panel and I'm looking forward ultimately with hope to the fact that it might inform the changes that we all wish to have. Thank you.

MS PICKERING: Kia ora koutou katoa, ko Dallas tōku ingoa. I'm a survivor of abuse but actually I see myself as an overcomer, and a few years ago had the opportunity to share my story and was grateful for that opportunity to have the voice of the child heard. And I find it a privilege and an honour to be here today. Thank you to the Commissioners for this opportunity.

I guess my hope out of this is that, you know, I still see – worked in social work now for 20 years – and I still see some of the things that happened back then still happening now, so my hope is that there's some change and that the Government do hear the voice of the Commission and hear the voice of the child and hear the voice of us survivors, us overcomers, to build a better future for, you know, this is generational now, and so we want to see change generationally, kia ora.

MS COSTER: Good morning. My name's Kath Coster, I'm a survivor of State care. Before I'd like to say what I'd like to say, I've been very blessed to walk alongside this Royal Commission right from the beginning. It was a really hard road to start with as a survivor alongside a lot of survivors because we were all sceptical and, in some ways, we still are. But there's a few things that – people – I need to thank.

First of all, I do need to thank the Commissioners. I have been listening to stories from a survivor point of view for many years, so you get to hear them every now and then, not one after the other, and as horrific as some of the survivor stories are – and I believe that that would be one of the hardest walks of this Commission to actually do.

The second thing, the investigation team. Thank you so much to the investigation team that have walked alongside me to do what I've had to do, because it wasn't an easy job bringing the child out but also pouring out your heart and your soul for everybody to see.

But most importantly the survivors that are sitting here and that are watching on the TV, we thank you from the bottom of our hearts because our story shares a part of your story somewhere in your journey.

So, I'm a 59-year-old woman, I've been in State care from the age of zero, with a bit of bouncing in and out, to the age of 16. The journey was hard, but it's made me who I am, and I wouldn't change who I am again. I wouldn't go back and want to live it, but I'm thankful and blessed to have this opportunity to walk alongside this particular Commission and the survivors that I do.

I'm an advocate for survivors and we need to be advocates for survivors because we need to hear their voices and we need to bring it to the table for them if they can't bring it to the table. Because there are hundreds of thousands of children that are sitting – and have been sitting over the years – in the positions that the survivors are sitting in now.

So, I thank everybody from the bottom of my heart, but the biggest blessing is being part of this, because if I drop dead tomorrow, I'll be really happy I've been here to achieve one of my biggest goals from the age of 16.

1	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Tēnā koe Kath, tēnā koutou katoa, tēnā koe Ngāti Whātua. Kei te
2	mihi atu ki ngā purapura ora, ngā mōrehu, e whakauru ana, e mātakitaki mai ana ki roto i te
3	pouaka whakaata ki tēnei hui, ki a koutou katoa, ngā kaimahi, ngā kaiwhakahaere o tēnei
4	wānanga, tēnei te mihi mahana ki a koutou katoa. Ko Anaru Erueti tōku ingoa, nō
5	Taranaki, ko Ngaruahine, ko Nga Rauru, ko Ati Haunuia Pāpārangi, ko Ngāti Ruanui ōku
6	iwi. Nō reira tēnā koutou katoa, kia ora.
7	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Tēnā koutou katoa. Faatalofa atu i le paia ma le mamalu ua
8	tatou faatasi i lenei aso, ae maise paia lasialsi o e na afaiina i mea na tutupu anamua. My
9	name is Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofivae, once again always a pleasure and an honour to be
0	here, fa'afetai lava.
1	DR CARGO: That was pretty good really, you know, that was very good guys, now we've got
12	some time. So, the first question really, I'm going to say it, because I know Māori out there
13	are saying it. This is not how we would read the first question; we would flip it on its head,
4	and we would ask, not "What are the circumstances should happen in order for a child to be
5	taken", but we really want to know "What are the circumstances we can keep the children
6	in their whānau". That's kind of, I think, also the way that we would want to word this
17	question.
8	So, I'm going to open it up to the panel – really, really like to hear from our experts-
9	by-lived-experience your thoughts in particular. So, we're not going to have a tohu, but
20	I might start to do a waiata or a kanikani if I think that you fullas are a bit long or, you
21	know, keeping going, yeah - that's what my Aunty Merimeri Penfold used to tell me, you
22	know, we might not have a lot when the boys are up there, but we can stand up and waiata
23	anō. So kia ora, who'd like to start?
24	MS COSTER: I believe the child should be taken out of the home when the child is at risk,
25	because a lot of the abuse starts in the home and we can't overlook that, and when a child's
26	life is at risk, we have a major concern, especially if the family aren't there, or in a position
27	to be able to wrap-around that child.
28	MS PICKERING: I think one of the questions when I thought about this question was, when
29	would you step in for your own whanau, you know, when would you see a niece or a
30	nephew or a whānau member that are struggling, when would you step in? And I guess that
31	comes down to everybody's different experiences of what negates [sic] as abuse, neglect.
32	And I guess it is more complex than just saying yeah, when should a child be removed, you

know, how do you define it. If I have a look at the section 14 of the Act, it's very broad and

so how do we define that down. And I guess it's us as a whānau saying, "Hey, this whānau needs support, they need resources around them and what does that look like."

MS KEREPETI: Tēnā tātou. Somewhere in an Act [it] talks about the paramountcy of the child. My view is that children aren't seen in isolation from who they belong, from who they come. And the question includes the word "family", and we can talk about language, but, you know, if I'm thinking about myself, I'm talking about a whānau and a hapū and an iwi. And while an Act somewhere refers to the paramountcy of the child, there are also other written documents where Māori have, over a number of years, have brought together some intelligence to help inform process and practice and policy.

And I'm reminded of one of those leaders who is not amongst us anymore and has been passed for some years and I believe he descends from Tūhoe, his name is John Rangihau. He worked together with people on Puao-Te-Ata-Tū [The Report of the Ministerial Advisory Committee on a Māori Perspective for the Department of Social Welfare, September 1988]. And that document talks about whānau, hapū and iwi. And if I think about Puao-Te-Ata-Tū then I would not be wanting to see the child in isolation from those that they come [from]. Because when that child grows to an adolescent and beyond those years where they are considered to be a child or a young person and then no longer requires the protection of the State, and they become an adult, to who do they return? And how is uplifting them and placing them in care preparing them to return one day to their village, to their whānau, to their hapū, to their iwi, to those that they come from?

So, the paramountcy of the child absolutely. But not in isolation from those that they are born to, those that have cared for them, at some point in time from their earliest years, and throughout their lives. Kia ora.

DR CARGO: Kia ora whaea, beautiful sentiment. I think that's what everyone on the panel and people who've given up their time today would agree with, that absolutely that's the absolute end point, right? That's what the uplift is, it's the absolute end point. I'm interested, panel, could you talk about what might we be looking for before that, because I think that's pretty clear, right, what might we be looking for before that?

MS COSTER: I believe before that when it comes to the process of moving the child, the whole process needs to be in completion with the organisations, so that the child is not left in limbo-land with the major trauma of being removed, and it's reliant on a system to have everything in place to wrap around that child – just in the movement process, I'm referring to here.

Because, from experience, I have seen a child removed where Department of Social Welfare have organised to move the child to family, but their paperwork – so they've traumatised the house, the parent, now the child's moving, and it has been taken to the Police station to go to another family member. But in the Police station things all turn to custard because the Department of Social Welfare has not ticked off all the boxes to make sure that this transition can happen. So, the outcome which can happen means the child is then put back with the parent, back into the home, until the system can get its paperwork and boxes ticked. But now the child has a major trauma which is called "Police and the system".

DR HYSLOP: Just to add something. For me the question of removing children always takes me back to my own practice: life as a social worker and being involved in making those sorts of decisions. And it's about, in part I think, doing those things thoroughly and properly and having the right people involved. And also thinking in a bit more of a longer way so that — it's tricky making judgements about risk and children's needs, and — it's important to ask the question about who makes those decisions, you know, who needs to be involved. And also, if you get to the point where a child is clearly at risk and unsafe with caregivers and you are going to remove that child, what happens next? What's the plan after that? So that it's more than just rescue, it's a process that leads somewhere, that is best for the child in terms of care and safety and identity.

So yeah, it's about having the resources, the right people involved to get things right more than has happened in the past. And I've seen children hurt and harmed in families and I've seen children hurt and harmed in foster care and we need to do something about both of those parts of the puzzle.

DR CARGO: Kia ora, wise words.

MS PICKERING: I kind of come from the point of both, is that there's a – you know, there needs to be a balance of – you know, when you remove a child, or a child is placed in care ... I think one of the things I thought about is often the abuser is not removed from the home but the children are. And I think that's where we need to be able to support the children to stay in a place that's familiar to them and remove the perpetrator and have stronger laws around that in order that children don't have to be removed, and that there's intensive support put around tamariki before that happens. So that's just a bit of feedback.

DR CALVERT: I think one of the most critical things when you're faced -- I mean I think there are two ways in which removal happens. One is in an emergency situation where there is an immediate risk to the child. I think that's very difficult for any system to manage well,

that's just a reality. But I think there's also a lot of children who are removed after quite lengthy processes of involvement by various organisations and systems, not just something like the Ministry.

But certainly, when I've gone back and reviewed many of those cases, there still hasn't been a good both gathering of information and sharing of information among those who surround the child or children. And I think that's a point at which we can quite realistically expect a vast improvement as a result of this Royal Commission in whatever structures come to support children. Children should never be removed – unless there's an absolute emergency – without people knowing very clearly what has gone wrong, who's been involved, and who surrounds that system that you might draw in – either to prevent the removal, or to support the child and the family and whānau post the removal.

Too often I review files and there's been months, sometimes years, of all sorts of people being involved with this family, but really basic things, the sort of thing that we've been talking about – even where the child comes from in a Māori sense, what is their whakapapa – is not recorded. And so, then it is not possible to draw the support in for that family. And probably, in my view, often prevent the removal, or at least – post the removal – ensure that everyone is properly supported.

So, for me, information, good proper professional and social information, not just going to people like doctors – no disrespect to doctors, but they don't often know a lot about a whānau. So it is going – it is going and talking to people at a marae, it is going and talking to the children's school, it is going and talking to the aunty who lives up the road and finding out about this family and finding out about – you know, social workers have a lot of words like strengths-based practice, but strengths-based practice is actually about finding out things about the people you're working with and looking for what they can contribute and bring to their tamariki, but also in a wider sense to their community as a whole. So that would be one of the things that I think we could significantly improve.

DR CARGO: Whaea Neta, I wonder if you had any comments about what Dr Calvert was talking about in regard to whakapapa and, you know, asking those questions?

MS KEREPETI: Ian earlier also touched on identity – and if I draw from my own experience as a survivor and who participated in a hearing just over a year ago, I think – identity of the child and where they come from needs to be accorded some priority. And Dr Calvert talked about – one thing she mentioned was the system. And I just want to say I don't believe it's an inanimate thing that sits over there; it's comprised of real people making real

decisions about other people and their circumstances, and often making those decisions in isolation from the realities lived by those people.

And, you know, in an ideal world I'm an advocate that the current system becomes unnecessary, not required because -- but, you know, and while that might sound radical or talking about some alternative, what I'm really wanting to say is that if we believe in shared power, shared authority, shared responsibility, we can make that a reality. But we have to want to do those things. And that means sharing power and authority and recognising what already exists in whānau, recognising what already exists in those other groupings that whānau are a part of. And we've talked a little bit about hapū and iwi and the communities that they reside, that they come from, that are their tribal bases. That's my response.

Kia ora.

DR CARGO: Thanks very much. So, I guess I'm interested in panel thinking about, we all believe, and we all know that the child is best serviced in a loving, safe, good enough environment. What might that look like in terms of this piece of work?

MS COSTER: First of all, for a child to be put into foster care and family, it's important that foster parents are equipped to deal with damaged, traumatised children. Because not only when a foster parent takes the children in, or the child in, nine times out of 10 they have children of their own. So therefore, the parent may be taking the child into the home, for whatever reason, but then you may have children that are also living in that home that are not ready to deal with children in their home and sharing their parents.

So, it's very important when a child is put into a home that those obstacles are all ticking the boxes to make sure it's a safe emotional home for this child to go in, to prevent any further damage and isolation with, inside that child.

MS PICKERING: Kia ora, I agree with what you're saying, Kath, in regards to resourcing. I think it does come down to resourcing. Like a lot of our whānau, you know, unfortunately through generations, have become very isolated and so there isn't the resourcing to support whānau. So a whānau may be really struggling with, you know, whether it's housing, with health and then, you know, in the ideal, the whānau would be the ones that would be able to support, but in reality, there isn't the resources available to be able to meet the needs, and this is why we have some of the challenges that we have in regard to children coming into care.

But if we, you know, poured our resources into the whānau, into hapū, into iwi, into the community, we would probably reduce tamariki coming into care, putting wrap-around intensive support around whānau and bringing in the whānau to be able to provide that

support. But I often see tamariki going off to, say, nanny and koro – you know, they've had a family group conference – and then children go, sent off to whānau and they're not resourced. And I think that's a key thing that happens, that I see it's like our -- and they're not resourced with understanding what trauma is, they're not resourced with understanding what abuse looks like or what their tamariki or whānau have been through. And so, we need to pour resources into there.

I think also my work in the foster care arena, supporting foster carers to be able to have the support for these young people ... The process for these young people getting counselling and therapy or, you know, any support is way too long. You know, we're told when they're in a stable placement that's when they'll get the support. Why do they have to wait until then, when actually, the mamae's happening then and the removal is very traumatic for them? The removal of being in an environment, yes, it may be chaotic, but it's also it's-- their norm and then going into another environment where it's absolutely different and not getting that support straight away, I think is really, really important.

DR HYSLOP: Kia ora Dallas. I just want to say something really to support what you just said, I think it makes a lot of sense to me. I think we can't underestimate the needs of children that come into care with a lot of difficult history, and we can't overestimate the capacity of whānau to provide care without support being provided. And sometimes I think social workers in the past and now, they make all sorts of assumptions and, you know, we know something about human behaviour and risk and those things, but we need to be careful that we don't generalise too much. All whānau are different. If you think of your own family in these situations if you suddenly had an extra child coming in, you know, you need help and support sometimes, and you need -- and that needs to come in a way that works for you, that you determine yourself.

So that, you know, we can and should have children living with whānau all the time, and if they can't live with immediate family, with extended family. But we can't do that without providing people with the support that they need to provide good care for kids, and we can't just expect people to do things that are too hard and then tell them they're not good enough; we need to do better at that, I think.

MS COSTER: Also, when it comes to family group conferences, you know, they have a lot of family group conferences, there's a lot of information given out in regards to the child, but no one's taken the time to sit down and explain it to the child in the child's language so they understand it. You know, from a child's point of view you look at it, here's a whole lot of adults that you don't know making all these important decisions about your life. They've

never sat down and had a conversation and asked the question of, "How is it for you?" or "If you could have anything, what would it be, and how would that look for you?"

Because these decisions are all made by professionals and elderly people, not-- elderly people, older people, to-- make the right decision for a child. But from one traumatised child to another, the needs are different. And if we put them all in one box and we say all these children are wards of the State or going into care, we're going to get some of it right and so much of it wrong.

But to that child, it's their whole entire life that affects. So why not listen to the voice at the beginning, put security around the child, to make sure it's okay, because a child could get put into a home and there could be immediate triggers in that home, and that whole placement is going to go down the gurgler, just by not identifying what the child's actual needs are.

DR CARGO: Kia ora Kath, I was struck, Whaea Neta, when you said it's not a system, it's people. And I guess I worry that some of the most basic human ideas that Dr Calvert we kind of shared and talked about, about connection, that's what's being lost, and it's being lost throughout. So how do we get connection? And it's with social workers being able and not frightened to connect, and, yeah, would you be able to kind of talk a little bit about how can we do that, how will that support the needs of tamariki if they do have to be placed?

DR CALVERT: I think it's about whatever services are going to be dealing with children at risk actually being connected into their community. And that sounds very simple and perhaps people go -- but the reality is, if people don't know the community for the child, then they can't connect to anybody in that community quickly and effectively so that better decisions at least are made for the child. So, it's really essential that the service – whatever the service looks like – there are people in it who really deeply understand the community that they're working in. You don't have to know everybody, but you kind of have to know where to go and who to go to.

And it will be different. Kath's right, for every child it's going to be different, so it's putting your thinking cap on and thinking this child lives in this street, who do I know in that street, or who does my friend or colleague or the teacher – who does the teacher know that we can go to and ask for some information and start to develop a genuine sense of collaboration around the child.

Like I said, except in emergency uplifts, there's absolutely no reason why that kind of social work cannot be done. That is a core, or ought to be a core skill of social workers

to think, "Who do I, you know, who might know somebody?" I always saw it as kind of like, you know, those games we used to play as kids across – the stones across the river – you're kind of moving from stone to stone and eventually you're going to get to the other side of the river and hopefully not get your feet too wet, but you've kind of patted your way through a whole lot of information along the way.

And really in many of those cases where that sort of thing happens, children do not need to be removed, because you discover things that are then the resources that can be supportive both to family and whānau and to the child, and I do think we could have a much better system for immediately resourcing children if they have to be removed. We should be applying that to all children who come to notice really, we should have, you know, a very quick and rapid way of starting to put resources in for children, and certainly for caregivers. Again, it's not that difficult to do. It is a resourcing issue. It's an information issue as well, but they're not insoluble problems.

COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Could I just seize on that just briefly – I apologise in advance – but because this keeps coming up about the theme about access to services, and you've all identified – and Dallas was saying you need to pour the resources into the caregivers. Why is that not happening and what needs to change do you think?

MS PICKERING: I think one of the things I'd like to talk about is like there is resources in the community but there's no connection to those resources. So, Health are maybe working with the family over here, Education may be working with the whānau over here, but there's not the sharing of information. And I think one of the things that I worry about is that you know, we're wanting to put all this together, but what about the child's timeframe? You know, while we're gathering all this information, the child is still possibly in a situation that's abusive, or they're sitting in care or drifting in care for a long period of time. So, we need to be able to connect those resources faster and actually people communicating.

And I guess it's, if you look at it at a bigger level – macro-level, you know, it's like at a government level even – it's like there's funding from Ministry of Health, and, you know, they're vying for contracts and there's money for Ministry of Social Development, but nobody's actually working together to bring it all together, and, you know -- yeah, so I think that's something that needs to be looked at: "How can we be doing this together and faster for children?" I mean I know of children that have been sitting in five, six years in care, and that's huge for a child, you know, that's a lifetime for them, not knowing where they're going, who they're going to be with, when they're going to go back to whānau, and

meanwhile everybody's going, "What are we doing?" You know, we need to work collaboratively.

DR CARGO: I guess, I don't know if I'm the only one sitting here, I'm going to say it: for me I guess that's the worry that whānau have, is that their information has been shared around Education, the ministries collapse on top of each other. It may not feel like that but, having supported whanau, I know that's what it feels like. They likely, when they go to the hospital, you know, they're likely to get asked the abuse screen even though they've come in for a tablet, or maybe just come to pick somebody up.

So, what I kind of – I really understand that what I'm hearing from my community, we don't trust the system. So, I guess what I'm trying to say is, yeah, it's all very well for us up here to say we need this, but if we don't have relationship, we don't trust. You know, I've worked with whānau where they've said to me, "Tania, the Department has made me a liar, I've had to lie, because if I tell them the truth that actually I am going to be seeing my partner, they're going to take the kids from me."

And I'm really interested, how do we, you know -- he's not giving me, the boss is not giving me the haka dance -- but it's in the auspices of how do we do that when there's such fear in the communities that I work with who say, "I actually don't trust them, that's why we won't go there." And I will say, you know, we had processes. My whānau still whāngai, you know. So, when I hear people saying, you know, there's no – Māori have processes. So, I guess my -- I'm going to be the little -- I am not supposed to say anything, I'm supposed to facilitate, but I can't because it's like --

CHAIR: You're allowed to say anything you like.

DR CARGO: Good facilitators is you're not supposed to have your own opinion but I just can't say it anymore, because my sense is the system we've currently had for 70 years has not served our purpose and I would just like Māori to have an opportunity to use our traditional processes, to relearn them, because, you know, I heard from a lawyer, a Māori lawyer, who said, "That doesn't go on anymore Tarn." I was like, "Oh buddy, you have not been home." So yeah, can we talk about, how can we reinvigorate what we knew worked for us.

MS KEREPETI: Kia ora anō. I'm not going to attempt to answer the question just put by Tania, but I'm just reflecting on something that, Ian, you said a little while ago: we shouldn't underestimate the needs of the child, we also shouldn't overestimate the capacity or the capability of a family, a whanau – including system State facilitated carers and foster families – to ensure that a child that comes into care is loved and nurtured, nourished and that their overall wellbeing is paramount.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: It doesn't sound like it, lady.

MS KEREPETI: But also, you know, when a child is placed into that care, that all those that are party to, you know, making that happen, including the carers, whether they're blood kin, have shared whakapapa, or whether they are part of a State process, that they understand their role is only temporary and that there's some agreement around the goal, or an outcome that talks to the restoration of and the reclamation and the preservation and protection of that child's identity. Because their well-being includes who they are, includes their identity.

You know, and in that, we can choose to view the child, and it's happened – it happened to me. We can choose to see that where that child has come from is everything kino, is everything bad and we can grow that child believing that where they've come from is everything bad. And we can choose not to see anything good about that child's world, or we can choose to see that there is some good.

And from that good, however great or small, can come some strength, some understanding, some knowledge that allows that child's identity to be, you know, to develop and ultimately for that child to become secure in who they are, for that child to accord there is value in their whakapapa, that there is value in the community that they were born into in spite of their heinous abuse, in spite of the pain and the grief, that there is some value and some goodness. But if those who are around that child are not helping expand the perception from what is only bad, that child's identity remains, you know, at risk.

DR CARGO: Kia ora whaea. Mā te wā, it's that time for a bit of refreshments and a bit of time for us to go wharepaku, and how long have we got?

CHAIR: Can I just -- thank you, well done facilitator for being on time. We're going to take 15 minutes for a break, but before we do, can I just first of all give an informal but warm welcome and mihi to Minister Marama Davidson who has decided to come and watch our proceedings today. She's the Minister of the Prevention of Family and Social Violence and as such I'm sure has a keen interest, and we welcome you as a member of Government who is charged with all of this responsibility, for your interest in the work of the Commission, so thank you for coming.

Just as we leave, I'd just like to say that I've observed three things, out of all the richness of this conversation, first is the voice of the child, the supremacy of the voice of the child and what it wants and what it needs and what it deserves.

The second thing is the shocking but real lack of trust by communities in the services that are currently – and certainly in the past – have been provided but it seems

currently as well, and an urgent need to somehow rebuild that trust, and that trust has, of course, to be earned.

And the other, third message I've heard through here is, and I'm just extrapolating from what you've said, but the absolute need for social workers and people working with children in need to be culturally and socially literate and connected with the communities of the children who they are serving. Those are the three messages I've had out of this so far. I think it's a wonderful platform to continue our discussions, but it is cup of tea time and we will come back at 11 o'clock continuing this discussion.

Adjournment from 10.43 am to 11.07 am

CHAIR: Thank you for coming back. Tania, we're really looking forward to the next part of this panel's discussion. It felt as though we're just getting going, so away we go.

DR CARGO: Thanks very much. Welcome back everybody now that we're refreshed. My mate here Kath wants to have a bit of a korero about something that she had -- that came to her mind that she wanted to talk about as we think again about what it is that our tamariki need when they're in care.

MS COSTER: When a child is put into care there needs to be a screening and vetting process put in place for the protection of the child, because we're seeing a lot of our children are abused in care. Not just in an initial vetting. If a child is placed in a family home or foster home for a long period of time, as we all know, life changes, circumstances change, stress can happen, especially with the Covid situation being an example. Not only do the foster parents need to be vetted, but the families that are going to be associated with this child. Because we know in care a lot of the abuses are family members and friends of the carers.

So, to protect our children in care, to me that's the most important thing, because it's – we're taking a child from a home where the child is traumatised because of the behavioural patterns in the home. We're not letting them know where they go, they don't know who they're going to be living with let alone not knowing what these people look like. So, these children are traumatised at this stage. Then they get into a home and when the social worker's there, the parent is beautiful, the home is immaculate. But things change when the social workers leave, because you don't necessarily get to see your social worker, from a historical point of view, unless you're breaking the rules of the foster parent.

A foster home can look immaculate, and they can behave very well in front of a government department being a social worker. But a social worker should also be trained to pick up those alarm bells and those red flags before they leave the child in the care of any person, including family, because the abuse starts in the home. So therefore, if the

child is to remain there, there has to be a vetting process put around the family for the child's protection, because we know the child is in danger. State care is care and protection, not fear and no protection.

MS PICKERING: Kia ora, I'd like to tautoko that as well and add a couple more points to that.

The obligation of Oranga Tamariki, or any social worker, is to visit a child every two months, every eight weeks. How can you get to build a connection or know what's happening for the child every two months?

So, one of the things I believe needs to happen is more visits from the social worker into those homes, whether it be fortnightly, that the social worker has to build relationships with the tamariki. Because I mean – as we've all shared as survivors – is that that was one of the biggest issues, is that we never got to see the social worker. We didn't even know often who our social worker was.

So yeah, I think that needs to be looked at. I think what Kath brought up around the vetting: most of the time the vetting happens once a year – okay, a lot can happen in a year, you know. Does it need to be more often, and who is involved in that vetting?

The other thing I just want to add to that too, and it's when a child is uplifted and placed in a home, I often see that, you know, it's often two, three, four months even before they get to have any access with their whānau. Whether it's supervised or not, I believe that it needs to be as soon as possible, because children go into this sense of "my family have abandoned me". And yes, they've made mistakes, yes, they've had, you know, issues around some things that they need to deal with and manage, but, you know, separating them from their whānau for that amount of time causes more trauma and gives them more of a sense of abandonment, and I see that with our tamariki.

My thought is that, you know, within, you know, 24 hours or within a week that that child has some sort of access with their whānau, even if it's supervised but needs to happen to keep that connection and identity. You know, I've had children say to me, "Oh, where's my Mum and Dad gone?" You know, "Why aren't I with them?" And those are things that need to happen is more communication about why the children have been removed from care.

Because that's some of the things that I've heard even over the last couple of days is that tamariki don't know why they were removed. And actually, tamariki are very clever, you know, we say – we kind of put them down and say they don't know, you know, and they do know but sometimes they need somebody to help voice that with them.

MS COSTER: And I'd like to just add to that. Foster parents, being aware of the needs of the
child. You know, if you're a traumatised child and you've been in an abusive home, you
will pick up traits from that abuse to protect yourself. So, if a foster parent has a very
loving home and it's all balanced and a child that's struggling with their experiences and
their trauma and the foster parent isn't equipped to deal with that because they don't
understand that, then it's the wrong placement for the child.

DR CARGO: Dr Calvert, I wondered if you could – this is one of your many areas of expertise, but whether you could kind of help us out, give us a kind of a lay person's understanding of how that might look?

DR CALVERT: The first thing I think is a problem with the current system is -- the first thing that I think is a problem with me is that I didn't turn my mike on – is that the current system is, although it tries very hard, or it says it tries hard, is not child focused. So, you want to meet children where they feel most comfortable.

So, on Wednesday I was in Palmerston, I can tell you it was freezing cold and raining. I was walking around a football pitch with two boys who are teetering on coming into care. And why was I on a field? Me, I'm nearly 70. I was there because that's their environment and we could walk and we could talk, and I trusted myself as a practitioner that they would not run away. We had that discussion first, and they didn't. And so, then I could be with them. They actually were asking me, "What's happened in our family, why are people talking about maybe taking us away from Mum?" You know, they were able to do that, but they were able to come and go, you know? For a time, we sat on the bench, and I took the boots that I wore, which I wore specifically because they're kind of boots that kids think are quite cool, and I took my boots off and let them try them on, because they wanted – they thought they were quite neat and they said, "Would you let us try them?" And I said, "Yeah, sure."

And I think that's part of the problem with the system, is that it's not flexible and if you're a good practitioner and you're working with highly traumatised people, in these situations everybody is traumatised. I've been doing this for 40 years; I've hardly ever met anybody that I thought really didn't care about their kids. So, it doesn't matter how messed up they are, and how appalling the things they've done, these are always people who started off wanting to love and care for their children. Things have got derailed, but that's where they've started from.

So, you always have to think how can I make a space where all of these people can just talk to me. I might have all these degrees and people say very nice things about me,

but at the end of the day I'm just another person and I want to sit down and get to know them, and I want to know them from where they're coming from, and, you know, in my days I've chased kids around the streets of Tauranga and Whakatāne and tried to bring them into a situation, even if it was in the pokie shop, where we could sit and talk, because that's where you have to start.

And I really agree with both Kath and Dallas, part of the problem is it's just not time and child and other people focused in the system. And that's partly the pressures on social workers, it's partly just how the system has developed, it's all of those things, but that's only an excuse. It is always possible to find ways to engage people if that's, if you like, the kaupapa that you start with: you start with the idea "I have to find a way to engage with these people where they are, not where I am".

And I like to say – because I think it's an important thing – that doesn't mean I give myself away. I'm who I am, I'm not going to engage well with people if I try to be something else. I'm a 68-year-old Pākehā woman with a lot of academic creds, that's who I am. So that's what I'm taking into it; I'm not trying to be something else.

When you can be yourself, whether you're Pākehā or Māori, Afghani, whoever, and then you think I need to meet with that person on the other side as they are, then you have the start of communication, and that's really what we need to do with people like foster parents, their children and the family. There's no need for any child to be deprived of contact with their whānau, their parents, no matter what has gone on. There's always a way around that, it's just people don't want to find it.

DR HYSLOP: I'd just like to quickly pick up on those points if I may about trust and confidence, that, you know, children aren't going to talk to you if they're frightened, and adults aren't going to tell you their truth if their historical wisdom tells them not to trust social workers. So, we need systems that break down those barriers.

And yeah, it's really important not to bullshit people, excuse my language, because, you know, people aren't silly, you know. I really liked the point Neta made just before the break, how I heard it anyway, that maybe we should remove children with the intent to reconnect, rather than with the intent to kind of rescue and start all over again. I think that's a really useful insight, that's what I took anyway from what Neta was saying.

And that business about how you develop trust with high-needs whānau, how do you communicate with children in ways that are going to keep them safe, you know, that's about the community stuff we were talking about and workers that are closely connected to the lived experience of people they're working with.

So, if you're talking about funding for, you know, hapū, iwi services, Māori, pan-Māori, NGO services, and authority to decide how you practise, that kind of thing that takes the work and the workers closer to the people who are on the receiving end of services.

So, less hiding behind State authority and bureaucracy and more being real about people gets better results.

CHAIR: Could I just ask a question of you, Ian, because it feeds into what you were talking about earlier as well. To what extent is the building of trust, obviously it's personal connections as Sarah has talked about, it's about your knowledge of the community, but is there also a dimension of being able to deliver, of social workers not just making a promise that they'll help, but actually being able to help and having the tools to help?

DR HYSLOP: Yeah, it's fundamental.

CHAIR: Fundamental to the trust, because you can't deliver on – however well-intentioned you are, then you're going to lose it. Does anybody want to comment on that aspect of the tools that social workers and others need in order to serve the needs of these children?

MS COSTER: Coral, it's really interesting you brought that up because I've got a big circle here on just what you were talking about. When we look at the State, they take guardianship of us, and, you know, we have necessities like a roof over our head, food and things like that and I'm referring to both the social worker and to the parent in the home. Because quite often as a child in State care, a lot of the stress that's put upon the child to make them feel like a black sheep of the family is -- the State haven't paid for the care and the protection.

So when it comes to the necessities that you need, it's such a rigmarole for the foster parent to get in contact with a social worker, then the social worker to realise whether this child is actually entitled to this, then they've got to go upstairs, everything goes upstairs, and then you get to the top of the upstairs level, and it's the same mentality that was seen back in the '50s.

So, we see children now where someone comes in to take the child as an interim until they can find a placement, we have children sleeping on the floors, waiting for months for their clothing grants or beds and blankets – to me they're necessities. So, if the State can take guardianship, then the social worker is the front person for the State with the child, then the communication and what they promised to do, and say they will do, should actually be up-kept. Because we talk about trust and if we say we're going to do something, no matter what age we are and we don't do it, eventually we lose trust.

But as a child in care, in that particular home, it affects you majorly from a self-worth point of view, a self-confidence point of view, no one cares about you, so this is the platform we start to move from.

MS PICKERING: Yeah, I totally agree with that. You know, the government, in a sense, become your parent. So as a responsible parent, you know, you're supposed to be modelling that, and as a government, it's not being modelled. You know, I've had kids where I've worked with and I've - they- have, you know, they're going on a school camp, you know, I have to make sure that I'm three, four weeks ahead of Oranga Tamariki to get approval to get it paid for in order for them to go to the camp. And sometimes they've had to miss out because that hasn't happened. Why should it be like that? You know, our tamariki are our precious taonga and as a government we're saying, "Hey, we want to give you care and protection and give you the things that you're not getting from your own whanau" – but we're missing out on those resources and the basic day-to-day- stuff.

And foster carers have to fight for it, and why should they? Whānau have to fight for it when children are placed in their care. I've seen children placed with whānau and eight weeks later they're still waiting for Oranga Tamariki to approve them as a caregiver to give them -- and to give some pūtea or clothing in order to be able to look after their tamariki. And then you're wondering why whānau don't want to step up.

Now I know it's the not all about the money, but actually, if you're saying that you value these tamariki, then you'll put in the resources and you'll follow through and you'll say – just like Kath was saying – children want to know that you're going to say what you're going to do. If you say you're going to do something, you've got to do it. Because that's where trust is broken. Tamariki in care, their trust has been broken and if we as a government – I'm not government thank goodness – but as a government organisation, we have to be reciprocal in that, you know. And I like what Tania was saying about that, it's about that trust, you know, if we can't build that trust then what are we doing.

COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Is there an alternative to not going to the social worker because there is that mistrust and, you know, history of delay, not responsive – and what would the alternative – Is there an alternative? – I've heard of ideas about resourcing iwi and hapū to provide sort of advocacy services and support services, so you're not going back to the Ministry (the social worker is representative of the Ministry) – as an alternative; so just searching for solutions really, for alternatives for what's not working now.

MS PICKERING: I'm not sure if I can answer that, but I think, you know, I think it's ensuring that the process, you know, whether the money goes to iwi or to organisations, that there is

1	an easier process for that to happen. You know, and that there is a - you know, yeah.
2	I guess what I see particularly in South Auckland, I think we've got 280-something services
3	 I talked to you about it the other day – and they're all kind of vying for pūtea, for money,
4	you know, and there's restrictions on that. And, you know, do we need to streamline that in
5	order to make sure that the right people are getting the right support? yeah.
6	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: That seems to be a very popular theme about, you know, making
7	sure the resources go to where they're needed the most, and whether that's actually

sure the resources go to where they're needed the most, and whether that's actually happening or not is unclear it seems; it doesn't seem to be happening.

CHAIR: Kei a koe Tania.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

DR CARGO: I was just looking at whaea, she looked like she put her "go" button on, is that right whaea?

MS KEREPETI: Kia ora ano. Again, this is my own point of view. I think that this country, that this nation has created an industry around child welfare on the backs of the child, the children, the families, whānau and communities, that children who have ended up in State care come from. And I've asked myself, including when I gave my affidavit at a hearing with respect to abuse in State care a year ago in this same space, I've thought about that, and I've thought about lightly all the hearings that have happened since then to today.

And the resource, the investment into this process, the investment into the Commission and its role, and I just want to say about that industry, that whatever happens -- and this is part of my answer to all three questions – that whatever happens beyond the role and the job of the Commission, that those of us gathered here today and those that sit in other spaces and places who have some level of influence, that I implore you to use that influence, to demand and to expect that that does not continue, that that industry does not continue to grow and to flourish on the backs of the abuse of children.

And that also requires that some of us need to recognise when we need to get out of the way. When we are failing the very people that we've been charged with responsibility to care for, to look out for, and to protect. If we fail to recognise our own failure, we're not protecting anyone. That's industry.

I agree with a lot of what's been said around the detail of trust and confidence and selection and monitoring of caregivers, families who foster. But that's all part of the industry I'm speaking about. And learning and recognising where that industry is failing, it takes courage and some bold action to get out of the way.

And we talked, you heard the panel and myself included talk a bit earlier about the temporary nature of foster care for a child, from birth to 17, 18, 17. And so when that child

becomes an adult, how those years prepare that adult to return to the people that they come
from, recognise our failings, remove ourselves and allow those better equipped, those that
know where the child was uplifted from, those that know where that child – as an adult – in
order to have their identity, you know, given the mana that is their birth right and their
ultimate return and reconnection with their own people. The idea around that industry – all
industry does is make people wealthy and keep others poor, economically, culturally,
spiritually, emotionally. Kia ora Tania.

DR CARGO: Kia ora whaea, we're getting down to the hard end of the whare, so to speak. How are we going to sustain these changes, these needs, how do we protect them when that heinous thing has happened and our mokopuna are no longer safe.

MS COSTER: Cultural attachments. When children are of Māori descendancy and placed in Pākehā homes, there is no cultural attachment to what that child has been given at birth. And our foster homes, our foster parents are not just -- I'll rephrase that – our foster parents are actually taking our culture away from us, especially if they are of another culture. Which means that the child grows up, goes through life never knowing who they are, culturally there's something missing – they don't know what it is because it's a spiritual thing, and later on in life when they're an adult they step out into the big world by themselves without any protection behind them and they still don't know who they are.

Our children need to be placed culturally where their culture is. Because that's their birth right as a child and that's why we come from all parts of the world.

So, we talk a lot about the Māori culture, but let's not forget the other children that are sitting in our system that are not of Māori culture, because it's a flipside of the effect. But if you're a Pākehā child and you go to a Pākehā home, you'll be accepted a lot easier than if you're a Māori child that goes to a Pākehā home, because you stand out like needles in a haystack. And that affects your self-esteem, your self-worth, your self-confidence, your emotions and most importantly your spiritual journey that is with you for the rest of your life.

DR CARGO: I'm not going to let you off the hook there Kath. How might those foster parents do that? What might the people with the pūtea, how they might be able to support that?

MS COSTER: We have enough cultural activities out there. New Zealand now, from a Māori point of view, is a lot more prominent than what it was in my day growing up as a child in care. So therefore, we have kapa haka, we have – what do you call them, the top people, the Māori – there's – another word for it – but they're in schools now where they have a whānau class. And from experience I know this works, and the reason why I know this

works is because there is a 29-year-old woman sitting in OT [Oranga Tamariki] – not OT, sorry, University of Otago – with te reo because she was placed in a school where someone could provide her with what she culturally needed because she was not able to get that at home.

And when we look at foster homes, the word "home", that's our home, that's got to be our security. So, in 2022 we have those things out there, but we still don't use them. And it's really important to be using those things alongside our systems.

And the other thing I need to just jump to, because I'm going to get my say today, when you're in a foster home and you're moved because for whatever reason, and there's no other foster home and you're put into an institution as a transition, when you have two children that come from the same home, same trauma, one will look after the other. So, you will do more damage by putting these children in an institution in two different cells, because now not only has their family gone but so has their protector, which creates a whole lot of more trauma which the people that are running the institutes have to deal with.

DR CARGO: I'd be interested in people, because we've heard, you know, about the parents and that, but what we know is siblings have the closest connection to their siblings. Any of the panel members interested in having a korero about that?

MS PICKERING: Where do we start with that? I think, you know, it's really evident that, you know, you probably think about it in your own family, you know, you may not, you know, you'll be close to your parents, but actually your siblings, you usually carry on through generations having close relationship with them, and if you haven't had that, and I guess I give an example, that I wasn't brought up within my own family and I now, you know, am connecting with my Dad's family. But I still feel like I'm an outsider, you know, and that is something that I have to -- it feels like I have to fight for that relationship. But actually, if things were put in place for me as a child, I wouldn't have to continue to fight for those relationships and feel like an outsider.

You know, and so keeping those whānau connections are just so, so imperative in order to, you know, because I think, Ian, you talked about it, when tamariki leave care – or Neta talked about – they will naturally go back to their own whānau, they will naturally be seeking that. And if they haven't had those connections, how are they going to build those relationships and not feel like an outsider? I think we've got to keep fostering relationships with siblings right from the beginning, and if they can stay together, let's make it work, let's make it happen. Yeah.

CHAIR: I have to say there, that some of the most moving and affecting evidence and the accounts of the survivors we've had all week, the ones certainly moved me most deeply was that loss of attachment to beloved siblings, sometimes for life, and the pain of that is etched on the survivors to this very day. I think those of you who have been through it will know that better than I could ever say.

But I'm interested in that and mindful of the evidence we heard from Dr Calvert and Tania and others, there seems to be a strong attachment, if we're going to use that word, as much as with siblings as it is with parents, and other caregivers. Would you agree with that Sarah?

DR CALVERT: Absolutely. I mean I think that -- I mean our sibling relationships are often the longest lasting of all our human relationships if you actually stop and think about it. And so -- and you grow up with them. And so, when you're not taken into care you just -- you have that lived experience that weaves in and out of everyday life. And when you're taken into care and you are disconnected from your siblings, you're very unlikely to find another person with whom you can build that particular kind of relationship, which is so sustaining for us as human beings.

So, the loss of sibling connection when children are in the care of the State is, I think, something that absolutely should be fought hard against by the State and by social workers on an individual level. But in fact, the State has made choices in resourcing that have absolutely had the opposite effect on siblings. We used to have special purpose family homes in which whole families lived together – it was not ideal, they had caregivers and there were attendant difficulties with caregivers obviously – but at least children got to live with their sibling group. But we don't have that facility anymore, and children are often separated, sometimes kind of in a kind of falling tier system where one child goes and then another child goes, and that's just an overwhelming sense of loss for a child, and highly anxiety producing. Will I be the next one that goes somewhere else on my own? That's a terrifying thing for a child.

DR CARGO: I'm looking at you, Ian, because you've been too quiet buddy, come on, earn your lunch.

DR HYSLOP: It's really important to keep brothers and sisters together if you can and it's criminal when OT [Oranga Tamariki], organisations like that, say we haven't got the budget, we haven't got the space to place kids together – because of the harm that you're doing. I remember years ago when I was a young social worker, 25 probably, removing or being involved in removing four or five kids from a family, it was a very difficult situation

of alcohol and violence and problems that probably weren't going to change – Pākehā family for what it's worth. And, you know, things aren't smooth in this area of policy and practice. When I almost finished after 20 years of being a social worker, this smartly dressed young woman turned up -- I was telling someone in the corridor before -- about 30 odd, you know, had an office job and she said, "Look, I just want to thank you. I've looked up my file and I see that you were the social worker involved in taking me out of home all those years ago." We managed to get a special purpose family home system set up where they were cared for consistently.

I mean it wasn't perfect, one of the brothers [GRO-C], you know; she had the pain of that. But she said to me, "I was scared, frightened every day of my life and getting out of that home and those guys that used to come around." You know, that had made such a difference for her, and they've grown up, the siblings were really tight, they've grown up together, you know? And if you're saying well that would be nice but we haven't got the resources to do that, well that's not good enough, is it?

CHAIR: Does anybody think about what it's going to cost and the impacts to those damaged individuals? Because that's something's been exercising our minds. Everybody says resources, resources at this end, but what about the resources at the other end, both in terms of loss of life, imprisonment, social deprivation, drugs, alcohol, to which we are pouring resources now. I'm being a bit of an advocate here, but I'm just telling it as we see it. I just wonder can you comment on that, where is the money best spent?

DR HYSLOP: Well, it's that, you know, top of the cliff bottom of the cliff thing, isn't it? I went to a seminar thing one day, they were talking about -- someone was just drawing diagrams, you know, of people, you know, sort of falling off the edge of the cliff, the State rescue services coming along, picking them up in ambulances driving them on a bit and throwing them off an even steeper cliff, you know. And yeah, the intervention historically is often more damaging than the initial situation that kids are in. I mean, you know, Neta said, it's an industry. It can be if it's focused on servicing social need in that way and in a way reproducing social need as well rather than addressing causation.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: One of the themes that I think is coming through really strongly in terms of – and we're talking about our young tamariki that are in care – is the lack of values, so you have a system that is premised on values, but they don't necessarily live out their values in the practice. And the child who is supposed to be valued is not valued.

And I'm just wondering, wanting to hear your views on it, is part of it because of the language and the lens that they look through? So, if you looked at the child, and we call them child's rights but in actual fact your cultures, your language, your siblings, they're actually all part of your inheritance, so we don't look at it in terms of that lens. Whereas if we did, that could actually tip the culture of the organisation to actually then operate differently. Because I think we're always going to have pressures on budgets and everything else that comes with a system, but if you think about how – we've talked about how do you stop them from coming into the formal system.

So, if we've recognised the psychosocial stressors that you've all talked about and possible solutions around that which has been very helpful for us, any comments around actually what we could be doing more than as a society? Because we say it doesn't just rest with -- it doesn't just rest once the kid comes to care or that all of a sudden people are scrambling to make things work. Just some reflections maybe.

MS PICKERING: I just want to share like, I know – I think as a New Zealand culture we have a really negative view of children, you know, and we don't value them as taongas, and I think as a nation that needs to change, you know, and we do that by, you know, labelling kids in care, you know. Yeah, and I guess it's an ongoing faction that I kind of think about, it's like, how do you create a whole change of how we think about our tamariki, and also our whānau, you know – you're talking about whānau that are isolated – how do we bring those values and cultures back together, and, you know, start at the ground level about valuing whānau and bringing it back to – because the family are the building block of our society, but it's got to go up the levels as well within the government departments. Yeah.

DR CARGO: Sarah, do you want to talk about, because I sort of am trying to restrict myself so I'm doing this selective questioning that I've learned from Cookie. Sarah, do you want to talk about, you know, what is it about that relational piece and maybe, you know, what's the economic cost if we put money into that first three years.

DR CALVERT: I think there's plenty of evidence that says if we put money in at that end and we really work to affirm family and whānau and the care of a child at that stage, and we do that knowing when things are not going to go right what we need to do then, then I think there is a definite long-term positive outcome from that, and, you know, there's plenty of research about that.

I guess one of the things I'd like to say is one of the difficulties once a child is removed is that the relationship will never be quite the same, even if it gets repaired. And

there's plenty of this -- of the accounts by survivors to this Commission that have pointed that out.

And so the work needs to be to try and not to ever get to that point, to continue to work with the relationships. Relationships are day-to-day-, moment-to-moment thing that we all experience. So, when there's the kind of sharp cut-offs- that people have talked about, Kath talked about, then you always are going to have to do a repair and it's always going to be a repair, there's always going to have been a harm. So it's much better to put things in at the beginning, and -- but, you know, all through a child's life there are golden opportunities to intervene to repair some of the damage and again, we could build a system that looked at where are the -- when's the next opportunity and let's start working towards making that opportunity be the one that turns everything around, rather than, as many of us have seen in files, we've worked on the constant cascade of opportunities lost, more damage gets done, and off we go again on another traumatic experience for a child. We need to have much better ability to use foresight to intervene wherever there's an opportunity for something to make the situation better, and to strengthen the environment for the child – but the relationships that the child or children have with others.

DR HYSLOP: Just to add quickly, I think it's that part-- of it is that connecting the big picture and the small picture stuff, so supporting whānau and community and better, more accessible, social services that are based on trust and relationship are all really important. But the big picture settings are important as well, you're talking about wages and benefit levels, which are still way too low, housing, education, deprived communities, you know, the clients, the people who lose kids to the welfare system – I've written this, you know – it's poor, young, brown women parenting in relative poverty without a lot of social support, you know, and that poverty and that social support, both of those things need to be addressed and that happens at the, you know, practice and service level, it also happens at the policy level with the State, and the kind of economic system that we want and the levels of inequality that we're prepared to tolerate in this society. So that needs to be addressed as well.

CHAIR: And we talked, didn't we, during your evidence, Ian, about the possibility, the hope that there might be some sort of political consensus about this, so it stops being a cyclical –

DR HYSLOP: That would be a good thing.

CHAIR: A cyclical election driven kaupapa and a child -driven - rather - than – made a child driven kaupapa -.

DR HYSLOP: Yeah.

MS KEREPETI: I'm mindful of the time, but we talked about -- you asked a question about where do we put the resources. Reflecting on something I mentioned earlier about if we see, if we choose, and we can choose to see a child as bad and the situation they've been uplifted from is only bad, and we choose not to see anything good about that child or where they come from, to me is a little bit like saying that -- it's a little bit like seeing that it is only the State that has something good to offer.

I don't believe that. We can choose to see the places and spaces, the people, the communities, the families, whānau, hapū, and others, that children come from as being far more capable and having greater capacity than the State to care for their own. We talked about trust and confidence: where is our trust and confidence when the State – and there is evidence to show it – has failed and continues to?

So, the industry that I spoke about earlier, surely, we're not looking to recreate something that isn't quite the same but has the same tenets. We can't trust whānau to look after themselves. We can't trust families and communities to care for their members. We can. We have to choose to want to do that. We have to be willing to share power and authority and responsibility and resource. Can you imagine, because I can, the investment of resource into the Commission and the role that it plays, and it's a significant role and it helped bring to the fore people's lived experiences, people's stories from the child abused in State or faith-based care. Can you imagine if the resource invested in this process in the Commission were invested directly into the survivors of the abuse experienced in State or faith-based care? There is huge potential and even greater possibility.

So, if you are someone of influence, again, I'm going to implore you to think about how you deinstitutionalise and you deconstruct the industry that's been built on the backs of the abused child and the people that they are drawn from.

DR CARGO: Kia ora whaea.

MS PICKERING: I think I just want to back up that a little bit: where, you know, we see intergenerational abuse, you know, I think seven, five to seven generations of abuse happening and, you know, I agree, tautoko how many of these whānau have been through State care and it hasn't worked out for them? How much of those families have been through the health system, the prison system? I know we've talked about that already.

But also too, how much of those whānau have actually made significant changes in their lives and actually could take on the care of their mokopuna, they've done the work, they've done the healing, and then, but they're denied because of their history. You know, and it's like actually, we should be supporting reunification wrap-around support for that

1	whānau and taking it back to the next generation and saying, "Hey, you know, we
2	acknowledge the journey that you've been on, we can see that you've done the mahi to heal,
3	hey and we can see that your mokopuna are struggling." Why can't we put them back in
4	the care as long as it's safe?

DR CARGO: Kia ora, I'm just looking at our leader over there and she's giving me the eye.

CHAIR: Would you like to sum up Tania?

DR CARGO: I'm not going to do it justice, but I think we're all trying to row the waka in the same direction, and that is really, for me, the key points of being – listen to the child and hear the child's voice, it's about connections and it's not just about the whānau and connections, but it's about the people who are working for the services to be able to connect.

The third one that I think is about the resourcing, and I don't know what that looks like, but it certainly looks and has to be different. And the last one for me really is, it's time to throw things out. You know, we can't just do – I love the way you put it, whaea, we can't just give it a new name, throw some new beautiful money into the booklet and photograph a few Māori kids looking happy and that will make the difference. It's a tough wero and I know, having been at the Māori inquiries, that we also need to stand up whānau, you know, there are many of us who have resources and we could help our own. We've done it in the past, we may need to teach those processes again. And yeah, those were the four things I had, so I'm interested what else you had, because ultimately what you say is important.

CHAIR: Thank you for that, kia ora. Can I just say that I don't know if you planned it, but we've just had the most beautiful segue into this afternoon's session.

DR CARGO: We planned that.

CHAIR: I know you did it deliberately. The last question, the last pātai in that – this afternoon – is, "What entity, State or other, would best address the needs of a child who must be placed in alternative care?" It goes to what Neta's saying, is there another way et cetera.

So, we'll go there, but before we go into the afternoon, may I extend most sincere thanks on behalf of the Commission for the, well, the work you've put in. It's quite obvious you've all thought very deeply and carefully about this, but your courage and fortitude in sitting there and presenting those views so articulately and well, to not just here but to the whole of Aotearoa New Zealand, and the wisdom and the insights and the courageous way in which you've spoken has been so appreciated. I hope you'll be able to stay this afternoon and listen in to the rest of it, but in the meantime can we express our deep gratitude to you

for everything that you've done for us so far, both here – around – I know that each of you has contributed to our work in other ways, so thank you very much. [Applause]

Before we leave, just to remind everybody, that this afternoon, if you are on the panel, this is who you are, just to let everybody know; that the facilitator will be Ian who you've already heard from, our panel members will be Mr Fred Williams, Dr Moana Eruera, Lady Tureiti Moxon, Dr Val McGinn and Elison Mae. If they could present themselves for service, just before 1 o'clock that would be much appreciated. Kia ora tātou, enjoy your lunch.

Lunch adjournment from 12.05 pm to 1.04 pm