ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY FAITH-BASED INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE HEARING

Under	The Inquiries Act 2013
In the matter of	The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in State Care and in the Care of Faith-based Institutions
Royal Commission:	Judge Coral Shaw (Chair) Dr Anaru Erueti Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofivae Paul Gibson
Counsel:	Mr Simon Mount QC, Ms Kerryn Beaton QC, Ms Katherine Anderson, Ms Tania Sharkey, Mr Michael Thomas, Ms Kathy Basire and Ms Alisha Castle for the Royal Commission
	Ms Rachael Schmidt-McCleave and Ms Julia White for the Crown
	Ms Sally McKechnie and Ms Brooke Clifford for Te Rōpū Tautoko, the Catholic Bishops and Congregational Leaders
	Ms India Shores for the Anglican Church
	Ms Maria Dew, Ms Kiri Harkess and Mr Lourenzo Fernandez for the Methodist Church and Wesley Faith.
	Mr Brian Henry, Mr Chris Shannon and Ms Sykes for Gloriavale
Venue:	Level 2 Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry 414 Khyber Pass Road AUCKLAND
Date:	17 October 2022

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

INDEX

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CATHOLIC CHURCH	
ON EDUCATION	109
FATHER TIMOTHY DUCKWORTH	
Questioning by Ms McKechnie	116
Questioning by Mr Thomas	121
Questioning by Commissioners	134
DR ROB FERREIRA, DR CLARE COUCH	
and MR SEAN MAHONY	
Questioning by Ms McKechnie	144
Questioning by Mr Thomas	149
Questioning by Commissioners	160
OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CATHOLIC BISHOPS	
OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CATHOLIC BISHOPS AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS	166
	166
AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS	166 173
AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS BISHOP DUNN AND BISHOP LOWE	
AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS BISHOP DUNN AND BISHOP LOWE Questioning by Ms McKechnie	173
AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS BISHOP DUNN AND BISHOP LOWE Questioning by Ms McKechnie Questioning by Ms Anderson	173 178
AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS BISHOP DUNN AND BISHOP LOWE Questioning by Ms McKechnie Questioning by Ms Anderson Questioning by Commissioners	173 178
AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS BISHOP DUNN AND BISHOP LOWE Questioning by Ms McKechnie Questioning by Ms Anderson Questioning by Commissioners CARDINAL JOHN DEW, DR PAUL FLANAGAN	173 178
AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS BISHOP DUNN AND BISHOP LOWE Questioning by Ms McKechnie Questioning by Ms Anderson Questioning by Commissioners CARDINAL JOHN DEW, DR PAUL FLANAGAN and SISTER SUE FRANCE	173 178 208

1	Hearing opens with waiata He Hōnore and karakia tīmatanga by
2	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei
3	[9.05 am]
4	CHAIR: Tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou katoa. E mihi ana ki a koutou kua tae mai ki tēnei
5	hearing, nau mai haere mai. Welcome to everybody. Again, this is a full week this week,
6	we just had one day last week, but we are now into a very full week. May I welcome
7	everybody who's come today to the hearing in person. I welcome particularly the survivors
8	of abuse and neglect in faith-based institutions because that's what this is all about. I also
9	welcome those watching on livestream, thank you for your interest, thank you for your
10	participation, and I remind you that well-being help is available through the Commission's
11	0800 number if you are watching.
12	With that short introduction, and I should say that I am Coral Shaw, I am an elderly,
13	Pākehā woman with white hair, I wear glasses and today I'm wearing a navy blue jacket
14	and a pink shirt. I'll just quickly get the Commissioners to introduce themselves so you
15	know who they are.
16	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Tēnā koe Chair. Ko Anaru Erueti ahau, no Taranaki, nau mai
17	haere mai koutou. I'm Andrew, one of the Commissioners and wearing a blue suit with a
18	pink shirt. Kia ora.
19	CHAIR: Kia ora. Sandra.
20	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Tēnā koutou katoa. Faatalofa atu i le paia ma le mamalu o le
21	aofia ua mafai na faatasi mai lenei vaiaso. (I extend a warm welcome to distinguished
22	guests who are able to attend this week). I am Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofivae, I am of
23	Samoan and Chinese descent, so Pacific heritage. Today I am wearing a very colourful
24	floral shirt with a black jacket.
25	CHAIR: And Paul Gibson.
26	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Tēnā koutou katoa. Paul Gibson, I'm wearing a dark suit with a
27	blue shirt and pounamu. I usually work with headphones over one ear as I am blind with
28	some peripheral vision, to read documents on the computer. Kia ora.
29	CHAIR: Kia ora koutou. Now today is the day for hearing the institutional response from the
30	Catholic Church, I invite counsel, Ms McKechnie, or maybe I'll start with you.
31	MR THOMAS: Perhaps me first, Madam Chair.
32	CHAIR: Yes, sorry.
33	MR THOMAS: Morena Madam Chair and Commissioners, tena koutou katoa, ko Michael

Thomas ahau. My name is Michael Thomas, I'm one of the Counsel Assisting the
Commission. I'm a male approaching 40, I'm of Fijian Indian and Pākehā descent. Today
I'm wearing a blue shirt with white shirt and a dark blue tie. I have black and grey hair and
a short beard.
I'll be leading the questioning this morning in relation to St Patrick's College

Silverstream starting with the Provincial of the Society of Mary, Tim Duckworth, appearing
via AVL from Rome. He will then be followed by representatives from the school sitting
together in the witness box in person, the current Chairperson of the Board of Proprietors,
the Chairperson of the school and the Rector, sorry-- I should have said the School Board
and the Rector.

11

12

I'll pass over to my friend, Ms McKechnie, now to introduce herself, open and start

Mr Tim Duckworth's evidence-in-chief.

13 **CHAIR:** Kia ora, tēnā koe Ms McKechnie.

MS McKECHNIE: Kia ora ma'am, Commissioners. Just to start with some housekeeping. I'm a
 tall, Pākehā woman with a short ash blonde – my hair dresser tells me – silver bob, I'm
 wearing a dark blue suit and a floral shirt.

17 Commissioners, today because of the number of witnesses, Ms Clifford, who 18 I introduced to you on Thursday, is with me. I'm also joined by a number of other members 19 of the legal team. Sitting at the far end of the table is Dr Kevin Shore. You have received 20 evidence from him, he's the Chief Executive of the New Zealand Catholic Education Office 21 and the expert in integrated education.

As you heard from a private school for Gloriavale on Thursday, today's going to be about integrated education, so if you have any specific questions, Dr Shore is prepared to respond to those at any point across the day.

25 **CHAIR:** Thank you.

26 **MS McKECHNIE:** There are also, as you can see behind me, a significant number of

27 representatives of the Church, clergy and lay Religious and lay members of the Church who
28 are here to kaupapa and support.

29 **CHAIR:** Thanks to all of them for attending the day.

MS McKECHNIE: Thank you ma'am. I have been given 10 minutes to open this morning and I will do that and then Father Duckworth will give evidence. I will then open again in the second half of the day for the institutional response. So this morning's session is very much just focused on education.

CHAIR: That's right.

2

3

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CATHOLIC CHURCH ON EDUCATION

MS McKECHNIE: Commissioners, you have a copy of my written submissions on the bench in
 front of you. They will be available online on the TRT [Te Rōpū Tautoko] website
 momentarily for people who want to follow from home and are being e-mailed to Counsel
 Assisting.

8 To commence, Commissioners, we too acknowledge the survivors and the survivor 9 networks who are here today. We acknowledge all those who are supporting and listening 10 both here in the room and on the livestream. My friend has outlined the evidence that 11 you'll hear today briefly and I will cover that in a little more detail to give you some context 12 to Catholic education before you hear from the four witnesses this morning.

But it's important, Commissioners, of course to acknowledge the harm that has taken place in some Catholic educational institutions and I will do that now. The Bishops and Congregational Leaders who have appeared before you have acknowledged this harm and you will hear it again today in the evidence.

Sadly, this harm has taken place in a number of Catholic schools, including
St Patrick's College Silverstream. And any harm is, of course, unacceptable and
indefensible.

The current leaders of the College who will be giving evidence in the second session also acknowledge this harm. They acknowledge that this abuse that appeared in the history of the College is part of the history that they must carry forward into the future.

Commissioners, on page 3 of the opening submissions I've just outlined, to assist the Commission, the range of evidence that has been filed in relation to education. Some of it has been filed for today's hearing and much of it has been filed for previous hearings, and that in part reflects how important education is to the Catholic Church. So that material is outlined for your and Counsel Assisting's reference.

But I want to start, Commissioners, for you and for those listening with some statistics. These are on page 4 of my written submissions. Catholic education is very significant in New Zealand in terms of scale. There are 236 Catholic State-integrated schools in New Zealand. That's 9.3% of all the schools in New Zealand and that's --

32 **CHAIR:** 9.3 of all schools?

33 **MS McKECHNIE:** All schools, and 67%, ma'am, of all the schools that have a religious

affiliation are Catholic affiliated. Since 1975, all Catholic schools are now State-integrated schools. They're best described as State schools with a special character. For these schools of course that character is Catholic.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

2

Commissioners, there are 334 State-integrated schools. So the Catholic schools amongst those is approximately 70, 71% of all State-integrated schools are Catholic. There are 10 Catholic colleges that currently have boarding facilities and St Patrick's Silverstream is one of those. So there are approximately 66,500 students today being educated in Catholic State-integrated schools and about 1,000 students living in the boarding hostels associated with those colleges. So as you can see, in 2022 it's a very significant part of the New Zealand education system.

Commissioners, what I have attempted in the next part of my opening submissions is a very brief history of Catholic education in New Zealand. And I've set this out in some detail for those who may wish to read it afterwards.

The first Catholic school in Aotearoa opened in 1840. So as you can see this history has been in play for the length of New Zealand's own history of Pākehā colonisation. There have been 390 Catholic schools since 1950. Prior to 1975 these were all private schools. But the way that they were owned and operated varied very significantly between the schools. So I've set out a few examples to highlight that on page 6 because it's important, I think particularly given the Commissioners' concern around responsibility and accountability, to understand how varied and different those arrangements were.

I'd like to highlight four key parts of this history for the Commissioners today for you to have in your mind when you're listening to evidence. The first one is the key regulatory change in 1975. In the mid-'70s, Commissioners, it became increasingly difficult for Catholic schools financially. The upkeep of the buildings and the payment of the staff. Religious and lay Religious staff were not paid salaries in the way that modern teachers are and their numbers began to drop off and the buildings became more expensive to maintain.

28 So in 1975 the pithily named Private Schools Conditional Integration Act was 29 passed. It wasn't just for Catholic schools, Commissioners, it was for any private school 30 that wished to integrate, but all the Catholic schools took that opportunity. And over the 31 next decade all the Roman Catholic schools in New Zealand integrated. So integration is a 32 key feature of understanding Catholic education in New Zealand today.

33

The second point, Commissioners, is that boarding hostels did not integrate.

Because they were not part of the core educational function of the school, they did not integrate and remain privately run. Again, the particular structures are different between the different colleges, and Dr Shore's evidence sets this out, but they all in their genesis have a Catholic entity involved in their operation.

5

6

7

And then, Commissioners, the changes in 1989 which brought in Board of Trustees is the other key regulatory feature, and Ms Schmidt-McCleave outlined that in some detail so I don't propose to do that this morning.

8 I would highlight, however, very recently Boards of Trustees have now been 9 changed to be called School Boards, which can be somewhat confusing and I suspect this 10 morning we may slide back and forward a little bit between School Boards and Boards of 11 Trustees.

CHAIR: I think if we say "boards" we know, if everybody knows that when you say "board" in
 whatever way that's what we're referring to.

MS McKECHNIE: Unfortunately, ma'am, Board of Proprietors is the other key feature so it can be confusing. I think my own clients didn't know they were now called a School Board until we started to prepare this work, ma'am, they still thought they were called the Board of Trustees, it's a very, very recent change.

But that Proprietor point, ma'am, is the other key feature that is important to 18 understand before we commence this morning, and I've briefly outlined that on page 9. 19 20 Catholic State-integrated schools are managed in a very similar way to other State schools, but for this feature of proprietorship. And the Proprietor of the school, again structured 21 differently depending on the school, their role is to own or lease the land and buildings of 22 the school and they're responsible for preserving the special character of the Catholic 23 school, or indeed if it were a Presbyterian school, the special character of the Presbyterian 24 school. 25

The School Board, formerly Board of Trustees, runs the school and is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the school. They employ the staff and the staff are funded by the State. They are State teachers in a State-integrated school. The Proprietor's responsibilities are for land and buildings and special character, and for safety of the hostel if the college has a hostel.

And those features, Commissioners, mean that the history of the last 60 years or 70 years of Catholic education is in two distinct parts; prior to integration where they were private schools run by a number of different Catholic entities and after integration where

they are run by the State and the Proprietor has a role for their special character. And that's
 very significant in the context of responsibility for staff and any harm or abuse that happens
 in the school.

Now Commissioners, we have undertaken a survey as part of this work of harm in schools and as part of the commitment of the Catholic Bishops and Congregational Leaders, all of that harm information about records of harm held by Catholic entries has been publicly published. It was published earlier this year in two parts and it's publicly available for anyone who wishes to see it.

9 We are not aware, Commissioners, of any statistical analysis done about harm in 10 State schools or in other religious integrated schools or in private schools, so we are not 11 aware of whether those records are more, less, or the same than harm in any other 12 educational environment.

13

4

5

6

7

8

Commissioners --

CHAIR: Can I just take you back to one point, sorry I didn't pick it up at that moment. You've made it clear that the big changes in organisation to becoming a State-integrated school are significant in terms of organisation, and you said that the State is now responsible for anything that goes on in those schools in terms of education and indeed if there are problems with the school, if there's harm it's the State's responsibility, is that what you're saying?

MS McKECHNIE: Yes, ma'am, in relation to the teaching and the curriculum, these schools
 teach the New Zealand curriculum and the teachers are appointed by the government.

22 **CHAIR:** I'm really -- of course we're focusing here, aren't we, on abuse and things that go wrong.

23 MS McKECHNIE: Sadly yes, ma'am.

CHAIR: Yes, absolutely, and I want to know does the Catholic Church accept any responsibility
 at all now for those matters, notwithstanding what you have just said, given that they are a
 special character focused on the Catholic Church?

MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am, they certainly accept all responsibility for actions of their own
 members and for when the school was integrated. Those things don't completely overlap,
 in some instances priests or Religious continued to work in schools after integration, so it's
 not a hard deadline.

CHAIR: So if a priest is still working in a school and does something wrong, the Church accepts
 responsibility for the actions of that individual?

33 **MS McKECHNIE:** Absolutely ma'am.

CHAIR: But not of the entire school if something happens, a lay teacher or something else, the
 Church does not accept responsibility for that?

3 **MS McKECHNIE:** The principal responsibility sits with the Crown entity that runs the school.

4 **CHAIR:** Just wanted to be really clear about that.

- 5 **MS McKECHNIE:** Yes, ma'am. The Church doesn't employ or vet or in any way have any
- 6 influence over who's employed in the school and accordingly has no responsibility directly
 7 for those individuals. It is different in the boarding hostel of course ma'am.

8 **CHAIR:** Yeah, thank you. Thanks for clarifying that.

- 9 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: So the boarding school, the hostels, the staff working there, that
 10 falls within the responsibility of the Church?
- MS McKECHNIE: Of the Proprietor of the boarding hostel which ultimately will be a form of
 Church entity structured in a number of different ways, yes.
- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Yes, and the Proprietor can appoint trustees to the Board of
 Trustees?

15 **MS McKECHNIE:** Yes.

16 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** And that's generally the case?

MS McKECHNIE: Yes, as I understand it. Dr Shore would be the expert there, Dr Erueti, but yes, as I understand it. And certainly for Silverstream there is a Board of Proprietors, as you'll hear, a number of those representatives are also appointed on to the Board of

20 Trustees, or now the School Board, as part of the integration agreement for the school.

21 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** Yes, thank you.

- MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am, the special character of the school does somewhat blur the lines because, as the Proprietor responsible for special character, the Proprietor and the Proprietor Board have an interest in a range of elements that are relevant to special character, and for a special character like the Catholic faith and for the school the Marist faith, that is a wide-ranging interest; but specifically if a teacher were to harm a student in
- 27 2022, that is the responsibility of the School Board, the Crown entity that employed the 28 teacher.

29 **CHAIR:** Thank you for clarifying that.

MS McKECHNIE: Commissioners, you have asked to hear from St Patrick's Silverstream today and you will do so. But they are, of course, just one example and as a result cannot really be a particularly representative example of Catholic education. But they are here at your request to answer your questions and will do so to the best of their ability. Silverstream, to briefly introduce the school, is a secondary school boys' boarding college, was founded and remains owned by the Society of Mary and accordingly Father Duckworth will be giving evidence this morning. It was established in 1931 and integrated in 1983. At the moment, Commissioners, it has a role of approximately 700 students and of those 80 approximately live in the boarding hostel.

It has a long history of educating Māori and Pacific boys and young men. The Society of Mary has long connections with Māori through its Māori Missions and with the Pacific Islands and boys from those communities have long come to the school. It's quite striking looking at the old school books how many Māori and Pacific boys in the '40s and '50s attended the school. Presently, the roll is just under 21% of the students identify as being Māori and 27.5% identify as having Pacific heritage. It's a very significant part of the school and its cultural identity.

The special character of Silverstream reflects that it is Catholic and that it is Marist. And this is recognised in the integration agreement. And those three things, that this is a school providing State education, that it's a Catholic school and that it's a Marist school, all are part of the school's identity and hold value for the College. And we've provided a briefing paper to the Commission to lay out that history in some more detail.

18The day-to-day operations sit with the Rector as they always have and you will hear19from Rob Ferreira later who is the present Rector of the school. He has only been in the job20for 20 weeks, but he will answer the questions you have to the best that he can.

To briefly summarise to close these opening submissions, again in the spirit of transparency and openness so everyone listening can hear the information, we have undertaken a review of the reports of harm and abuse that are held in the school records and in the Society of Mary's records.

Between 1950 and 1984 there are 22 reports of harm of abuse against seven known individuals. Those men are all members of the Society of Mary or were. These vary from at the most serious end sexual harm -- for which Alan Woodcock, the most serious of these offenders, was convicted -- through to inappropriate physical punishment. The description in one of the documents is being boxed around the head.

Father Tim will give evidence in relation to the processes that the Society of Mary uses in responding to those allegations and claims when those young men, a number of them, have come forward to the school later.

33

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

In the post-integration period the records are not as strong. The school holds

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

records from 2005 and that's in part because they had the ordinary approach of State schools which is only to hold records for a certain period.

So from 2005 to the present day, there are approximately 10 incidents that we can find in the school's records that required an employment disciplinary response. And again, these vary significantly. At the most serious end, there is an allegation of sexual fondling by an adult, by a staff member on a student. But the majority of the allegations we have records for are the inappropriate use of language or discriminatory language against students. And these records are predominantly in the employment files of the teachers who were warned, or censured, and in some cases lost their jobs in relation to the matters depending on how serious they were.

11 You will hear evidence in relation to those processes today, Commissioners. But 12 particularly for the Rector, having only been in the school this year, necessarily there will 13 be limited detail that can be provided in this forum, because the people involved in those 14 incidents remain part of the school.

We anticipate the school community is watching today and so the witnesses will be very careful about how they respond to your questions to respect the privacy of the individuals involved. And to ensure that the students feel comfortable to come forward and to raise these issues, which is very much the intention of the school leadership.

19 So to conclude my opening on education, Commissioners, as that very brief survey 20 of Catholic education I hope has outlined, the history of Catholic education in New Zealand 21 is significant and it's complex. And since 1975 it has been very closely intermeshed with 22 government and with the Crown, both in regulatory regimes and in control and ownership.

23 So today, Commissioners, we really encourage you to engage with the witnesses 24 this morning to get an understanding of that complexity. Albeit St Patrick's Silverstream is 25 an example of one, they are happy to answer any questions that my friend Mr Thomas or 26 the Commissioners have to try and assist. Anything more technical and more specific,

Dr Shore has been warned he may be karaoke from the end of the bench and he can step in
to answer your questions.

29 **CHAIR:** Thank you.

MS McKECHNIE: Do you have any other questions for me, Commissioners, or should I ask
 Father Duckworth to make himself available?

32 **CHAIR:** Nothing more. Just before he starts, thank you Ms McKechnie, you can be seated.

33 There's reference by Ms McKechnie to the fact that certain people won't be mentioned,

their names won't be mentioned out loud, and I am sure that some people will feel that this is some sort of cover-up, that somehow things are being hidden away.

I have to say that the Commission is bound by rules of natural justice and that it is unfair to name people who are in the middle of having allegations made against them when there's been no conclusion. But I just want to make it quite clear that it does not mean that it ends here. So behind the scenes people are being investigated, incidents are being investigated, and allegations are being put to those people in writing and responses are sought. And the outcome, and I'm sorry to have to say but wait there's more, it will come in our reports.

10 So I know that won't satisfy a lot of people who will want everybody to be named at 11 this stage. It can't be, out of the interests of fairness. But it does not mean that we are not 12 looking at closely and investigating.

So that's all I wanted to say on that point and now might I say -- how are we going
to refer to all our witnesses today, will they want to be called by their first names?

15 **MS McKECHNIE:** Yes ma'am, Tim.

CHAIR: Father Tim, thank you very much for joining us via the AVL and sitting patiently
 through the beginning. We appreciate your attendance from the other side of the world. So
 thank you so much and I'm going to leave you now once I've taken the oath.

19

FATHER TIMOTHY DUCKWORTH (Affirmed)

QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE: Tim, this is the second time that you have appeared to
 give evidence in the Royal Commission and you prepared a brief of evidence for this. Do
 you have a copy of it in front of you?

23 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** I do. I also have a shortened form of it.

MS McKECHNIE: We'll get to that momentarily Tim. Before we do, can you just confirm that the content of your witness statement is true to the best of your knowledge?

26 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** It is.

MS McKECHNIE: Thank you. Now ma'am, given that Tim is in Rome as part of a long meeting, rather than leading evidence-in-chief he has prepared a short summary of his evidence to introduce himself to you again and to those watching, and to summarise his evidence. So Tim, I'd ask that you read that now. Ma'am, we do have a copy of it but it will be read into the record so if --

32 **CHAIR:** So he's going to -- you're just going to read that shortened version, is that right?

33 **MS McKECHNIE:** Yes, he is.

1 **CHAIR:** Thank you, that's very convenient, thank you.

2 MS McKECHNIE: If you could start please, Tim, that would be great.

FATHER DUCKWORTH: Tēnā koutou katoa, my name's Tim Duckworth, I'm a white-haired
 Pākehā who's above retirement age. I think people would say I was pink complected, that
 comes from having red hair in the past. I'm reasonably deaf in my left ear and I wear
 glasses. I'm wearing a striped blue shirt.

I've been Provincial of the Society of Mary New Zealand since 1 February 2020.
I entered the Society in 1973 and was a seminarian until I was ordained a priest in 1982. So
I've been a priest for 40 years and served the Church and the Society in a range of
Ministries and apostolates, including in education.

I've previously given evidence to the Commission in the redress hearing. I reiterate
 the sentiments and acknowledgements I made then that more should have been done to
 prevent the pain and suffering of all those who should have been kept safe in our care,
 including at St Patrick's College Silverstream and other schools.

What happened does not reflect what the Society of Mary stands for, and it doesn't reflect why we provide education in Aotearoa New Zealand. The Society has a long association with education in New Zealand. The Society has a large focus on education, evangelising and developing young people to reach their potential through education and formation has been, and still is, an important aim of the Society.

The Marist traditions continue to inform the core values of Society's schools, including St Patrick's College Silverstream. Marist traditions and our charism are reflected in the special character of the Society's schools. While the charism remains, expressions of it and our values change over time and adapt to the circumstances that occur in society and in the world.

The Society previously staffed a number of New Zealand schools. These were 25 mainly diocesan schools, meaning they were owned by the diocesan Bishop, and these 26 schools we provided teaching staff and ran the schools for the Diocese and the Bishop, but 27 we did not own the schools. In all of these schools the Marist presence gradually declined 28 over time, especially after integration. Today we have beneficial ownership of three 29 secondary schools. From the beginning the society has owned the land and buildings of 30 these schools, St Patrick's Wellington, St Bede's College Christchurch and St Patrick's 31 Silverstream. 32

33

Both Silverstream and St Bede's have boarding houses. Only one member of the

3

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

Society remains teaching in New Zealand; he teaches at St Patrick's College Wellington. Three others provide part-time chaplaincy or ministry at these schools. One of them provides ministry or chaplaincy at St Patrick's College Silverstream.

4

I taught briefly myself at St Patrick's Silverstream in the years '78 and '79. I was a seminarian at the time, so I had not yet been ordained. I have not held any other role since then at the College. My time at Silverstream began my extensive career in education and youth development working in various Catholic secondary schools and boarding schools. I've held positions on Catholic School Boards and providers, School Boards and as a Dean of boarding.

10I have limited personal knowledge of the day-to-day governance and management11of St Patrick's Silverstream at the present day. This is because the Society has what I12would call an appropriate arm's-length relationship with the Board of Proprietors for the13College.

The Society, as the founder and owner of the College, assures the Catholic community that the College is well-run and successfully so as a Catholic school and the Society supports it on that basis. But we do not determine how the College operates at a day-to-day level.

I will summarise my understanding of the governance entities and relationships of the College, where the Society has fitted into these relationships over time. I understand after me you will hear from the current Chairs of the Board of Proprietors and School Board and the Rector of the College who are much more able to speak to the current governance and management arrangements at the College than I am. I will defer questions to them where appropriate. As Ms McKechnie said, Dr Kevin Shore is there too to answer general questions, specific questions about how the Catholic system works.

I'll now take you through my understanding of the governance of the College from 25 its beginnings through integration into the State system to today. Prior to integration, the 26 Rector was also the superior, the leader of the Marist community who was appointed by the 27 Superior General of the Society in Rome. The Rector had complete day-to-day 28 management of the school. The responsibility for such appointments was transferred to the 29 New Zealand Provincial in 1971 and he held this responsibility until integration. From then 30 on under integration the appointment of the Rector became the responsibility of the School 31 Board. 32

33

In 1966 prior to integration the Society set up Silverstream Board of Proprietors,

previously known as the Silverstream College Trust Board -- that makes it even more confusing when the word "trust" is used, but they are both in fact trusts -- to hold the real and personal property of the College, including the land and buildings, in trust for the Society.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

The Provincial of the Society appoints people to the Board of Proprietors. In 1975 the Private Schools Conditional Integration Act was passed and the head of the school's integration, the purpose of the Trust Board was changed and extended in 1980 to include acting as the Proprietor of the College. But the College itself wasn't integrated until 1983.

As a result of integration of the College, the Silverstream Board of Proprietors
became, and still is, responsible for governance and oversight of the boarding component of
the College. It develops policies and procedures and the Rector and boarding school
managers, such as the Director of Boarding, are responsible to them. The boarding hostel
was not integrated under the integration agreement. But there are government regulations
that are used in the boarding hostels.

The Board of Proprietors is also responsible under the trust deed and the Silverstream integration agreement for the College land and buildings and for safeguarding the special character of the College. However, the special character of the College is the shared responsibility of several bodies and individuals. Each board and several people, including the School Board, the Rector, the Director of Religious Studies and others take their part in this.

At integration, Silverstream,- which is often what the school is just called, just called Silverstream-,- became a State school with a special Catholic character. Like all State schools the school came under the governance of the Board of Governors, later called the Board of Trustees and more recently known as the School Board-.

Since 2014 the Society has established a senate which has overall oversight of the Boards of Proprietors at Society owned schools, the three schools I mentioned earlier. The senate, on behalf of the Provincial and Council of the Society, is tasked with making sure that all elements of proprietorship are cared for by the Boards of Proprietors and to provide support and liaison with the boards. This includes advice on boarding facilities, land and buildings and special character.

The Provincial does not typically participate in meetings with the senate but expects to be kept ahead or abreast of any matters that may require the Provincial to make a decision or are just important for him to know.

I will now move to my thoughts about the prevention and response to reports of abuse that I understand will be canvassed at this hearing. It's terrible and very distressing that there have been a number of historical incidents or instances of abuse at Silverstream. From 2002 I was significantly involved with meetings with the men who reported abuse from their time at Silverstream and who went through the Society's redress processes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

24

25

26

27

28

All of these instances of abuse related to members of the Society and therefore come under the responsibility of the Society. I'm not aware that there have been serious incidents of harm involving children, young people or adults since the mid-1980s. One of the offenders was convicted for his crimes in 2004. As I have done before, I encourage anyone who has suffered harm at the hands of our priests in Silverstream, and elsewhere – anywhere in fact – to approach the Society. We want to assist you.

I have limited personal knowledge of events that took place at St Patrick's
Silverstream prior to 2002, as I was not there other than in 1978 and 1979. I was not
involved in any decisions about priests against whom allegations of abuse were made from
the 1950s to the 1980s.

It's very apparent to me that the steps taken in responding to the allegations of abuse at the time of the offending were inadequate. It's quite unusual that there were reports and allegations of abuse at the time of offending and I'll come to that in a little bit more.

19 The response that occurred at the time I believe lacked compassion and it lacked 20 insight and it failed to respond adequately to the needs of those that were harmed. For a 21 young person to come forward in the 1980s and report abuse was remarkably courageous. 22 That requires a strength that most of us would not have had. I'm deeply saddened that those 23 who spoke up were not helped in any significant way as they should have been.

Adults can be inadequate and can act very inadequately when faced with information disclosed to them. Adults often see the need to protect reputations and possibly even themselves when they should see first to the needs of the young people in front of them and the real need to report such behaviour to parents, to caregivers, and to the Police immediately.

One thing I hope that comes out of the Commission would be the recommendation that mandatory reporting by all adults who care for children and young people is made law in Aotearoa New Zealand. Documentary records showed that there was a heavy reliance on the opinions and assessment of professional psychiatrists in determining the ability of the alleged offender to rehabilitate and the suitability of them to continue working in certain

areas or in ministry. This resulted in instances where alleged offenders were transferred to 1 other areas of ministry where re-offending occurred. This is extremely regrettable. It's 2 awful. It's certainly not how the Society would deal with alleged offenders today. 3 MS McKECHNIE: Tim, if I can just stop you there. I understand that Michael's going to ask you 4 a number of questions about the redress process which you cover in the next part of your 5 summary. 6 FATHER DUCKWORTH: Okay, so just leave it alone. 7 8 MS McKECHNIE: It's perhaps not as brief as I think the Commissioners may have asked, so I'll pause you there because that's the period of time we've been given, and then Michael's 9 10 going to ask you some questions. FATHER DUCKWORTH: I'm very happy. 11 **CHAIR:** All right, thank you very much for that summary, Tim, that's extremely helpful to us. 12 I'm now going to hand over to Mr Thomas to ask you some questions. 13 QUESTIONING BY MR THOMAS: Thank you Madam Chair. Morena Tim. Can you hear me 14 15 okay, first of all? FATHER DUCKWORTH: I can, yes. 16 **MR THOMAS:** Thank you. I'm going to start with some questions about some well-known 17 abusers at Silverstream College in the period pre-integration, that is pre1983 when the 18 Society of Mary staffed the college. I appreciate that you weren't the Provincial at that 19 20 time, but as the representative of the Society today I'll be asking for your comment as best you can on some of these events. 21 Starting with Alan Woodcock, and I'm going to call up a document on the screen so 22 23 that the Commissioners can follow it. This is CTH0004888. CHAIR: Just for those in the hearing room, you will not see these, as explained at the beginning 24 of the hearing, because of the names mentioned, there's some privacy matters, there's a 25 whole lot of things and it was not possible to get them up in a clean source, so you won't 26 see them, but counsel will read out the relevant parts so that you can hear what is being 27 referred to. Thank you. 28 29 MR THOMAS: Thank you, Madam Chair. Tim, do you have a copy of this document? 30 FATHER DUCKWORTH: I do have it, yes thanks. 31 MR THOMAS: Thank you. So this is a document provided to the Royal Commission by the 32 Catholic Church summarising information held about Alan Woodcock. On page 2 of that 33

document we see a note --

- MS McKECHNIE: Can we just clarify, this was a document prepared for the Police. If you
 could just take Tim to the first page and just explain what it is, Michael.
- 4 MR THOMAS: I understand this is a document prepared for the Police, but provided to the
 5 Commission and prepared by the Catholic Church or the Society of Mary. My friend will
 6 correct me if I'm wrong.

7 FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes.

- MR THOMAS: So on page 2 we see a note there recording that Alan Woodcock -- this is setting
 out a chronology of some of the main events -- we see a note that he was convicted in 1979
 of sexually assaulting a male -- I understand from other documents filed, a 17-year-old -- in
 Christchurch and he received a suspended sentence. He was referred for psychiatric
- 12 treatment and appointed in 1980 to a parish role as an assistant priest.
- 13Do you have any comment on why Alan Woodcock was appointed to another role14following a criminal conviction for a sexual offence?
- **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** The page that you refer to in fact says what was there. He was sent to a well-known psychiatrist who examined him at the time and it was his opinion that the treatment that he received was such that he could be rehabilitated both medically and psychologically. I don't think a psychiatrist would say that nowadays. The world was a lot more naive in those years, I think.
- MR THOMAS: Three years after that criminal conviction, so in 1982, he was appointed to a teaching position at Silverstream College by the then Provincial. Why was a convicted sexual offender appointed to a teaching position by the Society of Mary?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: I would say that he believed that the psychiatrist said to him that he would be rehabilitated after his treatment. I wouldn't do that, I don't think anybody would do that nowadays. The nature of sexual offending is such that people that do these things generally are recidivists. I don't think that was well known then, I don't think the Society understood that and I don't think the society in general understood that either.
- 28 **CHAIR:** Can I just ask a question in here.
- Tim, did and does the Society of Mary have a policy about what happens when any of its members is convicted of any offence? So, for example, had a person who was a priest been convicted, say, of, I don't know, embezzlement, fraud, theft, would that person be acceptable to the Society of Mary -- let's give it a real example. If somebody was convicted of theft of monies from the Society of Mary, a breach of trust and a criminal act. Would

that person have been, back then in the '80s, kept on as a priest and allowed to continue his representation of the Society of Mary?

FATHER DUCKWORTH: I guess they would have made a judgement at the time based on what
 they were told. In fact we don't have anybody that had any cases other than cases of this
 type. There are no other, that I know of, convictions at all for anything other than these
 ones here and some others that happened about the same time.

CHAIR: Do you accept that there's a similarity -- I really accept it's a hypothetical, it's difficult –
 but do you see a deep breach of trust, breaches the trust of the Society of Mary, stole
 money, for example, I would imagine the Society of Mary would take that very seriously
 and look sideways at anybody.

FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yeah, we would, absolutely, absolutely we would take it very
 seriously. And I don't think, you know – it's like a lot of things. Where people are likely to
 re-offend and/or they've done something serious, then I think there have to be serious
 consequences.

15 **CHAIR:** Thank you.

1

2

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Can I just ask a question in here as well, Tim, it's Sandra Alofivae here. So at that time, obviously the theology was the overriding principle when it came to looking at matters of offending, so you're saying that there were only offences of this nature that were coming to the attention of the Society of Mary. I'm just wanting to understand the context and at what point that might have changed in latter years.

FATHER DUCKWORTH: Sandra, I'm not sure I understand your question. I think I said that there were some convictions, both these ones and some others from another school, I think two other members of the Society have been convicted, and all of them are for sexual abuse.

25 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Yes, that's right.

FATHER DUCKWORTH: There are no other convictions that I know of. I imagine people
 have had speeding tickets and things like that.

28 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Thank you, I think you've answered it actually, thank you.

29 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: May I just quickly, Tim, it's Anaru Erueti here. I see from your

30 brief of evidence that much is made of knowledge of the day and naivete and even

31 ignorance I think you describe about knowledge of these offenders and perpetrators.

I saw -- when reading your evidence, it made me think, when exercising this judgement

these are intelligent people, they may not be fully apprised of, might not have the benefit of

science that we have on the behaviour of predators today, but still, when you talk about naivete and ignorance about re-appointing an offender to a position of responsibility over vulnerable people, I wonder how far you can push that judgement.

FATHER DUCKWORTH: And I can understand what you're saying. The reality is, you know, 4 what you and I both now know we wouldn't do that. I'm not sure that guys who were in 5 authority in our outfit had much knowledge at all of sexual abuse and things like that. The 6 other thing, I think, is there's a sort of framework that works within religion that works on, 7 8 you know, you say you won't do it again, you know, you have what I've heard people say, a purpose of amendment, you know, I won't do that again, I'll pray more, I'll do things like 9 10 that. I mean, you and I would think that was incredibly naive. But I think that people at times wanted to believe what they were told. 11

12 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** Thank you. I pass it back to counsel.

13 **MR THOMAS:** Thank you, Commissioners.

1

2

3

- 14 Tim, do you accept that Woodcock's appointment to Silverstream three years after 15 his criminal conviction did place students at the college at risk of harm?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: I think I'd say yes to that now. I think the trouble is that this wellknown psychiatrist said he'd rehabilitated them. A psychiatrist wouldn't say that now. I think that they got the best of advice they could get at the time.
- MR THOMAS: Thank you. We know that the first report of abuse against Alan Woodcock came in that very same year that he was appointed to Silverstream, in 1982. Six other individuals have subsequently reported abuse by him relating to the 1980s period. Would you accept that the Society has failed these individuals by placing Woodcock at the college following
- 23 that criminal conviction?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: I just repeat what I just said, I think. I wouldn't have placed him there.

MR THOMAS: Do you accept, then, on behalf of the Society that there was a failing by placing
 him there?

- FATHER DUCKWORTH: Well, no -- later on perhaps I would accept it, but in this particular
 instance I think they sought the best advice that was available, and that was the advice that
 they were given, that it was okay, he would be -- he would be and was rehabilitated by the
 treatment he was given.
- Now, you and I think that's incredibly naive that they believed that. At the time I think this guy, this doctor that did it said that he would be and -- could be and would be

both medically and psychologically rehabilitated by the treatment. 1 2 **MR THOMAS:** I'm just going to refer now to another page number on the same document at page 11. There's a -- I'll give you a minute. This is a letter from the then Rector of the 3 college to the head of -- the then head of the Society of Mary about Alan Woodcock. Do 4 you have that in front of you? 5 **CHAIR:** For the record, if you could just give us the date, please. 6 MR THOMAS: Yes, it's a little hard to read on my screen but I think it's 22nd of August 1982. 7 8 Do you have that, Tim? FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes. 9 10 **MR THOMAS:** I'm going to ask you about this, I appreciate you were not the author or recipient. The letter states at paragraph 1, in relation to Woodcock that "clearly there had been, to say 11 the very least, grave indiscretions." The letter then states: 12 "These indiscretions placed the name, the reputation, the future of the school in 13 serious jeopardy." 14 So there was clearly a concern expressed there for the school's reputation. My 15 question is, is there concern anywhere expressed here for the well-being of the survivor or 16 victims of those indiscretions? 17 FATHER DUCKWORTH: I think that's exactly what I said in my opening brief, that that 18 significant lack is apparent to me. I would have said that the most important thing was the 19 20 young people in the school at the time. Much rather than what people might think about it. 21 **MR THOMAS:** Thank you. The letter goes on at paragraph 2 to state that: "Certain precautions must be taken" -- this is in relation to Woodcock's ongoing 22 work, if you like -- "including leaving his door open if a boy comes to see him unless the 23 visit is of a confessional nature or a private matter." 24 Thinking about that, do you think that was an effective method for protecting 25 students? 26 FATHER DUCKWORTH: No. 27 **MR THOMAS:** At paragraph 3 the letter goes on to state: 28 29 "Finally, I suggest that to cover any possible eventuality he should make immediate moves to acquire a passport." 30 Do you know what he would need a passport for? 31 FATHER DUCKWORTH: Well, this very man who wrote this letter was asked that by the 32 Police, and what he said to the Police was that he knew that people who were treated for 33

serious issues of this nature were in fact sent to courses either in Australia or perhaps 1 2 England or elsewhere. That's what he gives as his answer. I mean, who am I to say that's not the reason why he did it. I don't know any other reason. That's what he said his reason 3 for saying it was. 4 **MR THOMAS:** Sending offenders for treatment, I think you'd accept was a common approach by 5 the Society at that time? 6 FATHER DUCKWORTH: No, no, it wasn't a *common* thing at the time, but it would have 7 8 happened before I'm sure. **MR THOMAS:** So just to clarify that, it wasn't a practice of the Society to send offenders for 9 10 treatment? FATHER DUCKWORTH: When I use the word -- when you use the word "offenders", you 11 know, it's something of a joke in television world and movies and things like that that 12 priests can be alcoholics and things like that. "Offenders" might be the wrong word, but we 13 would have sent people who may have had problems like that for treatment overseas as 14 15 well. MR THOMAS: Thank you. But by late 1982 the decision had been made to move Woodcock 16 17 elsewhere, hadn't it? FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes. 18 MR THOMAS: He started a new role in 1983 at a novitiate where priests are trained, is that 19 20 right? FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes. 21 **MR THOMAS:** Would you agree there's a risk that sending a convicted member to a training 22 23 centre for priests may contribute or perpetuate the spread of abuse within the Society? FATHER DUCKWORTH: I think what we're talking about here is a man who had serious 24 problems. It seems to me that anywhere and everywhere he went he was tempted by the 25 forces within him to act in ways that were against the law. And so I think it would be fair 26 to say that wherever he was he would have. 27 MR THOMAS: In 1986 he was sent to Sydney for treatment, as I think you've mentioned, and we 28 29 see that at pages 3 to 4 of that summary document, and then later to Ireland for further treatment. He was removed from ministry in 1988. The Commission has a statement from 30 a survivor of Alan Woodcock's abuse and I'm just going to talk about in summary what that 31 32 survivor said and then ask you a question.

33 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** Sure.

MR THOMAS: The survivor attended Silverstream from 1981 to 1985. For the record this is 1 witness number 0003001. Woodcock was his music teacher there at Silverstream. After 2 attending at age 18 he was travelling and staying with relatives in Australia. Woodcock 3 had been sent to Sydney for treatment then and was working as a parish priest there at the 4 time. The survivor met Woodcock there and Woodcock sexually assaulted him by pinning 5 him to a bed at his accommodation. The survivor had to physically defend himself from 6 the attack. 7 8 Do you have any comment on the conduct reported by that survivor? FATHER DUCKWORTH: It was obviously a sexual assault. What sort of comment would you 9 10 like me to make about it? **MR THOMAS:** That's fine, thank you. Woodcock was prosecuted again, wasn't he? 11 FATHER DUCKWORTH: Well, not for that incident I don't think. 12 MR THOMAS: No, in relation to other complaints. 13 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** Woodcock was prosecuted for several complaints where people 14 15 came forward, yes, yes. MR THOMAS: Yes. In 2004 he was convicted of 21 charges against 11 boys for the period 1978 16 17 to 1987, and I understand --FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes, that was what -- that first document you showed me was the 18 stuff that we prepared to assist the Police with that, yes. 19 20 **MR THOMAS:** Thank you. Some of those charges, as I understand, related to students at the 21 college but the victims, of course, have name suppression. He was sentenced to seven years imprisonment. 22 23 I'm going to move to another member of the Society now, Father Durning, deceased. 24 FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes. 25 **MR THOMAS:** And ask you some questions in a similar way in relation to him. He was the 26 Rector of Silverstream from 1950 to 1956 and I'm going to call up the same sort of 27 summary document, CTH0004726. I'll give you a moment to get that. 28 29 FATHER DUCKWORTH: I've got that, thanks, I've got quite a small desk here and just a little laptop, I've got stuff spread around here so I have to keep finding it, thank you. 30 MR THOMAS: Thank you. And feel free to let me know if you need a moment. We see -- on 31 page 1 of this document we see a reference in the chronology to his appointment, this is 32 Father Frank Durning, to Silverstream, on page 1, 1950 to 1956. 33

FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes.

- 2 MR THOMAS: You might recall that we heard from a survivor, Mr F, this is witness 0025001, at the faith-based redress hearing in December 2020. I'm going to just talk about what he said 3 and another survivor and then ask you a question. 4
- Mr F said that he suffered abuse by this Society member, Father Durning, at the 5 college's boarding school in 1953 when he was 14 years old. He gave evidence about the 6
- lifelong impacts that this abuse had on him. He expressed a concern that the member had 7 8 been moved to other places where he had access to children.
- Another survivor, witness 0113001, whose evidence was given by a family member 9 10 at that hearing, stated that --

FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes. 11

- MR THOMAS: -- he suffered abuse, sexual abuse in 1951 as a 13-year-old boarding student at 12 the college, perpetrated over four years by Durning and one other SM, Society of Mary 13 member. Do you have any comment to make on those survivor statements and what their 14 15 experience was?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: I believe them completely. I'm sure that they were sexually abused 16 17 by Durning.
- MR THOMAS: After Silverstream, Durning was placed at St Patrick's College in Wellington as 18 Vice Rector from 1956 to 1964, and we see that in the chronology on that document. We 19 20 have a survivor who told us about abuse he suffered at that college, St Patrick's College in Wellington, by Durning in 1961 as a teenager when he was indecently assaulted following 21 a service. That is witness 0459001, for the record. 22
- That survivor believes Durning targeted not only vulnerable boys but others who 23 did not come from difficult backgrounds, such was his confidence in his status that he could 24 overcome any complaint against him. Do you have any comment on that last statement? 25
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: Sadly I think it's true that Durning was a man who was -- who took 26 power to the wrong extreme. I think he was a bad man who joined us. I don't know what 27 was the aetiology of his problem, but he seems to have gone from place to place doing 28 exactly the same sort of thing again and again, and ruining the lives of young people. A 29 terrible man. 30

MR THOMAS: After finishing at St Patrick's College, Wellington, in 1964 he was appointed to 31 part-time lecturing positions at the university, wasn't he, we can see that on the chronology. 32

FATHER DUCKWORTH: He was. I'm not sure whether he was lecturing at the university, I 33

think he was running a university hostel and maybe giving some freelance lectures, but I don't think he actually worked for the university.

MR THOMAS: Okay, it's recorded on that chronology, I see about middle of the page, "Assistant
 lecturer, philosophy, Assistant lecturer, history", but whatever the case, we'll leave, I don't
 think it's --

FATHER DUCKWORTH: You may well be right, yeah, I don't think I knew that. You're
 probably right.

MR THOMAS: Thank you. In any event, in 1965 as a hall warden and chaplain at a
 New Zealand University, a confidential letter was received by the then Society Provincial
 about Durning prying into boys' sex lives in confessional matters. The Provincial's
 response was to appoint someone else to Durning's position. Was that an adequate
 response to the confidential complaint?

- FATHER DUCKWORTH: I can't really say. I mean, this gentleman that complained was 13 obviously a very skilful man and he's made a fairly accurate statement of what happened, 14 so I just think that, you know, if we're to go through everything that Durning did, we're just 15 going to find time and time again that he offended in this way. The only thing is, this here, 16 is the first time that I'm aware anyway, of a complaint actually receiving -- being received 17 by the Provincial. The earlier ones that you spoke of, they didn't actually inform the 18 Society until after Durning's death. This guy is informing the Society about him prying 19 20 into their things, not actually about sexual abuse, if you know what I mean.
- I'm not trying to justify what he was doing, I'm just saying he's not reporting sexual abuse, he's reporting nosiness and prying into their private lives, which I also find abhorrent, but it isn't sexual abuse, I don't think.
- MR THOMAS: Durning remained in these university lecturing positions or assistant positions
 after that complaint until he left for Rome in 1972. Is that correct?

26 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** 1972? Yes.

1

2

- MR THOMAS: Thank you. He then returns to New Zealand after 1972 to other postings by the
 Society between 1976 and 1991 -- we see that in the chronology -- including teaching
 positions. Significantly, those teaching appointments came after a further concerning
 report made in 1977 by a lecturer, a concern reported to the Provincial in relation to a
 student at a seminary about Durning wanting to look at a blemish on the student's backside.
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: I actually think it's the other way around. He wanted the student to
 look at a blemish on Durning's backside.

MR THOMAS: I see. From 2002, five individuals reported abuse by Durning for the period
 1951 to 1962 when Durning was at Silverstream and St Patrick's College, Wellington.
 Reflecting on these reports of abuse and the survivor evidence that I've summarised, do you
 think enough was done by the Society to protect students from Durning?
 FATHER DUCKWORTH: In hindsight the answer to that is obviously "no". But at the time
 these things were not reported. What, even from the seminary here, I don't think he's

- actually talking -- they're not actually describing sexual abuse, they're describing, I would
 say, behaviour that's abhorrent but it's not sexual abuse, I don't think. I'm not trying to
 justify it, I just don't think that what's being described is sexual abuse.
- MR THOMAS: I'm going to move on, Tim, in the interest of time, to talk briefly, more briefly about another member of the Society who I'll refer to as Father X who was allowed to return to Silverstream in the 1970s, two years after having been removed from the school due to at least two complaints of abuse.
- 14Do you accept that that Father's re-appointment was inappropriate and placed15students at risk?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes, I think so, yeah. I think that it was -- what was reported was
 about somebody -- people weren't certain who had done it, and he vehemently denied that
 he had. I'm sure you've got the document where in fact I interviewed him many years later
 and he actually admitted to doing it, but at the time he said he didn't do it, and he was lying,
 yeah.
- MR THOMAS: Thank you. And he was sent for treatment, I understand, as well. Does the
 Society still send offenders to treatment?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: We would but we haven't had any in recent years, so the answer to
 that is really "no".
- MR THOMAS: Was that member, Father X, reported by the Society to Police to your
 knowledge?
- 27 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** Yes, yes, I did it personally.

28 **MR THOMAS:** When was that?

- FATHER DUCKWORTH: Well, it's certainly since 2002. I spoke to the Police about him, gave details of where I thought he was and that sort of thing. I don't have any personal contact with this Father X, as you call him, but I cooperated with the Police in trying to help them to locate where he might be.
- 33 MR THOMAS: What about, was he reported to Police, I guess, at this earlier time, around these

reports of abuse?

FATHER DUCKWORTH: I don't think so. But as I say, he denied it to the people that were investigating it at the school, and I think the individuals themselves, and I'm not trying to defend it at all, but it happened in the dark after lights out, as it were, and they were not certain of who it was that had actually abused them. And they couldn't offer proof positive that that was who -- that was their suspicion, I believe, but I don't think that they could say with any certitude that it was him.

- 8 Not that I think it's acceptable or reasonable or anything, but you know, people tend 9 towards believing people when they say, "No, it wasn't me." I didn't and when I asked him 10 he agreed that it was lies.
- 11 CHAIR: Can I just ask a question on that, Tim. A complaint was made, he denied it, there was 12 some equivocation about the evidence, whether he could be identified, but he was sent for 13 treatment. Do you know when he was sent for treatment in relation to all of that?
- 14 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** Yes, after I'd got -- after I had got the truth out of him.
- 15 **CHAIR:** Ah, it was after you got the truth?
- 16 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** Yes, it was.

17 **CHAIR:** Okay, thank you.

- MR THOMAS: Thank you, Tim, I'm just going to ask a final question to conclude this section then I've got two or three broader questions based on your statement and then I think we'll conclude there. Are you going okay for time at your end?
- 21 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** Yes, it's fine, it's only 20 past 11 at night.
- 22 **MR THOMAS:** Thank you.
- **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** I'm a night owl so I'm fine, it's absolutely fine.
- 24 **MR THOMAS:** Thank you, it won't be much longer.
- In total the documents filed by the Church's solicitors state that abuse allegations
 have been made against nine Society members relating to 26 reports of alleged abuse at
- 27 Silverstream College for the period 1951 to 1985. I just want to ask, do you have any
- comment on those overall numbers?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: I guess the thing I would say is this: some of the worst offenders that
 we've had were at this school and so I don't think this represents what happens in every
 Catholic school. I'm absolutely sure that you've chosen this school because it's not a good
- 32 example, or it is a very good example of what shouldn't happen. But some of these people,
- 33 you know, Alan Woodcock and Frank Durning were two of our worst abusers.

1 **MR THOMAS:** Yes.

- FATHER DUCKWORTH: So it's a very high number, I think it's awful. And I think the
 families at Silverstream must wonder what on earth went on.
- MR THOMAS: Thank you. Moving now to a couple of questions on your witness statement that
 you've provided, so this is your written statement. I'm going to refer you to paragraph 39
 and I'll, again, summarise that. This is your witness statement, for the record, 0253004, and
 at paragraph 39 you note that you were not aware of any formal policies or procedures in
 place pre-1989 to deal with prevention and responding to reports of abuse, and the Society
 and wider Church didn't establish such policies until the mid-1990s.
- 10 My question is, given that even in a few of the examples we've looked at here today, 11 there were complaints, some of which went back to the 1960s and '70s, was the Society too 12 slow to act to put processes in place?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: I've been trying to locate the document that you've mentioned, and I
 can't locate it. Were we too slow to act when -- and put policies in place?

15 **MR THOMAS:** Yes.

- FATHER DUCKWORTH: Look, I think all sexual abuse is dreadful, but I can't say about all cases, but I do think that the Church in general was slow to act when these things were occurring. One of the big problems, and I'm not trying to be smart or anything like that, is that a lot of sexual abuse is reported years later. One of the cases in Silverstream was reported at the time and I would have thought that would have been enough to make people think that more should have been done, to be honest.
- MR THOMAS: Referring to your statement again, this time at paragraph 54, I'll give you a
 moment to get that reference.
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: For some reason or other that document I put out of my range and I
 can't find it, but sorry, carry on, I'll be fine with you just reading it to me.
- 26 MR THOMAS: Thank you, I'll paraphrase what you've said and I'm sure my friend will let me 27 know if there's any issues.
- So you state there that the process in the earlier days of the school when a complaint was received about abusive behaviour, the Rector would inform the Provincial and ask for the offender to be removed from the school. The Provincial would arrange for clinical intervention, assessment and treatment.
- 32 My question is, you don't mention there what was done for survivors.
- 33 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** Yeah, that's what I think is disgusting. I think that -- you would

think that the very first thing that you would do would be to look at how you help

2 survivors, to be honest.

3 **MR THOMAS:** You do make some -- sorry-- go ahead.

- FATHER DUCKWORTH: People that offend need treatment, sure, and possibly, you know, to be incarcerated so that society is safe, but I would have thought as a Congregation, as we are, that one of our key sort of things would be mercy and compassion and that then the needs of people that were abused should have been paramount, in my mind anyway. I think it's terrible that it wasn't.
- 9 MR THOMAS: You do make some acknowledgements around pages 24 to 25 of your statement.
 10 I appreciate you can't find that right now, but I'll again paraphrase in relation to past
- 11 practices of the Society. Just paraphrasing your paragraphs 78 and 80, for example, you
- 12 talk about less than satisfactory responses to reports of abuse, overreliance on

13 psychological advice, and little assistance given to victims.

- 14 My question is, what do you put that down to, the key reasons for these failings?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: If you go back to what I said at the beginning, that people were
 frightened of the consequences of the information getting out in public, and that shouldn't
 have been their first concern. Their first concern should have been for anybody that was
 hurt. That's what I believe anyway.
- MR THOMAS: When reflecting on these failings, I want to ask you a final question. Do you think that too much weight was put on protecting members of the Society and the reputation of the Society over the well-being of survivors?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: I don't know it was so much about members of the Society as the reputation of the school. I think that would have been, that would have been the paramount thought of it. But, you know, I mean, I can't say with any certitude, I'm sure it was a mixture of all of those. People that find themselves in hot water often are most concerned with themselves and their perspective of the events. I think I've seen that time and time again in society. We see it all the time in governments and things like that too.
- MR THOMAS: Yes. In responding to those people though, do you accept, or what do you think about the Society's approach as a whole? Do you think it was too protective of the school or the Society rather than looking at the well-being of survivors?

FATHER DUCKWORTH: Well, if I go to the one person that came forward and say, you know, what has happened to him, I don't know that he wasn't helped. You know, I would like to find out whether he was helped or not. I know that his name was given to Police. Whether

he was one of the people that went to court to see the man that abused him convicted, I
don't know because the names are not available to me nor to you. So I can't ask him
whether he was helped at all, whether he was given counselling, whether he was -- his
parents were helped and told, I just don't know, to be honest, what was the -- what was
done to help him. I would live in hope that something was done to help him, but I just don't
know.

- MR THOMAS: Thank you. That brings me to the end of my questions, Tim. Thank you for
 staying up late at your end. I'll leave you to my friend Ms McKechnie and the
 Commissioners to see if there's any final matters.
- CHAIR: I think -- were you wishing to ask any questions? I'd- like to give the Commissioners an
 opportunity to ask.
- MS McKECHNIE: I was just going to clarify, Commissioners, there is an appendix that was prepared, which is confidential, which details some of the responses so if the
- 14 Commissioners are looking for more information about some of the matters that Tim was 15 talking about, that information is there with all the primary document references.
- 16 **CHAIR:** Thank you. I'm just going to check with Commissioners if they have any questions.
- COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Thank you, Tim. You said at some point in relation to Father X that people tend to believe people if they say they didn't do something. Looking at what lessons were learned in reflection, in balance, who should be believed when you hear alleged perpetrators, when you hear stories from survivors? How do people in your role reflect on these things today?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: Look, I've seen a number of people that have been abused, not only 22 23 through the Society but through other things, but also just in ordinary life. Most people that abuse, you know, and it's very simple, people say it's about power rather than sex and 24 things like that, but they are often powerful people and their ability to speak and to sort of 25 put out a bow wave of not my, you know, that couldn't possibly have happened, I was so 26 and so, you know, I think that all of that militates against the believing of, say, a young boy 27 that came forward at Silverstream. You know, he must be very proud of himself that he did 28 29 come forward. He was believed. I hesitate to say that, you know, even after he was sent to Alan Woodcock again to say go and face it in front of him again, he came back to the 30 Rector at the time and said yes, he brought two mates with him, he wasn't slow, he came 31 back and said yes, that did really happen and I'm telling you it did happen. That really is 32 the only isolated incident of where something was reported at the time, that's why I made 33

- 1 specific reference to it in my document.
- But that in itself should have been the cause of immediate action for the sake of the
 young man.

4 COMMISSIONER GIBSON: And you acknowledge that there seemed to be -- almost the
 5 primary concern was the reputation of the school and perhaps that -- there was a
 6 compromise in the thinking of what had happened. Today is there the degree of openness
 7 and honesty about what has happened that would put the interests of survivors, the interests
 8 of students first? How does that go into balance to --

9 FATHER DUCKWORTH: I would say absolutely that the change would be immense from one 10 to the other. The very first thing I would expect nowadays would be for Mr Ferreira there, 11 and I'm sure that's what he'd tell you, my very first thing that he would do would say, "I 12 will ring the Police", and that is exactly what I would expect, and I'd expect that of any 13 teacher at any school, and that's why I mentioned in my evidence my desire that the 14 recommendation of your Commission would be that mandatory reporting by all teachers 15 and adults looking after young people or children would be forced to mandatory report.

But also, you know, to give full wrap-around care for the person. It's a terrible thing and these people are hurt badly and they need a lot of care I think, and I'd have to say, I spoke to Mr Ferreira and his wife recently, it's the first time I'd met them, and the goodness of the couple was really apparent to me that their care for the children in this school who would be hurt would be immense, I would say. I would have to say I was very impressed by them, both by Mr Ferreira and his wife, that both of them have that as a very strong concern of theirs.

I must say I came away feeling very happy that Mr Ferreira had already, in the short time he had been there, put in place things to look after particular groups, and I won't name them, he may, that were maybe a bit more vulnerable in the college. So I was really pleased with that.

27 **COMMISSIONER GIBSON:** Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Talofa, Tim, thank you for your responses and the evidence that's been filed, I've been able to follow it reasonably well. I'd just like to actually understand the genesis, because I know that the Marist Brothers was established back in 1836 so I hear your responses in the current context. But I just want to go back to the heart of when the Marist -- or the Society of Mary – actually begun and the whole understanding around sexual abuse and whether or not your view that it was an issue right from the start. I guess what I'm trying to understand here, for the broader context is, we understand today that it's a criminal offence but we've understood that for a number of years, but I just want to take it right back to the heart of how -- and I know you traverse this in your first set of evidence, but around the teachings of the Church around abuse and sexual abuse in

5 particular.

1

2

3

4

FATHER DUCKWORTH: Okay. Can I just be clear that we're not the Marist Brothers, they're
 a different Congregation.

8 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Yes, I appreciate that.

9 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** I know it's a very easy slip of the tongue.

10 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** My apologies for that.

FATHER DUCKWORTH: But I know the Marist Brothers. No worries, no worries. My apologies to them that they're tarred with our brush. I think that the Church -- this is my opinion, others may have different opinions. I think that the Church has been prudish and restrictive as regards sex and sexuality over my lifetime anyway. I don't know -- no, no, I do know a little bit about the 1830s. I'm sure it was even worse then, you know, I think the overemphasis on sexuality and sinfulness and things like that would lead me to believe that that wasn't a healthy and happy climate.

I don't know that the world has changed completely, but I do think that, you know, a recent statement by the bishops about how they saw young people in schools who were a particularly vulnerable group such as those that were, shall I say, uncertain of their own sexuality and things like that, I thought their compassionate statement was at least helpful.

One of the things I spoke to Mr Ferreira about was, you know, how would he cope with young people like that and he showed to me a very compassionate response to young people who were, say, more vulnerable because of those issues.

I think, though, that society has got all sorts of difficulties in it and the Church is
part of that.

One of the things I'd like to have said was the ubiquitous nature of pornography is not something that I think is helpful to young people in society nowadays. But, you know, I remember years ago when a particular woman for the Catholic Church said that it was a blight on society, she was decried to the nth degree because we wanted to be liberal. You know, so I think there is sort of a balance, a healthy balance between an acceptance of sex and sexuality and the precious nature of that gift for each individual and the sort of heaviness of an overriding sort of, you know, it must be feared, it must be spoken of in 1 hushed tones and all those things.

I think we've got better at that and in some ways we've got worse at that. I don't know that the Church has that on its own, I think that, you know, people of Pasifika origin, and I'm not aiming this at you at all, but have quite a Christian background which makes them a little bit repressed too in these regards and, you know, we've got to be respectful of culture, but people need to gradually understand that there's a healthy and helpful way of seeing sex and sexuality today.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you for your comments and I did note that Father
 Durning was in the Pacific quite a bit in his career as well.

10 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** Yeah, he was.

- 11 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Can I also just apologise to the Marist Brothers, that was a slip 12 of the tongue and I did not intend any disrespect when I was asking Tim Duckworth that 13 question. Thank you very much.
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: Sandra, I'm absolutely sure that they knew you didn't mean any
 disrespect like that, they wouldn't have thought that.
- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Tēnā koe Tim, kia ora anō, it's Anaru here. My question is about - counsel talked about whether the Society of Mary could have adopted more policies earlier on, you mentioned about, as we know, about the delays typically between experiencing abuse and reporting. It made me think during this period that given the nature, given the extent of the abuse within the schools about, it's difficult to understand how there wasn't more knowledge within the -staff, the clergy, the Religious that were working in these schools about the abuse.
- 23 FATHER DUCKWORTH: And I agree with you that it's difficult to understand that they didn't know, but from what I've seen and heard from others, they didn't know. You talk about 24 policies, you know, policies are a relatively modern invention, you know, I don't think there 25 were -- when I was at school, and I know I'm old, but when I was at school I don't think 26 anybody had a policy and I think that there's a coincidence that, you know, private schools 27 going into the State system, but the development of policies happened even after that. So it 28 wasn't just because we went into the State system that policies were developed, I remember 29 10 or 15 years after integration the Government mandated schools to provide policies. 30 Before that policies didn't exist in schools, not just in our schools, they didn't exist in any 31 32 schools.
- 33 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** Thank you, Tim. My question is more about the extent of

knowledge within the schools by those who were working side by side with the abusers,
that's -- I accept the point about the need for policies to be developed, but it is a puzzle that,
given the extent of the abuse that happened within these schools, that other Religious,
including the leaders within the Society of Mary, were not aware of what happened and
therefore acted and therefore policies and procedures came into place. **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** All I can rely on is the two things, one that most of it was reported

much later, and the fact that abuse happens in quiet places, you know, and it hides in
corridors in the dark, if you understand my metaphor there, and I think that often people
didn't know what was happening right under their eyes, if you know what I mean.

10 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** Okay. And Tim, I want to move to your recommendation about 11 mandatory reporting and it's something that has arisen a lot in our hearings. I recall when 12 we first had our contextual hearing there was discussion about some concern, I think it 13 might have been the Australian Bishops, about mandatory reporting and the impact that 14 might have on the confessional. So I wonder whether you've had time to reflect on that 15 about how the Society of Mary would view mandatory reporting to include disclosures that 16 would be made within the confessional?

FATHER DUCKWORTH: It's an interesting question. Honestly, I can honestly say that I have
 never, ever, ever in my 40 years had any disclosures of sexual abuse in the confessional.

- Now, I don't think that people who are recidivist offenders confess these things. I
 don't think that people who are offended against need to confess these things. So, you
- know, I don't think it's actually a big issue. I don't think it hides behind the confessional, if
 you know what I mean.
- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Okay, that's interesting, because I remember reading media reports
 in different Australian states saying the bishops were quite opposed to it, but it is good to
 get your opinion on that.

FATHER DUCKWORTH: And I am sure when you speak to the Cardinal and the others that
 their opinion would be similar to mine, I think, that I don't think it hides behind the
 confessional, I really don't.

- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Good to know. My other question is about the arm's length
 relationship between you and the --
- 31 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** The Board of Proprietors?
- 32 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** -- it is a bit confusing, to be honest with you. Yeah, it seems
- hierarchical, and it just seems there's the proprietorship and then there's appointments made

by you to them, and then again with the Board of Trustees, there seems to be representation there. It seems like in a formal sense that there is a connection between the trustees through the Proprietorship Board or Board of Trustees, or whatever they are called, and the Provincial. Is that fair to say? To some degree.

1

2

3

4

FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes, I think you have to understand it this way, you know, the law as
I understand it of setting up a trust is that people hold this task in trust for somebody, and,
you know, the proprietors' boards, they were called the trust boards. Now, we stopped
using that term because of the confusion with the Boards of Trustees. But the Boards of
Proprietors are trustees of the beneficial owner of the Society of Mary. So we entrust this
task to a group of lay people to run the proprietorship of the school, in our schools.

I appoint those people on the advice of the senate. But I don't, you know what I mean, you give somebody a job to do, trusted, respected, highly intelligent and highly qualified people the task, you don't then tell them how to do it, if you know what I mean.

Now, the Private Schools Conditional Integration Agreement, you know, it's -- the 14 funny thing about it is the word "conditional" there doesn't mean it was a conditional 15 integration, it means that there was an integration with conditions. The Government put 16 conditions on the schools and the schools themselves put conditions on the Government 17 saying, you know, we want to have some say in the running of the school, even though the 18 school is run by a Government quango, as it were, what's nowadays called a School Board, 19 20 we want to have some representation on that. And so the Proprietor, in the case of the diocesan schools it would be the Bishop, in our schools it's the Board of Proprietors, has the 21 right to appoint up to four appointees to the School Board, but they are appointees, they are 22 23 not representatives. So they don't represent to the School Board, the Proprietor, they are just appointees. 24

These four people are and understand what a Catholic school is and so sitting on the Board with all of the concerns of the thing, including the Catholic character of the school, they bring that to the table as well, just to make sure that it's there, in the same way as the principal sits there, the pupil sits there, a staff member sits there, it's just some sort of, you know, mixture of people to bring the right blend of those that care about the school together.

COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Thank you, Tim, thanks for elaborating on that. Just a final
 question about representation within the Order in terms of Māori but also Pasifika and other
 minorities. I know that within your Congregation, the membership, there's been a large

Māori base at the schools, and still large numbers of Māori and Pasifika at Silverstream. But it doesn't seem from what I've read so far to have been reflected in the leadership and appointments of governance roles. So I just will put this question to all the witnesses today about why is that the case; do you think that's a fair reflection and, secondly, why is that the case, do you think?

FATHER DUCKWORTH: I do think that it's -- that there's quite a Māori representation, in fact
more so at Silverstream than any other school, any other school that I know of personally.
For example, when Mr Ferreira came, their full welcome was in taha Māori style and quite
appropriately he was welcomed on to the marae of the school and was greeted in that way,
but at the time the Board of Trustees Chair, the School Board Chair was in fact Māori
herself. That might have been part of it.

I know that there are others that I've appointed in more recent times to the Board to
be part of that and that person who's Māori is also on the Board of -- School Board.

I go back to early years at Silverstream. We did not have many Māori members, a 14 few but not many, and so, you know, we were not able to - why did we run these schools? 15 Basically because we were free labour, and, you know, we worked in these schools without 16 being paid, and Catholic schools were started in New Zealand because people thought that 17 Catholics didn't get a fair deal out of the Government and, you know, there was quite a lot 18 of anti-Catholic feeling in New Zealand in earlier years, and so the starting of these schools 19 was to bring, sort of, Catholics into their own. And, in some ways, they've probably been 20 too successful in doing that. 21

22 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Thank you, Tim.

FATHER DUCKWORTH: The one thing I would say, however, is that I was very proud when
 looking at the early years of Silverstream to say look at the prefects photo, say of 15 or 20
 prefects, there were eight that were Māori or Pasifika, you know, and I Googled similar
 State schools up and down the country and there were none. So I was proud of that.

- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: It's good to see that representation within the kura, it's just being
 sure that it is being reflected in the governance of -- the Order and down through --
- 29 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** I'm sure it is.

30 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** Thank you for your evidence, Tim, thank you.

- 31 **CHAIR:** Father Tim, I'm conscious of your time and of ours, but I've just got -- I have about
- 1,000 questions but I'm going to confine it to one and I'm going to ask you to look to the
 now and the future. You just said to us that when the school was integrated that there were

some conditions placed by the Society of Mary and that was that you wanted some 1 2 representation in the hierarchy, if you like, of what was to follow and you achieved that. I want to put that alongside this notion of the special character of the school, 3 because I think those two are linked, aren't they, that you wanted, or the Order wanted, the 4 special character of the school to be preserved and you could do that by having people 5 directly involved in the governance and the running of the school. 6 FATHER DUCKWORTH: Yes. 7 CHAIR: Why I'm raising this is that your counsel has told us in her opening submissions that 8 after a school is integrated any harm or incidents relating to staff and volunteers in the 9 10 school are the responsibility of the School Board and in the hostel any incidents are responded to by the Proprietor of the hostel. And I took it from that, and maybe I'm wrong, 11 that that means that the Society of Mary is, if you like, insulated, insulated from those 12 13 matters.--FATHER DUCKWORTH: Absolutely not, absolutely not, ma'am. 14 CHAIR: That's why I wanted to give you an opportunity, please, to comment on that, because it 15 really is important, isn't it, for who ultimately holds the responsibility for the special 16 character of the school and what is that special character and, yeah, who holds 17 responsibility for it. 18 FATHER DUCKWORTH: Okay, so the special character is really, you know, to cut it to the 19 20 bare, bare basics is that it is a Catholic school, that's what we mean by the special character. And it actually came about at the time of integration by one of the negotiators saying, 21 "Well, it's a school that's sort of got a, what would I call it, a special character." And that's 22 23 how that term arrived. But, you know, if there were Marists working in those schools, and as I said earlier 24 there's one, that person would be, if they were working in the boarding hostel, and there are 25 none working in the boarding hostel, but if they were teaching in the school they would be 26 as responsible as any other to the management of the school and the school's Board. 27 They're not separated from that. We are just as ruled by the School Board and that sort of 28 29 thing. I imagine if there were Marists teaching and they misbehaved that they would be 30 reported to the Police just the same way as anybody else would be. 31

32 CHAIR: Can I cut to the chase here, Tim, for reasons of time, and really just so that you can get
 33 to the nub of the question. Yes, we understand about the legal structures, the trusts, the

Boards, the representation, all of that, that's the hierarchical, organisational level and we get that.

What I'm really referring to here is another dimension. It is the moral, the ethical and the spiritual responsibility of the Society of Mary for what goes on in that school. Is there to any extent an acceptance of responsibility for the values, the standards, and the way the school itself conducts and presents itself to its students and to the public and to its congregations, who put money in the plate, you know, every Sunday, loyally, you know? What do we say to those people about where the Society of Mary stands in relation to these matters of values, spirituality, ethics, morality?

FATHER DUCKWORTH: I think that people would understand that, flawed and all as we have been in our past, that the vast majority of us are trying to inculcate values which are good and honest and spiritual values that the Catholic Church tries to make part of the ethos of every school. And while I'm ashamed to say that we didn't always do that, that doesn't mean that the vast majority of us didn't try to do that and in good measure in every one of our schools, as all Catholic teachers do in Catholic schools and all teachers do.

I have worked alongside, in Catholic schools, teachers who were not Catholic who understood the values and upheld them too. It is important to us, too, to say that what we are on about is a school which develops young people and gives them the chance, the best chance that they can have in life to be good citizens, to be, hopefully from our perspective, to be good Christians and to take their place in society and in their families with the values we hope to give them.

22 **CHAIR:** And to be free of abuse.

23 **FATHER DUCKWORTH:** Absolutely, absolutely, and any other abomination really.

- CHAIR: So that is something that the Society of Mary holds dear, as I think you've made plain,
 and really it's a question of the Society, whether the Society keeps an overview and just
 wants to make sure that that is happening, regardless of the, as I say, the organisational,
 hierarchical matters, is that something that the Society is committed to seeing?
- FATHER DUCKWORTH: Absolutely, and that's why I mentioned I had a, you know, a few
 hours' meeting with Mr Ferreira and his wife and that formed a large part of what we talked
 about, and that was before we knew we were coming to see you, to be honest.
- 31 **CHAIR:** We'll leave it at that point, we've now stolen so much time.

MR THOMAS: Yes, Madam Chair, I think we're running a bit behind time. I guess the options,
 as I see it, are we take a --

CHAIR: We'll take a break, I just want to thank Father Tim and then we'll stop. 1 May I thank you, I appreciate, we all appreciate that you're doing this in the middle 2 of your night, and we thank you for that, we thank you for your brief of evidence and all the 3 work you've put in to assisting the Commission. So you are now free to leave and we will 4 continue with the rest of the evidence, unless you particularly want to sit by and watch, 5 maybe you want to go to bed instead. 6 FATHER DUCKWORTH: No, I think it's nearly midnight, I'll go to bed I think. Thank you 7 8 very much, thank you very much. CHAIR: Thank you. All right, I think we'll take 15 minutes and then reassess where we're going 9 10 to go in terms of timing after that. Thank you. Adjournment from 10.59 am to 11.19 am 11 **CHAIR:** Just before we start, and before we acknowledge our witnesses, can I just ask 12 that -- I know that there are strong feelings in the room and appreciate that, I know the 13 reasons why. Can I just ask you to keep your responses to yourselves, please. We do owe 14 the courtesy of silent and respectful listening to every witness as we have done throughout, 15 I know it's hard, but just try and keep your expostulations, if I might use that word, quiet 16 17 and to yourselves. Yes. 18 MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, Commissioners. 19 20 Just one final comment in relation to Father Tim's evidence. In relation to mandatory reporting, ma'am, we are aware that the Vulnerable Children's Act has specific 21 issues and requirements and we'll cover that in closing submissions for the Commissioners 22 later in the week because the current requirements aren't quite mandatory reporting but are 23 very close and it's quite a subtle distinction. 24 But ma'am and Commissioners, now we have evidence on behalf of the 25 Silverstream, St Patrick's Silverstream College. Closest to me is Sean Mahony, Chair of 26 the Board, formerly Board of Trustees, Dr Clare Couch, and Rob Ferreira, the Rector. 27 Clare is Chair of the Board of Proprietors. 28 29 CHAIR: Right. MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am, you will want to affirm their evidence? 30 31 **CHAIR:** Yes, I will. DR ROB FERREIRA, DR CLARE COUCH, and MR SEAN MAHONY (Affirmed) 32 **MS McKECHNIE:** Ma'am, I have been speaking to my friend Mr Thomas, given the timetable 33

pressure we are already under. The witnesses have prepared short statements, much shorter 1 2 than Father Duckworth's, and they are going to read each of those in turn and I understand between us we are aiming to finish by midday with this particular session. 3 **CHAIR:** I appreciate that, and it's always awful when we're pressed for time, but I think it does 4 concentrate the mind rather and thank you for that. Thank you for the preparation of your 5 statements. So I'll leave you leave you just to lead them in that. 6 **QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE:** Thank you, ma'am. 7 Clare, if we could start with you, please, if you could introduce yourself to the 8 Commission and then read, please, the short summary of your evidence that you've 9 10 prepared. DR COUCH: Tēnā koutou katoa, talofa lava, malo e lelei. Ko Clare Couch tōku ingoa. My full 11 name is Dr Mary Clare Couch. I am the Chairperson of the Board of Proprietors at 12 St Patrick's College Silverstream. I am a 55-year-old Pākehā female, pronoun she/her, of 13 medium height. I have medium-length hair that is brown and grey and I have no disability. 14 I am wearing a dress that has a black top and a blue floral skirt. 15 The Chairperson of the Board of Proprietors is a voluntary and unpaid position. I 16 have been in that role since March 2020. I was appointed to the Board of Proprietors in 17 2019 and was Deputy Chairperson of the Board of Proprietors from that date. 18 I also hold the role of being one of three Proprietors' appointees on the School 19 20 Board, Board of Trustees, since November 2012. On behalf of St Patrick's College I would like to express my deep sadness at the 21 abuse that has occurred at the college. It is shocking and shameful the way some young 22 23 boys have been treated in our college as well as in other organisations in Aotearoa. Sadly, this is part of our accepted history. We do not doubt the pain that it has 24 caused and continues to cause to those who are survivors and to their whanau. Our hope is 25 that they will continue -- that we will continue to learn from the past and protect the 26 vulnerable and prevent harm happening in the future. 27 Our goal for our young men in our college is to be just, compassionate citizens. 28 This involves being compassionate with each other, holding each other to account for 29 things when we get it wrong, being merciful by giving each other another chance and the 30 space to repair and restore relationships, and treating people with respect. 31 This is embodied in our college values. These values were identified by students in 32 2016 as ways that we live our Marist values. 33

I want to list them for you: they are whakapono/faith/fa'atuatua; kotahitanga/unity/tutufa'atasi; manaakitanga/support/lagolago; hautoa/courage/loto tele; ngākau pāpaku/humility/ loto maualalo.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

The Board of Proprietors is responsible for the special character of the college, the boarding school, the grounds and the buildings. We work collaboratively with the School Board, the Rector and the wider college community.

In relation to prevention of abuse, the students' welfare is the paramount focus for the Board of Proprietors and the wider college in relation to the prevention of and responding to reports of abuse. We aim to do this in a well-informed and balanced manner.

As a Proprietor, we want to ensure that the college provides an environment that is safe from abuse for its students, staff and wider community. This is to ensure that our young men are in a safe environment, and can thrive to become just and compassionate citizens.

The Board of Proprietors are responsible for the policies and procedures within their area of responsibility, including Redwood boarding house. The Board of Proprietors' policies are to provide the Rector/Tumuaki with direction and authority for the management of the boarding house and other areas the Board is responsible for.

We have a number of Redwood boarding house policies which relate to the
prevention of, and responding to, abuse. These are referenced in my witness statement and
have been provided to the Inquiry.

As set out in paragraphs 71 to 86 of my witness statement, we have processes for responding to contemporary reports of abuse and responding to historical allegations of abuse.

When responding to reports of abuse, the students' welfare is paramount within the college while balancing a just and compassionate response to those allegations.

We intend to implement policies and procedures with best practice and complying with the relevant legislation. We do that by providing training for the Board and the staff, reviewing our policies and practices on a review cycle, being involved with external organisations, consulting and seeking advice from the School Board, and implementing policies that align with templates and guidelines provided by NZ School Trustees Association, although we are not a member of that organisation.

I acknowledge that a current issue for the Board is our response to historical
 allegations of abuse, especially in relation to aspects of the college's history, for example

portraits of past Rectors. This is an ongoing issue and we are committed to implementing 1 2 best practice. Overall, I consider that we are working well as a college community to provide a 3 good college for our students. As we have recently received our boarding house licence 4 with no recommendations, I am confident that we are on the right track to providing a safe 5 environment for the young men in our Redwood boarding house. 6 MS McKECHNIE: Kia ora, thank you, Clare. 7 And Sean, if I could ask you also to introduce yourself to the Commissioners and 8 the people listening and watching and then read your short statement. 9 10 MR MAHONY: Thank you, Sally. Ata mārie, talofa lava, malo e lelei. I whanau mai au i Te Awakairangi, i tipu [ake] au i Te Whangananui-a-Tara. Ko Akatarawa te maunga, ko 11 Kairangi te awa, ko Sean Mahony tōku ingoa, nō Petone me Whakatū ahau. Nō reira, 12 mōrena ki a koutou katoa. 13 Good morning, my full name is Sean Denis Mahony. I grew up in the Hutt Valley 14 and now live in Petone at the north end of Wellington harbour. I am the Chairperson of the 15 School Board, until recently known as the Board of Trustees, at St Patrick's College 16 17 Silverstream. I am a 54-year-old Pākehā New Zealander male. I am quite deaf in my right ear, 18 about 6 foot, and of medium build. I am bald, have a grey goatee and moustache and wear 19 20 glasses. I am wearing a black suit, a blue shirt and a purple tie. I have been the Chairperson of the School Board since 23 August this year. This is 21 a volunteer role. While I have a long family association with the college, I am here to 22 speak in my role as the Chair of the School Board. 23 I would like to reiterate Clare's comments and express my deep sadness for the 24 abuse that has occurred at the college and to say that I am sorry to those who have been 25 affected. 26 The School Board is entrusted to work on behalf of all stakeholders and is 27 accountable for the school's performance. It emphasises strategic leadership, sets the vision 28 for the school and ensures that it complies with legal and policy requirements. The Board 29 has a governance role as opposed to the day-to-day operational management of the college. 30 All the day-to-day management is carried out by the Rector/Tumuaki, the senior leadership 31 team and other school staff. 32 The Board has responsibility for the key focus of what the school is actually about, 33

3

4

5

6

7

11

which is teaching and developing fine young men. That clearly has to be in an appropriate and safe environment so that all students are safe at all times at the college.

As outlined in my witness statement, the Board is responsible for the relevant policies to do with the prevention of, and response to, abuse. In my witness statement, I have referenced a number of policies that are relevant to the prevention and response to abuse and have provided the Inquiry with a link to access the website where those policies are available. The website is also accessible to all staff, all parents and all students.

As well as formal arrangements to prevent and respond to abuse, the school is 8 consciously trying to create an environment where if a student has something they want to 9 10 talk about there is always somebody available that they feel they can talk to. The Rector/Tumuaki, teachers, and other staff have formal and informal interactions with the students so they feel comfortable that they can come and talk to someone if they need to. 12 We also have counsellors at the school available to the students. 13

There also needs to be a strong relationship between the Board Chair, the Members 14 of the Board, the Rector/Tumuaki and the senior leadership team. Maintaining an open and 15 frank line of communication between governance and management assists to ensure that all 16 the relevant parties are informed of issues at the college. Rob and Clare both know they 17 can call me at any time about anything. 18

I think the best thing that we can do at Silverstream, like any other school, is to try 19 20 to constantly improve the college's culture and not become complacent about the possibility of abuse. We are committed to creating a wider safe environment to make sure that abuse 21 doesn't occur. Our processes, both formal and informal, are focused on creating a safe 22 environment at the college to prevent harm to our students in the first instance, and to 23 provide a number of safe avenues to report harm, should it occur. 24

25

Kia ora and thank you.

MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, Sean. And Rob, if you could do the same, please. 26

MR FERREIRA: Tēnā koutou katoa, talofa lava, malo e lelei, ko Rob Ferreira tōku ingoa. 27

My full name is Robert Martin Ferreira, I am the Tumuaki, which is also known as 28 the Rector, at St Patrick's College Silverstream. 29

I am a Pākehā male, about 6 foot tall, with grey hair, and I'm wearing a blue suit, 30

white shirt and a black tie. 31

I've been in this role since 2 May 2022, five months before the date of this evidence. 32 I'm still learning about the college including the different governance arrangements and the 33

1 policies and procedures at the college.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

I have been a teacher for 33 years, I was born in South Africa and trained as a teacher there. I came to New Zealand in 1993. My first teaching role was at Palmerston North Boys High School. Before being the Tumuaki at the college, I was the principal at St John's College in Hawke's Bay. I was in that role since January of 2020 after having been the deputy principal since May of 2017.

I am aware that, historically, when the Society of Mary were directly involved in the school, the head of the community and the college was called the Rector. However, my current role is not as a member of a Religious Congregation, I have never held any position in the Church outside of schools.

My role as Rector/Tumuaki is to implement these policies and procedures in terms of the management of the college. I have a limited role in creating policies, but in general terms, my responsibility is to ensure the safe management of the college for its students and staff through managing procedures.

My primary focus is on the current operation of the college. I am responsible for monitoring the employment of staff to ensure that they are complying with all of the relevant policies.

As the Rector/Tumuaki, I am the employer of the teaching and boarding hostel staff.
If matters are raised about current staff, I am responsible to the relevant Board for
responding to these.

Every member of staff, with the exception of the chaplain, are the college's employees, volunteers or contractors and are lay, ie not members of Religious Congregations and/or clergy. The chaplain is a member of the Society of Mary.

Anything that may be untoward or anything that may need to be investigated in terms of abuse will have to go through a process. This would be with reference to our policies and procedures. These would ordinarily be dealt with as employment and contractual matters. We may have to work with the Teachers Council or the New Zealand School Trustees Association for them to give us advice as to how to proceed with an issue, depending on its nature.

We may also need to work with the Police or Oranga Tamariki depending on the nature of the allegations. In my time as Rector/Tumuaki, I have not had a complaint or allegation against a current staff member of such serious wrongdoing that could have been a criminal offence. I certainly have not had any such allegation in my short time at Silverstream.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

I have received a letter about alleged historic abuse by former staff members, but that did not disclose any allegations and related to the issue of portraits discussed in Clare's evidence. I have referred the matter to the Silverstream Board and the Board of Proprietors.

I'm also ultimately responsible for disciplinary matters with students. This is usually managed under the year-level deans, the Dean of Boarding and the assistant Rector of pastoral care under my supervision. Sometimes this involves verbal or physical altercations between boys. I would expect serious matters of student discipline to be escalated to me by staff.

11 Sometimes this role could include receiving information relating to older complaints 12 that do not relate to current staff. In these cases, I would refer the information to the 13 appropriate agency, such as the Police, Society of Mary, or whoever else is required to 14 receive the information.

As I set out in my witness statement, as I begin my role as Rector/Tumuaki I'm trying to actively foster and encourage positive relationships and trust between students, staff and I. We must all create a professional and trusting relationship with the students. The students need to know they can come to you if there's an issue. So I think all of those sorts of aspects put together help to ensure that the policies and procedures work in practice so that if there is something untoward happening the students will tell you.

On behalf of the college, we are eager to learn from the experience of participating in this Inquiry and are open to receiving any recommendations from the Inquiry. There is always room for improvement to protect children attending schools like the college and improve responses to the reports of abuse.

25 **MS McKECHNIE:** Thank you, Rob.

- 26 Madam Chair, Commissioners, the three witnesses also have -- this is a selection of 27 the policies that the witnesses referred to. The Commission have them and the witnesses
- have them in the witness box if they need them.
- 29 **CHAIR:** They can refer to them if they need to, thank you.
- 30 Thank you, Mr Thomas.

31 **QUESTIONING BY MR THOMAS:** Thank you, Madam Chair.

Welcome to the witnesses and thank you for your time. I'm going to start with some questions, I should say that I'm happy to, I guess, leave it to the three of you to determine

- who may be best to answer each question, unless otherwise directed. I'm going to start with 2 some questions about how the college gives effect to Te Tiriti.
- Firstly, as a Crown entity I understand that the School Board is responsible for 3 ensuring the school gives effect to Te Tiriti. I wanted to ask, how does the college do this 4 in practical terms? 5
- **MR MAHONY:** So in practical terms, ensuring that we have a fair representation of Māori and 6 Pasifika. So from the Board point of view, we have a member of the Board who's Māori, a 7 8 member of the Board who's Pasifika, and a member who's both Māori and Pasifika. In terms of the day-to-day activities in the school, obviously kapa haka, there are the parent 9 10 groups, the, I can't remember the names -- Rob, I might have to look to you -- but there is the Pacific parents and the whanau parents groups who, I suppose, liaise with the school 11 and work with the school. 12
- 13

1

That's -- sorry, that's probably as much as my knowledge as on the Board. Rob, anything to add?

- **MR FERREIRA:** With reference to our students, Māori/Pasifika students, so we have whānau 15 hui which take place on a regular basis, we meet with Pasifika parents as well, the kapa 16 haka group is particularly active in the school. We practise with St Bernard's College and 17 Sacred Heart College in Lower Hutt and then they perform at the Hutt festival. The same 18 occurs with our Pasifika students. 19
- 20 It's actually pretty pleasing to see how many of the parents have actually been quite supportive of our boys when they were practising for their performance. It surprised me 21 compared to the previous school I was at. 22
- 23 Samoan Independence Day was a whole day of speeches, food, which the boys really liked, and again, the students performed at the Hutt festival. Don't want to be biased 24 but I thought they were stunning, thought they were the best really, it was an amazing 25 performance. So I've got them to perform at assembly next week. 26
- Students who are new to the school, I interview every student who is new to the 27 school, and I specifically say to them that I do not want them to leave their culture at the 28 29 gate, they need to come in and we celebrate who they are. That's really important for us.
- CHAIR: Mr Ferreira, sorry to interrupt you. Just be mindful that everything you are saying is 30 being taken down, so if you could -- the stenographer is over there. If you see puffs of 31 smoke coming out of her ears you know you are going too fast. Before that happens, if I or 32 counsel raise our hands -- but just be mindful. Thank you. 33

MR MAHONY: I might also just add just in terms of performance at the school, in terms of the performance reporting that comes to the Board, it's obviously on the students overall but then it's also shown from a Māori and Pasifika perspective as well.

DR COUCH: I also want to add from a -- the Board has a history of adding extra resource to -- so we added an extra resource management unit into staffing so that they could make room for people to have capacity to increase tikanga across the school. So while we have te reo Māori as a core subject, we recognise that what that means across the school is bigger than that, so we dedicated funding to that. And there's recently been set up a Māori komiti for the students so that they can have a voice on how we are meeting the needs for them as Māori students. That's quite new but an exciting initiative.

And in the boarding hostel as well we focus on, I suppose, quite a concerted effort of understanding where each of our boys come from and similar to what Rob is saying, that's an important part of identity for our Māori and Pasifika boys, and it's an area that we see -- and have plans to improve on as well, we don't profess to having this sorted yet and it's a work on also.

- MR FERREIRA: Just to add to that, just about all of the staff professional development this year
 has been on Te Ao Māori and there's been a lot of work that has gone into incorporating
 local curriculum into the junior schemes and curricula, but that's an ongoing process.
- MR THOMAS: Thank you all for that. Specifically in relation to the Treaty principle of that active protection, how would you see that the school implements that and by that I'm also including the concept of protection from harm?
- MR MAHONY: So in the first instance, from a School Board point of view, our policies are very clear in terms of making sure that students are protected and so that harm cannot come to them. So in terms of their -- so the other side of that from a practical point of view, the teachers, anybody who's working at the school, people working on the grounds, people who are involved with the students, they're all vetted, so they go through a Police vetting process.

We also, as part of the interview process, we say on the interview form that we will be, as well as checking their formal referees, we'll also be doing informal checks as well. So you can appreciate that New Zealand's quite a small place and a lot of careers or vocations or businesses, a lot of people know each other, so I know Rob does, and I know in other schools as well, where they will, if someone applies for a role, they'll referee-check them but they will also do some other background checking as well, because we've got to

3

4

5

make sure we get the right people who are involved with our children.

And as Rob has mentioned, those are probably more the formal side of things. The other side is the informal, and that's just making sure and trying to create the environment where if something happens, then we know about it quickly, and then we can respond to it quickly.

MR FERREIRA: Just to add to that, the whole notion or concept of policies or the importance of
 policies can't be understated, but if you don't have a relationship with your students where
 they know they can come and speak to you if there is something untoward, will always
 slow things down and you'll tend to find that information far later than what you wanted to.

10 So the students know for a fact that I have an open door policy, they can come and 11 see me any time they wish. I spend lunchtime walking around the playground talking to 12 boys, I'll spend from 3 o'clock to about quarter past 3 at the gate saying goodbye to them, 13 and it's important, and staff know it, that if you have a good relationship with the students, 14 they will come and talk to you, and they will alert you to something that may well be 15 untoward. It takes a lot of time, but it is important.

And I think in essence most schools nowadays will put a huge emphasis on the relationships that you form with your students.

18 **MR THOMAS:** Thank you.

MR MAHONY: I might just add, also there is both in terms of both the School Board and also with the boarding hostel as well, there are policies about whistleblowers, so if a teacher suspects another teacher then there is a policy there for them to come forward and let us know.

DR COUCH: I'd like to answer in terms of a specific -- when you say Te Tiriti o Waitangi, that I think part of that protection as well is recognising the cultural, like, the protection of what culture means for each of our students as well, and I think as our staff train and learn better Te Ao Māori and mātauranga Māori, that's important because then we can understand better and create an environment that also allows for some protection for our boys too, and their identity.

MR THOMAS: Thank you. I want to move to another topic now and it's about the school's involvement or responsibility for allegations of abuse relating to the pre-integration period and what I want to ask is, what do you see as the school's responsibility for complaints of abuse received by the school post-integration but relating to pre-integration conduct of Society of Mary members?

1 **DR COUCH:** So I think, as outlined in my papers that we gave, it's been -- so post-integration but relating to the Society members? 2 MR THOMAS: Correct. Sorry, yes go ahead. 3 **DR COUCH:** Is that right? 4 **MR THOMAS:** Yes, that's correct. 5 **DR COUCH:** So an allegation of abuse that has been there in the past, we would still inform the 6 Society of Mary about that, being a staff member from them. So definitely with that and a 7 8 responsibility, we ask them for that so that we can know how to, based on that, how we make decisions about their staff, they give us that information. 9 10 I could speak to a bit in terms of around the portraits and what are there but I don't know if you want that now or later. 11 **MR THOMAS:** I will actually come to that shortly, yeah, separately. But I guess the crux of my 12 question is, although there's been reports -- sorry, where there's been reports of abuse 13 relating to Society members pre-integration, does the school still see that it has a 14 responsibility if that is reported now to the school separate from the Society's 15 responsibility? 16 **DR COUCH:** So are we talking pre- or post-integration? Sorry, I'm a bit confused. 17 **MR THOMAS:** Yeah, the school's responsibility post-integration for pre-integration complaints, 18 conduct if you like. 19 20 DR COUCH: How we are now and how we are acting now --21 MR THOMAS: Yes. **DR COUCH:** -- for the conduct that happened pre-integration? 22 23 MR THOMAS: Correct. DR COUCH: As I said, so we inform the Society of Mary about that. So then they investigate 24 that, it's not our job to investigate that. 25 I guess what I would say on this is that, and we've spoken to the history within our 26 school, is acknowledging -- so St Patrick's has a long history of which many of our 27 students, past students are really proud of, it's a school that they've found identity and a 28 place that has meaning and they have warm and fond memories of that. But we're really 29 aware that's not the case for men now who experienced abuse when they were at the college 30 and their experience and their memory of the school is quite different. And current -- and I 31 think where we would see that now is that that's all of our history. And so as a current 32 school it's knowing that's all of our history and accepting that as our history. 33

1	So I think for that to be known is important.
2	MR THOMAS: Related to this, does the school now put any money into providing redress for
3	someone that comes forward, or is that left to the Society?
4	DR COUCH: As far as I'm aware that's to the Society, I don't think that's us.
5	MR THOMAS: I'm going to ask another question now. This is relating to school records. I took
6	from the witness statements filed that the school has very limited archived records and no
7	records for events prior to 2005. Does it surprise you that there's no records for the
8	pre-2005 period?
9	MR MAHONY: That's 17 years ago. Does it surprise me? I haven't actually thought about it,
10	Mr Thomas. It probably doesn't surprise me. 17 years ago is a long time to be you
11	know, it's long period of time for records, so part of the normal retention and document
12	disposal and so forth processes, then not having anything from 17 years ago probably isn't
13	unusual.
14	MR THOMAS: I guess my next question is, where there may have been important records
15	relating to either allegations of abuse or communications with the Society of Mary about
16	that, should they have been retained for longer than the usual document process?
17	MR MAHONY: I don't feel I can actually answer that, sorry, Mr Thomas, I yeah, I actually
18	don't know, I'm sorry.
19	CHAIR: Can I ask a question that is along this line. We were told by Father Tim just before, or
20	did I read it in a brief of evidence, that information about complaints and complaints
21	against staff members or former brothers etc were kept on the employment file of that
22	person so that in order to find out about past did you want to say something?
23	MS McKECHNIE: I was just wondering if I could assist, ma'am. Because Father Tim was
24	talking about the Society of Mary files, the priests' files, those are not held by the school.
25	CHAIR: Right. I'm coming to that. So that's what he said. What I want to know, and
26	I appreciate there's problems in the past that you don't know about, but at the moment if a
27	complaint is made against a staff member, is it held on that staff member's employment file
28	or is there a central register of complaints so that if somebody wants to know the history
29	they can go to find out about it? That's what I want to know.
30	MR MAHONY: Rob, I might have to ask you whether, at this stage, we have a central register of
31	complaints.
32	MR FERREIRA: Not that I'm aware of. What I'm aware of is that if there is a complaint against
33	a staff member then it goes on their file.

CHAIR: So that's a lesson that we've been learning throughout the whole of our hearings and 1 whole of our investigation, that that's all very well and that's probably good employment 2 practice, but in terms of monitoring, oversight, getting sense of pattern and the like, there is 3 a great wisdom in holding a central register, isn't there, so that somebody can look at it 4 objectively from outside. 5 So at the moment you don't have a central one, but just know that's something on 6 our radar. 7 8 **DR COUCH:** Thank you, that's helpful. MR MAHONY: Thank you, Madam Chair. 9 10 CHAIR: Yes. Mr Thomas. MR THOMAS: Thank you, Madam Chair. 11 Moving to another area now which Clare had mentioned about the portraits of 12 offenders, members of the Society of Mary. As summarised in Clare's statement, this is in 13 paragraph 80, in 2019 the Board of Proprietors removed the portrait of a former Rector 14 where abuse was acknowledged and upheld by the Society but it did not remove the portrait 15 of another Society member as, and I quote from Clare's statement at paragraph 82: 16 "The Board of Proprietors received advice from the Society of Mary that there was 17 insufficient evidence of the allegations against that Priest." 18 Without going into the specific details of those two cases, I want to ask you about 19 20 whether you think the school's process there is too reliant on the Society of Mary determining the level of evidence sufficient for removal of a portrait? 21 DR COUCH: In terms of having any evidence about it, the school doesn't hold that information, 22 23 so we refer to the Society of Mary for that information, because we don't have another way to know whether or not there's evidence around an allegation. 24 The response to that and what we do on a day-to-day thing is the responsibility of 25 the school, and we own that as a responsibility. We have named that in the – in the process 26 we have done before -27 As I talked about before, the portraits being in the school hall of all the past Rectors 28 of the college are a way that's recorded the history and shown some history of our college 29 and I've said before that's a mixed history for people, it's different people's experience of 30 that. 31 We've been asked again to reconsider that decision and respectful of that question 32 being asked for us. And we acknowledge this is -- it feels a challenging situation to be in. 33

To remove a portrait in a way seems a simple answer, but this doesn't feel like a simple situation, because we talked in terms of different people's experience of a just process, of natural justice around that, totally acknowledging that somebody has expressed, or told us that they've been abused.

4 5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

So this is -- it feels tempting to take down a picture, but this is more than a picture, I think. And so it's something that we -- we've been much more heightened to this as part of this process as well. I'm not saying we haven't been aware of this in the past, but in preparation for the Royal Commission this has made us much more aware, learn a lot more, learn a lot more.

10 So we want to respond in this way to be openly and honestly to consider what has 11 happened and to bring that into the future. We don't know what that looks like yet, and it 12 feels a little bit daunting, because I don't really know where that's going to take us.

But we have talked about that we want to do that and do it well. We have scheduled meetings for our Board of Trustees and Board of Proprietors over the next two weeks and this letter that's been raised with us will be a matter of priority for that. At the moment we think that we may actually adopt a specific subcommittee to look at this, so that we can say what do we need to know, who do we need to talk to, what do we need to learn to do this well.

Because this is part of our history and we have a proud history of the college, I don't want to take that away because so many people have had such a good experience – staff, students, community – of our college, and we need to own that with – there's a part of our history that's also important that we name.

COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Can I just ask on that point, Clare, when I hear you talking about
 historical redress, it still feels like it's being done by them rather than the school, it's
 something for the Order and it's not really something that the school currently has a lot of
 knowledge and detail of, whereas you're actually striving for that too, aren't you, in
 resetting a different course for the future?

DR COUCH: Yeah, and I think it's about, our history is broad and so we need to own that. And I think -- we talk still with -- we have a close relationship with the Society around that, and also we respect there may be some information they hold that -- around privacy, we don't need to know all of that, nor does it need to be in the public domain, that's part of what we need to work out. But we want to do this well and we want to work out how we respond appropriately.

1	And timing is important. We don't want to drag this out – that may cause more
2	harm – and we don't want to do something that would cause harm in our process, that
3	would not be our intention, but we also don't want to be too swift because that would feel
4	wrong too.
5	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: My point is, you still seem arm's length from the historical redress
6	process as the school when in fact you are claiming the abuse is a part of accepted history
7	but you're not involved in historical redress, it seems?
8	DR COUCH: We haven't been, yeah.
9	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Do you think that, to reclaim it then and to be part of your identity
10	as the school, that it makes sense to be closer to that process perhaps?
11	DR COUCH: Perhaps. To be honest I don't know for sure yet, because we don't know. But that
12	may be something, I don't know yet.
13	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Thank you.
14	MR THOMAS: Thank you. I think you mentioned it, but just to clarify, there's been a more
15	recent request from the Network of Survivors, a current year request for removal of other
16	portraits and that decision is pending, if you like; is that correct?
17	DR COUCH: Yes.
18	MR THOMAS: I want to ask now about some broader questions about how the school now
19	prevents and responds to reports of abuse. Firstly, in terms of your awareness of current
20	barriers to disclosure of abuse at the school, I took it from your statements largely you were
21	unaware, I think, from all three of you, that you were unaware of any specific barriers to
22	disclosing abuse at the college. Is that correct?
23	MR MAHONY: I suppose for me it comes down to the individual. I think that we have to
24	be accept the fact that there may still be individuals who might, if something happened,
25	they could still feel the stigma or something. So look, it's not one size fits all in this case,
26	which is why you can have the policies and things like that and people can read how they
27	should go about doing something, but that's not going to help unless you've got an
28	environment in which they feel they can come forward, be it to Rob, the Rector/Tumuaki,
29	or to one of the teachers or to a counsellor. So it's about trying to create that environment,
30	or even if they talk to one of the other boys and one of the other boys come forward.
31	So there's no I don't think there's any magic answer to that, but it's just trying to
32	create an environment where people they can actually come forward and say something that
33	could be uncomfortable for them and could be uncomfortable for the other person, and as

Rob said, we try to do that by getting that good balance between formal interactions with 1 2 the students with informal interactions with them as well. CHAIR: Are you aware or are any of you aware of the historic reasons for the barriers that we've 3 discussed quite a lot in our report at the end of 2021 and that's been discussed openly 4 throughout our hearings, the barriers to reporting that have historically existed? Are you 5 aware of those sorts of things, like the imbalance of power, the shame, the cultural factors, 6 there's a vast list of them. Are you aware of those? 7 8 MR MAHONY: My apologies, I'm not aware, I haven't actually read the report from the Commission on those, but all those things you say I can understand from a realistic point of 9 10 view and in fact that all of those things will create in an individual, and it will be different for different individuals, but create in an individual a reason why they won't do it --11 **CHAIR:** My point is this, we have already identified that there are many obvious and common 12 barriers that many survivors, thousands of survivors have spoken to us about. I think it's 13 important that you know of those --14 MR MAHONY: Yes. 15 CHAIR: -- so that you cannot assume they don't exist anymore and just deal with it by being 16 friendly but really specifically concentrate on making sure those barriers are either not there 17 or mitigated. 18 MR MAHONY: Thank you, Madam Chair, I will make sure --19 20 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** That's a great point, and there are other things that are not so obvious, like if you're relying on your own intuition and experience, there are things that 21 we learn that are not clear, you know, to -- so it seems that we might need to get a copy of 22 the redress report to you. 23 MR MAHONY: Yes, that would be great. Thank you very much. 24 **DR COUCH:** I think there's been some learning in this process in preparation for it around the 25 historic reasons for it, yes, and some of it we have stated, some of those barriers around 26 building – particularly in that power relationship that you talk about and recognising in a 27 school situation with children to adults is -- so have acknowledged that in our witness 28 statement, that there is definitely things that might make it difficult for young people and 29 we'd welcome to know more around that, and to have the report. 30 31 **CHAIR:** Mr Thomas. 32 MR THOMAS: Thank you. I'm going to ask now about contemporary reports of abuse received by the college. 33

And I'm mindful here that I don't want to go into the detail of these -- of the more current reports, but in a summary way I'm going to ask about them.

So an appendix to Mr Mahony's evidence, written statement, refers to reports of abuse from 2000 to present and in total there have been 10 reports of abuse, of some form of abuse by staff in relation to students which resulted in disciplinary action, and we've heard that from my friend this morning as well; five allegations related to physical assaults and one was of a sexual fondling nature. The other allegations related to inappropriate language.



1

2

My first question is, are there any learnings that you take from the contemporary reports of abuse?

MR MAHONY: I suppose one learning is that no matter what we actually do we can't be complacent, these things unfortunately can still happen. And the, our learning is that we need to respond quickly and get them resolved, and I know from my limited time as the Chair and talking with Rob, the school does respond quickly to events and things that they need to.

MR THOMAS: It appeared again from the written statements that there were no records about whether the school had reported any of the post-2000 complaints to Police. Does that concern you?

MR MAHONY: I don't actually know the details because none of these things came to the Board during my time, so I don't know sufficiently enough about the details of them in terms of whether they've been reported to the Police or not.

22 **MR THOMAS:** Okay.

- MR FERREIRA: With reports of abuse or untoward behaviour by a teacher to a student,
 currently the first thing that you'd do as the Rector/Tumuaki would be to ring the NZSTA
 [New Zealand School Trustees Association] because it's a possible employment issue and
 they would give advice from there. Whether the NZSTA was available 15 to 20 years ago I
 can't comment, but that would be the process you'd follow today.
- MR THOMAS: I want to move on in the interests of time, sorry, to some -- a couple of questions around safeguarding. I guess, in your words, in brief, can you summarise what you consider are the key safeguarding elements at the school that you would rely on to prevent or minimise the possibility of abuse?
- 32 MR MAHONY: So I don't mean to repeat what I was sort of saying before. So having the formal 33 side of it in terms of the policies and things and making those available to everyone, so

everyone actually knows what is expected of them. In terms of with the adult employees at the school that are all vetted and, as I said before, in terms of various types of background checking of them, trying to create an environment where people can actually -- they know how to report things and create an environment where they can report, and I suppose also with, you know, if the teachers are aware of another teacher, there is the whistleblower policy.

7 8 So – we can't be complacent, we have to accept that it may occur, but we have to try and put as many measures in place.

9

And from the boarding side?

DR COUCH: Yeah, from a boarding perspective, I think, again, it's policy driven, I think ensuring that staff are appropriately trained, that we have professional standards that -- and code of ethics that teaching staff might apply to and in terms of our boarding staff we'd also be ensuring that our staff are informed of correct procedures and what our policies are and also the day-to-day procedures that they do, and having safe practice with that.

Also creating relationships with boys so that it becomes a safe place to disclose, understanding that power imbalance and that keeping their welfare at the front and I think that's what we talked about in terms of with the safety of the boys is to ensure that that will be held, we hold them, we will keep their whānau involved as well, I think in their safeguarding. Especially from a boarding perspective, families entrust their boys to us, to live with us at the college, so keeping whānau involved in that also creates a safety, I think, that's important.

MR THOMAS: Thank you. I'm going to conclude my questions there and leave with you the
 Commissioners for any final questions. Thank you for your time and for coming along
 today.

Madam Chair, I might just signal briefly that we're going to have, if possible, a slight change of plan following your questions and have Ms McKechnie's opening address for the next session before the lunch adjournment and then perhaps take a shorter lunch adjournment if that might be possible.

CHAIR: Yes, we're going to need to buy some time and I'm afraid that usually means the lunch
break gets shortened, but it's important everybody gets a full chance to speak.
I'll just invite the Commissioners if they have any questions.

32 COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Good afternoon, talofa lava. Thank you for your responses
 33 earlier. So with a school like yours that has a very, very proud history, no doubt there are

intergenerations -- there are lots of generations of families that would come through your 2 school. So I'm really interested in how you frame culture and how you're trying to create these really important safe spaces. 3

So we get that in a Palagi context in terms of school structuring, your policies and your Boards and building the relationships between teachers and students. Then you've got another layer of a cultural component, right, so like you said, you say to your young men, "Don't leave your culture at the gate, bring it all in with you."

I'm really interested in how you nurture that, because they're such collective 8 cultures, Māori, Pacific, and a whole range of Pacific, and your values, how you've outlined 9 10 them in Samoan, which speaks about a strength of perhaps that little community in your church. Are you able to share with the Commission how you actually do that? And what 11 I'm really asking is, what's the interface there with community to be able to bring that 12 Pasifika perspective in or that Māori perspective in? 13

14

15

1

4

5

6

7

So I know you've talked about Pasifika groups and whanau groups, but actually when that plays out, what does that look like?

MR FERREIRA: So at our school on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays we have -- the day 16 starts with what we call "ranks", so the boys all line up in the quad, we will start off with a 17 prayer and we will have students come to the front and say a prayer and they will say it in 18 te reo, if they are of Māori descent, or it could be in Tongan or it could be in Samoan. 19 20 During Samoan Language Week, for example, we will have a student stand up at ranks every day and he will tell us about a phrase and then he will get us to repeat it and then 21 repeat it, and if he's not happy repeat it again until we get it right, and then on the next day 22 23 we have ranks, the same thing occurs again.

During assemblies as well, we will showcase certain aspects of different cultural 24 parts of the school in terms of the different language groups, the different parts of society 25 that they come from. 26

It's fed out in newsletters as well and then, like I say, when we have Tongan 27 Language Week or Samoan Language Week we try and make sure we celebrate that and 28 make our students aware of what it's about and we -- where we can, we have as many staff 29 involved in some of those cultural groups, and we're not privileged enough to -- we've got 30 one lady who's a Samoan teacher so we don't have a number of teachers who are of Pasifika 31 ethnicity. 32

33

So when we have our Pasifika group it's quite often when -- practices are held after

school because they take a long time, we have teachers who are on duty and will help to
 supervise the practices and help the members of the community that come into the school to
 perpetuate that.

4 COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: And when you've got issues like bullying and like sex, as a
 5 topic, do you have other groups come in, community groups come in to basically bring that
 6 awareness to the students about those spaces and how they're then able to connect?

MR FERREIRA: So to give an example, recently we had teachers speak to us about some
 students referring to an individual who is an influencer on social media and we actually got
 Hutt Valley SASH [Sexual Abuse Support & Healing] to come in and talk to the boys in
 assembly because Hutt Valley SASH they deal with victims.

11 **CHAIR:** Just say what that is, Hutt Valley...?

MR FERREIRA: They are Hutt Valley SASH, they're an organisation that deal with victims,
 whether that be -- victims of various types of abuse and also abuse on social media and so

on, so that gave our boys the perspective of what these people are feeling like.

We had the Police come in and speak to our students about, you know, if you're on social media what is okay and what is not okay, what is consent, all of that sort of -- all of those sorts of ideas were discussed. We could probably do it as the staff but then it is just the Rectors over and over again sort of telling them what to do. We get in these outside organisations and it gives them a different perspective on it.

20 So that's another aspect that we've brought in.

21 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Thank you, I'll come back if I have another question.

- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: My question's about -- so we have regulations here. Let's start
 with the hostel, and those regulations are there for safety in -- regulating the hostels
 generally from 2005, I think. I'm wondering whether they, to your mind, for the
 Proprietors, whether they're up to scratch or whether there are gaps and whether more work
- 26 is needed on those regs?

14

27 **DR COUCH:** The regulations?

28 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Mmm-hmm.

DR COUCH: I don't know in terms of all of the regulations that are there. I think while we have around the licensing that we've done for our hostel has recently been reviewed and – our policies are under review and open to -- we've had feedback from students and from parents within that and have reviewed them. So I think that's important, to keep reviewing those, and to be open to new information that might come with it. I don't get to see what the regulations are, but also I think the regulations set a standard, it may be a minimum
 standard and then it's about what we would do in terms of with the college as well, that
 day-to-day interaction in the boarding hostel, building relationships with boys and fostering
 a safe environment.

- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Yeah, because that seems to be, from our experience, it's one of
 those sites where abuse is -- where people are particularly vulnerable, right, in the hostel
 environment. I notice there's a complaint process, are you familiar with the complaint
 process there if you want to raise a complaint within the hostel?
- DR COUCH: I haven't had to deal with a complaint from staff -- from a staff member to a student
 and therefore haven't looked at that. We've dealt recently with student-to-student incidents
 that happen within the hostel, most of that happens at management, occasionally that might
 come to a Board level if we think it needs another process. I don't hold that process in my
 head but I know where to go to find it should I need it.
- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: You meant student complaining about a staff member rather than
 the other way around?
- 16 **DR COUCH:** Yeah. Did I say it the wrong way? I'm sorry.
- 17 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: The Children's Act as well about the requirements for reporting, it 18 seemed from our hearing with the State that that also needed work, elaboration and 19 clarification. I wonder whether you had any comment on that too in terms of your policies 20 and procedures?
- 21 **DR COUCH:** Can you explain that a bit more, sorry?
- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Identifying abuse for members of staff, being able to identify it
 and then report.
- DR COUCH: I think it's important that staff be trained on that, I think that, yeah, because
 that's -- we can't assume that people just know that, I think training on that is important.
- 26 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** You do have your own training of that, is that right?
- 27 **DR COUCH:** I can't say specifically, just because I don't have the knowledge to hand.
- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: The other thing is the large numbers of Māori and Pasifika at the
 kura, so is that right, like 27% currently and 20 for Māori?
- 30 **DR COUCH:** Mmm.
- 31 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** It follows from my colleague's question about whether
- 32 that -- you've got one Māori tutor, lecturer, teacher I understand for te reo Māori, is that
- right, about whether that's meeting the needs within the student body, including Pasifika

languages?

- MR FERREIRA: It would be great if you could hire them and find them, that's the issue. I think
 most schools are really struggling to find teachers to be able to teach te reo and be able to
 speak Tongan or Samoan. You just can't get them.
- DR COUCH: I was speaking recently with one of our Māori parents and they were this is a
 little bit anecdotal, but they were at a sports field and -- with their students, they were
 coaching the team and a -- some old boys came it's not uncommon that old boys came –
 and did a walk around the school, and they were a group of middle-aged men, white men –
 and he reflected that that's quite different to what our kura looks like now. We are of a
 different make-up. So it is on us as a school and as Boards to be aware of that.
- Yeah, limited resource, and in the absence of not being able to get Māori or Pasifika
 students -- staff in there, I think it's also important for all staff to become more
 knowledgeable to you know, there's a movement in the curriculum, training with that to be
 more aware of Te Ao Māori, Pasifika ways of being, how to respond appropriately, to
 increase I think that's the environment we're in and building our staff for that is important.

16 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** Kia ora, thank you, thank you to all of you, kia ora.

- 17 CHAIR: I have just got one area that hasn't been covered at all. You talked about the changes and 18 you're no doubt referring to cultural changes over the years, the school looks different now 19 in terms of its recognition of diversity, cultural diversity. What about the rainbow students, 20 is there provision for giving them a safe space, giving them support, et cetera? Everyone's 21 nodding so who wants to speak about that?
- MR FERREIRA: Recently it was actually -- it was the middle of last term I had a guidance 22 23 counsellor come and speak to me about that topic, about that issue. I met with a group of students who wanted to set up a diversity group and I said yeah, that's great. I did it in my 24 old school. We had a staff member who was particularly active in that. And all I wanted 25 from them was -- I just said, look, we need to make something that's sustainable, I don't 26 want it to fall over next year. It needed to be led by senior students, there needed to be 27 some sort of succession planning, and that the guidance counsellor and myself were, we 28 were particularly keen that it did happen because our students do need to have a safe space. 29 As a school we need to be accepting of all students. 30
- So my hope is that will continue and that next year -- well, this year, and next year that will then follow on so that we can ensure that those students will want to come to school and feel accepted.

1 **CHAIR:** Thank you.

DR COUCH: And in the recent past we've had students who are openly gay who are part of the
 senior leadership in the school and that's certainly accepted.

4 **CHAIR:** Thank you for that.

5

Right, we've now eaten into even more of our valuable time, but that's all right.

MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am, I just have two small questions in re-examination arising from Mr
 Thomas's questions, if I may.

8 CHAIR: Yes.

MS McKECHNIE: First, perhaps, if I can just refer the Commission. In relation to the more
 serious contemporary allegation of sexual fondling, it was reported to the Teachers
 Council,-- that's recorded at paragraph 19 of the appendix for your records.

- 12 The other question I just wanted to ask you all, I'm not sure Mr Thomas quite fairly 13 summarised your evidence in relation to knowledge of barriers. Your evidence was that 14 you,- and this is from 41 in your evidence, Rob, and 67 in yours, Sean, that you're not 15 aware of barriers specific to the college about reporting harm. So just to clarify, you are 16 aware of the wider barriers for teenage boys. But it's your evidence that you're not aware of 17 anything structurally at Silverstream that is different that is a particular barrier that you can
- 18 remove; is that your evidence-?

19 **MR FERREIRA:** That's correct.

20 **MR MAHONY:** Yes, that's correct.

CHAIR: Thank you. In the fine tradition of leading questions that we've all indulged in
 throughout this Commission, that's fine. All right.

May I, on behalf of the Commissioners, thank you three most sincerely. I appreciate it's difficult coming in with things happening in the past that have been so bad, but also acknowledging things that are happening now and your desire to make sure that the children in your care are safeguarded, and we appreciate that.

- We appreciate your interest in the Commission and it's gratifying to hear that the Commission itself has made you more aware and more interested in specific details that have come to light and I think that's an important part of the Inquiry's work, not just waiting for the final report but learning as we go. And I think the Commissioners appreciate your openness about that, so thank you very much, and you are now free to leave, so you can vacate the witness box. We'll stay where we are.
- 33 And I'll invite Ms McKechnie to give her opening statement of the second part of

today's proceedings. 1 MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, ma'am. Again, as with this morning, we have copies for the 2 Commissioners. This will be published online I believe if not now, then momentarily, so 3 people can follow remotely and it will be sent through to Counsel Assisting. 4 CHAIR: Thank you. 5 MS McKECHNIE: Commissioners, before I begin, ma'am, if we can clarify just for the planning 6 of the witnesses who are coming after lunch, I have 15 minutes to open now, and I 7 8 understand we're going to go to a lunch adjournment, so that's quarter to 1. What time will you be resuming, ma'am? 9 10 CHAIR: I think we'll shorten it, if we resume at quarter to 1, if returned back, if we came back at 1.30, that would give us three-quarters of an hour for the lunch break, is that suitable? 11 **MR THOMAS:** Yes, please, Madam Chair, that's suitable. 12 **CHAIR:** For the purposes of your witnesses who follow they should be ready by 1.30. 13 **OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CATHOLIC BISHOPS AND** 14 **CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS** 15 **MS McKECHNIE:** Thank you, ma'am, we'll ensure that they are. 16 Madam Chair, Commissioners, those listening, we now move to the second part of 17 the evidence from the Catholic Bishops and Congregational Leaders today which I 18 understand the Commission is referring to as the institutional response. 19 20 Again, ma'am, Commissioners, you have heard the acknowledgements from the many Catholic witnesses who have given evidence already in the Commission, and you will 21 hear evidence acknowledging and re-committing to those acknowledgements this 22 23 afternoon. We are very mindful that this is the last time that members of the Dioceses and 24 Congregations of the Catholic Church will be speaking to you in a public hearing. In 25 closing submissions later this week we will attempt to draw together some of the themes 26 and threads of all of that evidence and the material that you've been provided with. But to 27 provide some context, particularly for those who are listening and are not aware of all the 28 efforts that the Commission is making in the background, I'll briefly summarise. 29 The Bishops and Congregational Leaders of the Catholic Church in New Zealand 30 have been served with 33 notices to produce documents in evidence. And in response to 31 that they have filed 60 submissions, 14 substantive submissions, like the one I'm giving 32 now, and more than 150,000 historical documents to the Commission. There have been 19 33

witness statements and 14 briefing papers. 1 2 The scale of this response underscores the seriousness with which the Bishops and Congregational Leaders take this Commission and their engagement with it. 3 As you know, Commissioners, they asked to join this Commission and have 4 engaged as a matter of great seriousness and concentration with your kaupapa. 5 Much of the evidence that is prepared on behalf of the Catholic Church – and this is 6 evidence you're going to hear this afternoon, Commissioners – is done in the form of 7 8 briefing papers. I'm going to take a moment to explain those, because they are not a thing that you find in an ordinary court because this is a Commission of Inquiry, and the scope of 9 10 your terms of reference. It's a process that is being used where there's no single individual that has sufficient 11 knowledge, or if there was such an individual they're now dead. 12 So these are prepared by the legal team, Commissioners, from the historical records, 13 sometimes by interviewing a number of people if there are still witnesses alive or 14 individuals who are aware, and from secondary sources. 15 To prepare this material and the scale of the response, this has been coordinated 16 through the TRT [Te Ropū Tautoko] process with which Commissioners are familiar. 17 For the approval process of these documents, across that huge range of our 50 18 clients, they are usually signed off by the Chair of the TRT Governance Committee. This 19 20 has been necessary due to the scale of your requests and the timeframes, tight timeframes for responding. As a result, the witnesses who give evidence today can only speak to their 21 memories and their own opinions, as you heard Tim do this morning. 22 23 But in many cases, they're not familiar with the historical documents; even if they have seen the historical documents in preparation, they're not familiar with the decisions 24 that those documents record. 25 So, unavoidably this afternoon, there will be more evidence where they cannot 26 speak to what was in the minds of the decision-makers. 27 Commissioners, part of the reason for this breadth and complexity is the size of the 28 Church. I was thoughtful in listening to the evidence on Thursday afternoon that there's 29 quite a contrast between the community that gave evidence on Thursday afternoon and the 30 community that is giving evidence today. 31 10% of the New Zealand population identify as Catholic, 470,000 people. And, of 32

course, it is a global faith of more than a billion.

33

- In New Zealand, Commissioners, the Catholic faith contains many different cultures and ethnic groups and they are all combined in their faith, but the particular nature of their faith often differs, because it is informed and enriched by the ethnic and cultural practices that they bring to the faith.
- 4 5

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

21

23

1

2

3

Within the community there are individuals, such as bishops and congregational leaders, with specific leadership roles and responsibilities, but they're often shared and they're overlapping, and they're simultaneously independent, which the Catholic leaders are very aware makes it very complicated for the Commission.

There are six Dioceses in New Zealand, and more than 60 Congregations have had a 9 role in New Zealand at some point in the history of the Catholic faith here. 39 of them are 10 represented through TRT. They are all independent of each other, and simultaneously part 11 of the same faith. 12

That means that since 1950, the scope of your terms of reference, there have been 428 unique Catholic parishes, 393 schools and more than 65 care institutions, and as you heard me say this morning, there remain 236 schools, the care institutions now are vastly reduced in scale and there are no care institutions in the classical form still run by entities within the Church.

But given this diversity and complexity, no individual can speak for "the Church". 18 The leaders can speak for their own diocesan and congregational views and they will do 19 20 that this afternoon.

But there do continue to be collaborative processes and a response to harm, what this Commission is looking at, is actually one of those examples. The Church first came 22 together in the 1990s when the knowledge of this issue began to surface, and A Path to Healing was first promulgated. And over the last 25 years, that process has been refined 24 and improved, and the coordination has continued to improve. 25

That led to the National Office of Professional Standards being created in 2004 and 26 the National Safeguarding and Professional Standards Committee, perhaps another pithy 27 title for today, Commissioners, was also created in 2004, and Dr Paul Flanagan, who's 28 giving evidence this afternoon, is a member of that Committee. That is a national 29 governance body that has been tasked by the Mixed Commission - which, for those of you 30 who don't hold Catholic structures in your mind every moment, to remind you, is a 31 combination of the New Zealand Catholic Bishops Conference and the Congregational 32 Leaders of Aotearoa and New Zealand (CLANZ) – they come together to have meetings 33

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

and make decisions on occasion – they have tasked that Committee with governance of both safeguarding and of professional standards.

So the evidence that you're going to hear today, Commissioners, is necessarily a thin snapshot of all of that diversity. And it's going to focus in three areas, and I'll briefly outline now for you and those listening, what you can expect to hear this afternoon.

And this is in response to what the Commission have requested of the Church. The first session, Commissioners, is the retired Bishop of Auckland, Bishop Pat Dunn, and the current Bishop of Auckland, Bishop Steve Lowe, they've been asked to give evidence. Bishop Pat retired in February this year after 27 years as the Bishop. That was due to the serious deterioration of his eyesight. As you will see when he's in the witness box, his ability to review material is now essentially almost gone, very sadly.

As a result, he has prepared a short witness statement in relation to a priest you have heard evidence about, Sateki Raass, a former priest from the Tongan chaplaincy in Auckland, and those are the matters he's going to be asked questions about today. He's going to be accompanied by Madeleine Holmes who is a member of our legal team who will sit with him and assist.

Bishop Steve became the Bishop of Auckland on Pat's retirement and he remains
the Apostolic Administrator of Hamilton until a new bishop is appointed. Bishop Steve's
evidence today focuses on the briefing paper about Immigrant Clergy and Religious and in
particular the process for bringing immigrant priests into New Zealand to minister.

Now, Bishop Steve didn't prepare that document, Commissioners. It was
predominantly drafted by Ms Gwynn, who was sitting next to me before, by reference to
the historical records, but he does have personal experience of sponsoring overseas priests
into New Zealand and has recently brought in some strength and changes in that process.
He's also familiar with the Religious Workers Visa that Immigration New Zealand have
recently brought in and why that was brought in, and he will be answering questions about
that.

28 29

30

I'm also conscious, ma'am, that in the afternoon Sue France will be giving evidence and you may have questions for her about the sponsoring of sisters into New Zealand, which is a process she is familiar with.

The second session will be John Dew, the Archbishop of Wellington. He has been asked to give evidence about a recent investigation into the historical actions of Bishop Kavanagh. Bishop Kavanagh was the Bishop of Dunedin, and Kavanagh College on the

hill in Dunedin was named after him. And there were some allegations brought relatively recently, firstly to Bishop Dooley, the Bishop of Dunedin, which were then sent to John, about Bishop Kavanagh's failure to act or alleged failure to act in relation to two men who had been offenders in Dunedin.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

27

28

29

30

1

2

3

As John is the Metropolitan of New Zealand, he's responsible, under the direction of the Vatican, for investigating the actions of Bishops. In this instance, because Bishop Kavanagh is dead, the Vatican did not require this matter to be investigated. However, John decided that it should be, and tasked NOPS with undertaking an independent investigation. He then received the report, and the matter was transferred to Bishop Dooley, and John is here to answer questions about that process.

Having received the report, Bishop Dooley in Dunedin has decided to change the name of the college, and Bishop Dooley is here today and if the Commissioners have questions in relation to that he is happy to accept those.

The final session, Commissioners, is about reflections looking forward and looking back. And this, Commissioners, is in relation to a list of questions that we received, which I understand all the faiths giving evidence this week have broadly received the same questions, thinking about some of the big themes.

Now, Commissioners, as there is no single entity called "the Catholic Church" 18 you're going to hear from three leaders this afternoon and they're going to sit together in the 19 20 witness box. John Dew is going to give evidence, Sister Sue France, the Congregational Leader of the Sisters of Mercy, is going to give evidence, and Dr Paul Flanagan, who is a 21 lay member of the National Safeguarding and Professional Standards Committee. They are 22 23 supported by Ms Virginia Noonan, who you know, who is the director of NOPS [National Office for Professional Standards], and by Kevin Shore, and both Virginia and Kevin will 24 be sitting with me and, again, if there are specific questions the witnesses may refer them to 25 their advisors or the Commissioners may have questions. 26

Commissioners, we're adopting a similar process used in the Crown hearing, where these leaders, particularly Sister Sue and John, are the chief executives, essentially, of very large organisations and they delegate many of these matters. So if these advisors can assist that is why they are here both to help the witnesses and to help the Commission.

In the last few minutes I have of this opening submission, Commissioners, I'd like to emphasise four key features of the evidence you're going to hear this afternoon. The first, and these are all changes currently being made which I anticipate will be of particular

interest to the Commission. The first is that the Path to Healing process is under regular
 review and as part of the recent evidence that Ms Noonan gave, you may remember that a
 number of requests were made of her and her office in relation to that. And this is
 informing the review of a Path to Healing at present, it is currently going out for – I
 understand it's in the process of consultation from stakeholders at the moment.

6

Dr Paul Flanagan speaks to some of that in his evidence.

Secondly, Commissioners, as you know from previous statements to you, the 7 8 Bishops and Congregational Leaders support the independent redress scheme for survivors. And at present they are actively engaging with the Crown Response Unit through TRT 9 10 supported by a number of the managing -- the general managers of the Dioceses to do that work on behalf of the wider Church, so John Prendergast-- John is General Manager of the 11 Diocese of Wellington as a part of that group -- engaging with how that redress scheme can 12 respond to survivors and what the Church entities can learn from that as it is being stood 13 14 up.

15

16

The Church is very aware that it's not going to be created tomorrow and, accordingly, these processes need to be refined in the meantime as well.

Thirdly, Commissioners, following the release of your report, the Congregational Leaders and Bishops have met and agreed on what they refer to as a road map. There is a copy of that road map on the back of my opening submissions. It's a public document, Commissioners, it's available for anybody to see and review on the TRT website.

Now, the purpose of this is how the Church can respond to the recommendations and themes from you and your report while this Commission continues to be underway. They don't want to wait until your final report and hence have committed to these steps. They focus, Commissioners, on reviewing structures, reducing barriers to disclosures, and improving processes of the Church to be more survivor informed while we wait for your final recommendations and for whatever redress scheme is ultimately created by the Crown.

To be publicly accountable, these are available online and we encourage anybody listening, and the Commission, to engage with those. Bishops and Congregational Leaders want to be held to account.

The fourth theme I'll emphasise in my opening is the importance of transparency. The Bishops and Congregational Leaders have heard the greater calls for transparency from the Catholic community, from survivors, from the Commission. And as part of that, as the

Commission is aware, during the last four years TRT has undertaken a statistical research 1 2 project across all the Catholic entities in New Zealand. That had never been done before prior to the Commission. That was looking at all the records that are held of events of 3 harm. Those events are themselves very varied from the most serious to a much more 4 minor,- a swear word or an inappropriate tap on the ear-,-- through to matters of great harm 5 and criminal activity. 6

The records themselves are very varied. Some of them are full investigation files, 7 some of them are a phone call where somebody rang and said, "I was hurt I want to talk to 8 somebody", and never rang back. We don't know necessarily even who that person was 9 10 that they were complaining about. But what the Dioceses and Congregations have done is assemble all those records such as they hold them – and they acknowledge that they may 11 have never have been recorded – but such as they hold them, and that information has been 12 publicly released. It, of course, has been provided to the Commission but it also has been 13 published on the TRT website so the community, the Catholic community, the survivor 14 community, the wider community can see that information. 15

And that is a fundamental commitment that the Bishops and Congregational 16 17 Leaders took to transparency.

So those are the four themes in terms of the changes that are currently underway. 18 Looking to the future, in the last moments of my opening, Commissioners, as part of the 19 20 road map, the Church leaders have committed that changes are needed and I will close my opening by just repeating one of the statements from the road map. The leaders have 21 acknowledged that the release of your report is an opportunity to reset the Church's 22 23 relationship with survivors, to bolster efforts of safeguarding and to re-shape their response to reports of abuse and harm, historical, contemporary and into the future. And I anticipate 24 that you will hear more about that this afternoon. 25

- CHAIR: Thank you, Ms McKechnie, gives us a very good outline of where we're going to go 26 once we've had lunch. So thank you very much for that and just to remind everybody, both 27 here and on the livestream, that we will resume again at 1.30. 28
- 29 MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, ma'am.
- 30

Lunch adjournment from 12.46 pm to 1.33 pm

CHAIR: Good afternoon, Ms McKechnie. 31

MS McKECHNIE: Commissioners. For this session, Commissioners, I will be supported by Ms 32 Sarah Gwynn, Ms Noonan and Mr Shore. 33

1	Bishop Pat and Bishop Steve are both in the witness box. Do you want to begin
2	with the attestation, ma'am?
3	CHAIR: Yes, we will.
4	BISHOP DUNN AND BISHOP LOWE (Affirmed)
5	MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am, just a couple of housekeeping matters before we begin.
6	CHAIR: Certainly.
7	MS McKECHNIE: Bishop Pat has an iPad with him that has a magnifying feature with him. I
8	have spoken to my friend Mr Thomas and, on Ms Anderson's behalf she is beaming in
9	from outside the room he's comfortable with that.
10	He also has a magnified copy of his witness statement in larger print if
11	Ms Anderson wants to take him to some paragraphs.
12	Bishop Steve has a copy of the Briefing Paper for Clergy and Religious. Both of
13	these documents, Commissioners, come in two parts. There is an open part which will be
14	published, as I understand it, on the Commissioners' website, and there is a confidential
15	part; the first in relation to Sateki Raass because it contains information about his victims,
16	and the woman who made complaints, and the other because it contains information about
17	the immigration status of priests, the witnesses both know they should answer generally if
18	possible, but there may be questions that they will indicate to me and to you if they don't
19	feel they can answer them in this forum.
20	CHAIR: Absolutely. We must respect the rights of those people who, for good reason, have had
21	their names suppressed.
22	MS McKECHNIE: I'm very conscious, ma'am, a number of them are no longer priests and so we
23	don't act for them and so we can't represent their interests.
24	CHAIR: Exactly. Thank you. And just for everybody to note, that Ms Anderson who is leading
25	this evidence today hasn't been well and feels safer to be in a room which is not too far
26	away from us but you can see her on the screen.
27	Pat, can you see Ms Anderson at all, can you make out her image?
28	BISHOP DUNN: Yes, ma'am, I can make out her image but not see her clearly.
29	CHAIR: That's fine. The main thing is if you can hear her and we'll test that out shortly thank
30	you.
31	QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE: Bishop Pat, if I could start with you, please, could you
32	briefly introduce yourself to the Commission and physically describe yourself.
33	BISHOP DUNN: Physically describe myself. Commissioners, I'm Pat Dunn, the former Bishop

1	of Auckland. I'm wearing a blue jacket and a white shirt and as you look at this witness
2	stand, I am on your right.
3	MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, Pat. Bishop Steve.
4	BISHOP LOWE: Tēnā koutou katoa, Commissioners, I'm Steve Lowe, I was, for the last seven
5	years, Bishop of Hamilton but since February of this year Bishop of Auckland.
6	CHAIR: Thank you.
7	MS McKECHNIE: And Steve, in the opening I refer to you as the Apostolic Administrator of the
8	Diocese of Hamilton. It's quite a technical term, can you explain what that means.
9	BISHOP LOWE: I've still got technical oversight for the Diocese of Hamilton, it's looked after
10	day-to-day by a local administrator but for all the bigger decisions or issues it's my
11	responsibility.
12	MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, Steve.
13	I have 10 minutes, ma'am, to lead evidence from the witnesses and so I was going to
14	lead five minutes from each of them. I understand Ms Anderson is going to ask questions
15	across the range of issues, if that suits the Commission.
16	CHAIR: You use your 10 minutes the best way you think you should, thank you.
17	MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, ma'am.
18	Pat, I'd like to start with you. As you know, the Commission asked you to prepare a
19	brief of evidence in relation to Sateki Raass who is a former priest and was the Tongan
20	chaplain here in Auckland. And there were three allegations brought to you over a period
21	of time and, Commissioners, we're going to use the phrase "first allegation", "second
22	allegation" or "first complaint", "second complaint" to distinguish them.
23	The third complaint, Commissioners, was the one that Ms CU gave evidence about
24	in the Pacific hearing, so we'll use Ms CU's name or "third complaint", that's what I've
25	agreed with Ms Anderson as the best way to do it.
26	CHAIR: Yes.
27	MS McKECHNIE: In your witness statement, Pat, you have reflected on the experience of these
28	complaints and your actions and so in opening I'd like, if you could share those reflections,
29	with the Commission.
30	BISHOP DUNN: Yes. On reflection I felt that we tried to address each of the complaints as best
31	we could and I feel we did do as best we could. One further reflection I had when
32	preparing my statement was the feeling that perhaps it might have been helpful to have
33	sought advice from some Tongan advisor or leader because one of the challenges I and the

Professional Standards Committee in Auckland, which was existing before the National Office was established, we felt we couldn't quite get into the Tongan mentality, if you like, and sort of to, I don't know, to make progress.

1

2

3

So one of my reflections was that it might have been helpful for me to have had 4 some sort of a Tongan advisor, but since writing that reflection I've actually had further 5 reflections and I'm not sure that any one of the three complainants would necessarily have 6 wanted to have me seeking advice from a Tongan person, because they were all concerned 7 8 with confidentiality. And it actually reminded me that on one occasion we did have a Tongan counsellor on our Professional Standards Committee and I can remember there was 9 10 a complaint that involved a Tongan person and they specifically did not want the Tongan counsellor involved in processing their complaint. 11

- So it still remains something of a challenge for me, on just what the way forward is,
 or how best to proceed in this sort of situation.
- MS McKECHNIE: You do talk in your witness statement, Pat, about the involvement that the Tongan community in particular here has had in some of the safeguarding measures. Can you summarise those for the Commission.
- BISHOP DUNN: In recent years throughout the Diocese there's been a safeguarding plan implemented and certainly members of the Tongan chaplaincy and Tongan Catholic people in the chaplaincy but also in a whole variety of parishes have attended the formation programmes, if you like, or the workshops, and so my sense is that they do have a good awareness of the need for safeguarding and the steps that need to be taken. All the safeguarding documentation has been translated into most of the, most of, many of the languages currently being used within the Diocese and certainly in Tongan too.
- MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, Pat. There's one very specific structure that you refer to in your evidence about Sateki Raass and that's following him being removed as a priest and his ministry being limited. There were some restrictions placed on that from the Vatican and you used your powers as the Bishop to override some of those restrictions and it's quite a particular canonical process, so I just want to ask you a couple of questions about that so you can explain that to the Commissioners and to the public.
- 30 So, firstly, before we talk particularly about Raass, what is the practice of overriding 31 of restrictions as a Bishop and why might you do that in a particular situation?
- 32 **CHAIR:** First of all, if I can ask, what is an overriding restriction?
- 33 **MS McKECHNIE:** Overriding of the restrictions, ma'am.

1 **CHAIR:** Thank you.

BISHOP DUNN: When the Vatican issues, when someone applies to be dispensed from priestly ministry, they receive a document granting that dispensation if it is granted, and then there are a number of restrictions, basically that those who have been dispensed are instructed not to play any public role in the life of the Church. And I sort of hadn't really noticed that, but also it's like a default position on a letter, so the letter received by Sateki Raass, while it had his name in it, it was a proforma letter.

8 A number of priests who have received dispensation from priestly ministry had 9 mentioned to me over the years that they felt very hurt by some of these restrictions that 10 they were not to play any public role in the life of the Church, and that they felt as if the 11 message being given was that "Just drop dead" almost.

So I thought that the Vatican really is dealing with a global Church and my 12 perspective is that they were wanting to avoid scandal so those proforma letters of 13 dispensation would be sent to priests who have been dispensed right around the world, in 14 North or South America, in Africa or in Asia or in Oceania or in, really, every country, and 15 where a priest left the priesthood could be a cause of great scandal in some cultures or in 16 some countries, my experience in New Zealand is that New Zealand Catholics generally 17 might feel sad if a priest leaves the priesthood, but that they really wanted them to get on 18 with their life and often if they had teaching skills, they had many pastoral skills, that they 19 20 should be encouraged to use those, but not in a form that would cause confusion for people 21 or cause distress or upset.

So it became my practice then when a priest did leave the priesthood and received 22 23 this dispensation that I would write a letter dispensing them from these restrictions, but also my communication with them was not just by letter but also by conversation. So I would 24 have said, look, if the opportunity arises and if you're asked to help with a religious 25 education class or something like that, if it's not going to cause scandal then that's quite 26 okay by me and I suspect it's quite okay with many of the parishioners, but sort of be 27 guided by your own common sense and also by whatever the local parish priest might want 28 29 to say too.

30

31

So I did the same thing with Sateki Raass. In my statement I say probably with hindsight that that wasn't the wisest decision, but that was the background.

MS McKECHNIE: So, Pat, just one final question of clarification. Sateki Raass has a conviction
 which is why we can refer to his name. But this process of dispensation, is it only used for

1 priests who are leaving because of inappropriate behaviour or is it used more generally? 2 BISHOP DUNN: No, it's used more generally for any -- priests, you know, from time to time someone decides that they don't want to continue in the priesthood, and it could be for a 3 variety of reasons, so they can then apply for -- if it's something they feel they have to 4 proceed with, they can apply to be dispensed from the obligations of the priesthood. 5 6 MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, Pat. Steve, I also have some questions for you. This is changing tack somewhat and 7 8 speaking more generally about matters of immigration. The Royal Commission asked for a briefing paper in relation to immigrant priests 9 10 and the process of immigration and the number of specific priests' particular details, so I'm going to ask you those general questions rather than specifics. 11 But to start, why do priests from overseas or Religious from overseas come into 12 New Zealand to minister? 13 BISHOP LOWE: Traditionally the Church in New Zealand has never been able to be 14 self-sustaining in the number of priests or Religious that we have. The big change to that 15 was after the Second World War when there were a lot of Religious vocations to priesthood 16 and Religious life, churches were full, but the situation we have now is more normative. 17 So, in the past, many older Catholics will remember we had lots of Irish priests, now we 18 have priests from the Philippines, India, the Pacific. 19 20 **MS McKECHNIE:** What steps are put in place -- this is in two parts I think, I'll ask you first 21 about the Church processes. If a priest is coming into your Diocese, what Church processes are put in place? 22 23 **BISHOP LOWE:** First of all, it's how the priest makes contact with us. We get priests just sending out emails to various Dioceses saying I'd like to come and work in your Diocese. 24 At other times we might be looking for particular priests to come and work in our Dioceses 25 as well. So there's an initial assessment when the first request comes in, and a look at the 26 needs of the local Diocese, and then the process of screening them will begin. 27 In more recent years we've got a standardised screening questionnaire for the whole 28 country. But always, it's always been the tradition that we'd be looking for priests or 29 Religious in good standing. But, as I said, now we've got a more formalised process. 30 With myself in the Diocese of Hamilton and now in Auckland we also do Skype 31 interviews and in Auckland we've got a couple of women involved in that and a priest and 32 myself, and so we're looking for a whole lot of things, whether they'll be a good fit. But 33

- there is the normal screening sort of questions, are they a priest in good standing, are there
 any issues that they've got.
- Then alongside that there's the Immigration New Zealand requirement of Police
 vetting as well.
- 5 **MS McKECHNIE:** Steve, who do you ask, the priest of good standing question, who is that 6 directed at?
- BISHOP LOWE: So we ask it of the priest himself, so he's got one questionnaire and also we ask
 it of his Superior or Provincial if he's a Religious.

MS McKECHNIE: In terms of the immigration processes, there was a quite important change in
 2011 with the religious workers visa coming in, and I know you know quite a lot about that.
 Are you able to explain to the Commission, firstly what that is and, in your view, why
 Immigration New Zealand brought it in.

- BISHOP LOWE: Yeah, so the religious workers visa was a recognition that churches quite often
 operate quite differently. So for priests in New Zealand, you know, whether a religious
 priest or a diocesan priest like myself, we get a stipend, so we're not an employee, so we
 belong to a Religious order. So a lot of the things for a worker visa didn't really fit. Also,
 because we don't get a salary such as a normal -- a teacher, for example, or a lawyer, there
 has to be all sorts of guarantees by the sponsoring Diocese or order that will look after the
 person, their medical expenses, repatriation, all those sorts of things.
- 20 The other area, this was really interesting and important for, was for seminarians who are going to come in from another country to train to be priests in here. Some of them 21 had already been in a seminary overseas. It was really problematic with what we wanted to 22 do with them, when we wanted them to have an experience of parish, so give them an 23 opportunity to assimilate into our local culture, but also let us have a good look at them. 24 Then they'd come to the seminary and we would want them to repeat the first year of their 25 studies which was more formative and, again, it was about forming them for the 26 New Zealand culture, and then finally they might be doing full-time study. So it was three 27 different visas that we needed. So it was an absolute disaster, and very difficult for us to try 28 and manage with those students so they got the best formation available when they arrived 29 in New Zealand. 30
- 31

So the religious workers visa solved all those issues.

32 **MS McKECHNIE:** Thank you, Steve.

33

Now, I understand Ms Anderson has some questions, I think, Pat, she's both on the

1	screen in front of you and over your right shoulder if it's easier to see her on that screen, but
2	I'll leave you with Ms Anderson.
3	CHAIR: Thank you, Ms McKechnie.
4	Ms Anderson.
5	QUESTIONING BY MS ANDERSON: Tēnā koutou katoa, ko Katherine Anderson tōku ingoa.
6	BISHOP DUNN: Kia ora.
7	MS ANDERSON: For those who are not able to see me, I am of short stature with medium-length
8	blondish hair, I'm wearing a cream jacket and a silver necklace over a black and white
9	dress.
10	Just in terms of how you'd like me to refer to you while you're answering questions,
11	is it would you prefer Pat and Steve or
12	BISHOP DUNN: Pat is fine.
13	BISHOP LOWE: That's fine, thank you.
14	MS ANDERSON: And Pat, as we go through, in terms of your visual difficulties I'm going to be
15	talking to you about a range of evidence from the documents and we can have a
16	conversation, but if you need to see the document and refresh your memory, we can pause
17	and you've got a support person there who can either read some of the document to you
18	and, of course, Steve is there to make sure that the words that are being put to you as being
19	in the document are correct.
20	BISHOP DUNN: Thank you.
21	MS ANDERSON: We'll just move slowly through it, but don't hesitate to pause if you think you
22	need to see the document before you answer any of my questions.
23	First of all, just to set the scene, just some background information in broad terms. I
24	think we can agree that Father Raass was an ordained priest in Tonga by the Bishop of
25	Tonga in the mid-2000s. Is that your understanding?
26	BISHOP DUNN: Yes, that's correct.
27	MS ANDERSON: And he had a couple of appointments to various ministries in Tonga it was
28	mid-2000 when he was ordained, he had a couple of ministries in Tonga and a couple of
29	transfers. Now, I'm just going to one of the documents I wanted to ask you about is
30	there's a document on file that's been held by the Auckland Diocese and provided to the
31	Inquiry which is an anonymous letter, quite possibly a translation of a letter that was
32	written in 2005 raising some concerns about Father Raass in the period before he came to
33	New Zealand; do you recall that document?

1 BISHOP DUNN: The document was held in the archives of the Diocese of Tonga not in Auckland. I only found out about that -- a letter when it was mentioned in one of the 2 Sunday newspapers and subsequently spoke to Cardinal Mafi, who is the Bishop of Tonga. 3 MS ANDERSON: That's quite late in the piece, isn't it? 4 **BISHOP DUNN:** It is, yeah. 5 MS ANDERSON: That you've had knowledge of that letter, and it was in that, when I say quite 6 late in the piece, this is probably in 2021, isn't it, that you've had the --7 8 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct. MS ANDERSON: -- (inaudible) about that. And that you'd learned also at that time about 9 10 another document which was a letter of apology that Father Raass had sent to the Bishop of Tonga relating to his conduct in Tonga. 11 **BISHOP DUNN:** I'm not sure I've seen that letter. 12 MS ANDERSON: It's a letter in the which Sateki Raass conveys his sincere apologies to the 13 Bishop of Tonga for any shortcomings in relation to his ability to fulfil his roles and 14 responsibilities in the parish. So it's a bookend, I suppose, of the anonymous letter that 15 we've just been discussing. 16 BISHOP DUNN: Sure. 17 MS ANDERSON: And as part of your process for Father Raass coming to New Zealand, you 18 have gone through a formal process, haven't you, where you've required the Bishop of 19 20 Tonga to provide what is this document that the person is of good standing, and that's in about 2005. Do you recall that you would have required that formality before agreeing to 21 have Father Raass in the Auckland Diocese? 22 23 BISHOP DUNN: Yes, that's correct. Can I just put that into a larger context, that within the Diocese of Auckland there are about 15 or 16 ethnic chaplaincies and one of the principles 24 that the Diocese adopted quite a number of years ago, like maybe 20-plus years ago, is that 25 we believe that ethnic communities have the right to celebrate the liturgy in their own 26 language if a priest is available to serve them. 27 Now, from before my time as Bishop, so maybe for 30-plus years, the Bishop of 28 Tonga has, I don't even know how the practice began, but the Bishop of Tonga would 29 appoint a priest to serve the Catholic Tongan community in Auckland in the chaplaincy and 30 then would nominate that priest to the Bishop of Auckland saying that he would like to 31 appoint Father X, Y, Z. And that was the situation with Sateki Raass. So that Bishop 32 Foliaki wrote to me and said that he would like to appoint Sateki Raass, whom I would not 33

1	have known. I sent the ordinary forms to him, you know, to vouch for his good character
2	and they were duly signed.
3	MS ANDERSON: That's right, that's because the Tongan chaplain in Auckland is appointed by
4	the Bishop of Tonga, not by you.
5	BISHOP DUNN: Correct.
6	MS ANDERSON: But you're responsible for granting what's referred to in the technical term of
7	faculties
8	BISHOP DUNN: That's right.
9	MS ANDERSON: (inaudible) whoever comes in. And so what you've received is certification
10	that there was no knowledge of any complaints of sexual abuse made against Sateki Raass,
11	or circumstances that could lead to a complaint of abuse, so that's what
12	BISHOP DUNN: Correct.
13	MS ANDERSON: you've received on the documentation. What are the faculties that you'd be
14	responsible for granting, using Sateki Raass as an example.
15	BISHOP DUNN: It's a technical term, it's really giving him permission to do whatever he needs
16	to do to work as a priest in the Diocese. So it would be to celebrate the sacraments, to
17	preach, to care for the parishioners to whom he was appointed.
18	MS ANDERSON: But he's not, again, this is sort of a technical term, he's not incardinated into
19	the Auckland Diocese, is he?
20	BISHOP DUNN: No, no, he's not.
21	MS ANDERSON: For those not familiar with what that means, let's see if we can agree, the
22	effect of incardination is that the person is under the jurisdiction of a relevant Bishop, and
23	you can't be incardinated in two places at once?
24	BISHOP DUNN: That's correct.
25	MS ANDERSON: And the mirror part of that is excardination, so the Bishop of Tonga would
26	have to excardinate Father Raass for you to be able to incardinate him and that would be a
27	seamless process?
28	BISHOP DUNN: Yes, and he would only excardinate him if he had checked that the receiving
29	Bishop was prepared to incardinate him. So, in other words, no priest can be just floating
30	free, as it were.
31	MS ANDERSON: So what does that mean in terms of who's responsible and accountable for a
32	person like Sateki Raass who's come to New Zealand to work in the Tongan chaplaincy but
33	he's not incardinated but you've given him faculties to work, could you explain your

oversight role and the Bishop of Tonga's oversight role in these particular circumstances?
 BISHOP DUNN: I think my understanding would be that he would be responsible to me, that the
 Tongan chaplain would be responsible to the local -- to the Bishop of Auckland but remains
 by origin, if you like, a priest of the Diocese of Tonga. And the practice was that Tongan
 priests would come and serve for a term under the authority of the Bishop of Auckland and
 then the Bishop of Tonga would appoint them somewhere in Tonga, or often to another
 Tongan chaplaincy somewhere else overseas, whether in Australia or the USA or wherever.

- MS ANDERSON: So is it that when Father Raass was here, is it solely your responsibility to
 supervise him and to hold him to account for any shortcomings, or do you do that in
 collaboration with the Bishop of Tonga?
- 11 **BISHOP DUNN:** No, it would be my responsibility.
- MS ANDERSON: So on that basis, do you say the fact of not being incardinated means that there is in fact no actual practical gap in accountability and responsibility?

14 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes.

15 **MS ANDERSON:** For you as bishop.

- 16 **BISHOP DUNN:** Sorry, would you repeat the question?
- MS ANDERSON: So there's no gap in accountability for you as Bishop by virtue of the fact that
 Father Raass was not incardinated into the Auckland Diocese?
- 19 **BISHOP DUNN:** I think that sounds correct.
- 20 **MS ANDERSON:** Was there a convention with immigrant priests such as Father Raass where if
- there was a complaint, report of abuse made against them that you would inform the Bishopof the place where they are incardinated?
- BISHOP DUNN: Generally yes, or the Religious superior if they were -- belonged to a Religious
 Congregation, yes.
- MS ANDERSON: So in relation to the three complaints that we're going to be talking about in relation to Father Raass, have there been communications from you to the Bishop of Tonga about the fact of those complaints being made?
- BISHOP DUNN: There was about the first and the third complaint, but not the second complaint,
 because the complainant in the second -- the second complainant, if you like, did not want
 the complaint to be acted upon.
- 31 **MS ANDERSON:** We'll come on to that subject a little bit later.
- 32 **BISHOP DUNN:** Sure.
- 33 **MS ANDERSON:** Because that's the issue that we'll be exploring in part with you and in part

with Steve, the difficult area of either anonymous reports or where somebody's reported something which may in fact reveal a safeguarding concern that should be addressed, but the person may not want resolution for themselves in relation to that complaint. So I'm just foreshadowing that we'll spend a little bit more time on that topic.

- 5 And do you recall that you met with <u>GRO-C</u> in August 2009 and you made a file 6 note of that conversation and this conversation arose out of Father Raass' request to transfer 7 from Tonga to Auckland, so he's already been in Auckland from 2006 to 2009, and then it's 8 a question of whether he's here on the same or a different basis and you're having a 9 discussion in 2009; do you recall that?
- BISHOP DUNN: I don't recall that -- vaguely, but I don't recall that specific encounter, but GRO C would tend to visit Auckland two or three times a year, and so we'd often have a
 conversation. And I do remember that Sateki did begin to ask about the possibility of
 transferring to Auckland, so I did discuss that with GRO-C.
- MS ANDERSON: And your file note records that the discussion was -- because it was a desire to talk in person rather than to put things in writing and your note records that you were told at that point on more than one occasion in Tonga there'd been concerns about what was described in your note as closeness with particular women.
- 18 Do you recall that conversation where that phrase would have been mentioned to19 you?

20 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

MS ANDERSON: And your note records in a square bracket that "I didn't know any of this". So this is clearly the first point in time which you're receiving information that there may have been some conduct of concern.

24 **MS McKECHNIE:** Ms Anderson, could we have the document number, please?

- MS ANDERSON: I had understood that Ms McKechnie really wanted us to proceed without
 calling up the documents.
- MS McKECHNIE: I am not suggesting you call it up, but I would just like to follow along on the
 copy I have and I'm not sure what document you're referring to.

29 **CHAIR:** Just the number, Ms Anderson.

30 **MS ANDERSON:** CTH0019441.

- 31 MS McKECHNIE: Thank you. And certainly I don't want Bishop Pat to have to have a guessing 32 game about what the document says, so if you need to call up the documents, please do.
- 33 MS ANDERSON: And so did it seem to you at that point, knowing in 2009 that there had been

these concerns about his closeness with particular women, that you'd received certification in 2006 that indicated there was nothing of concern at that point in time?

1

2

BISHOP DUNN: My understanding is that Bishop Foliaki was aware of these concerns but could
never substantiate them. So he didn't know whether there was substance to them or if they
were gossip and he felt that with Sateki it was a bit like the tall poppy syndrome, I think
that was his expression, that Sateki was the subject of gossip, but he could never actually
nail down, if you like, a specific complaint.

MS ANDERSON: Well, the certification says he's not aware of any circumstances that could lead
 to a complaint of abuse. So where you've got something reported, and it hasn't been
 investigated, does that mean that the Bishop of Tonga was free to certify in 2006, in your
 mind?

BISHOP DUNN: Clearly I don't know what the Bishop of Tonga's thinking was in 2006, but what I suspect is that a little bit like the anonymous letter that surfaced, is that there was a letter, but it was -- I can understand his predicament that he didn't know what parish they were talking about, he didn't know who the complainant was, so I could understand, and I think I would feel the same, that I wouldn't know where to start, that it wasn't -- I understand that the letter was not postmarked, didn't know if it came from Parish A or B or C or D.

MS ANDERSON: My question is really, if you were -- obviously you're not now because you're Emeritus Bishop, but before your retirement, would you also feel that it was appropriate to certify that somebody was of good character, and faculties could be issued where there had been a report of abuse but there hadn't either been an investigation into it or a determination of that report of abuse?

BISHOP DUNN: I'm not sure that there'd been a report of abuse or a rumour of abuse. I mean,
I actually don't know, I don't know what was going on in Tonga, but if there were a report,
and I don't think there was, then I don't think that letter should have been signed. But I'm
not 100% sure that Bishop Foliaki had actually had a report, he'd had rumours.

MS ANDERSON: But isn't that the very thing that happens after something is disclosed about a particular priest or Religious and then people later say, "Oh, there were always rumours about that person", the fact that something's a rumour doesn't mean that there's actually a safeguarding issue that might need to be accommodated in some way.

31 **BISHOP DUNN:** I'm sorry what was your question?

CHAIR: I think this is where there's smoke there's fire, or where there is smoke there may be fire
 and maybe you should look for the fire before saying there's absolutely nothing going on.

- 2 **CHAIR:** But there was smoke.
- 3 **BISHOP DUNN:** There was smoke, yes.

4 **CHAIR:** All right. Okay.

5 **MS ANDERSON:** In terms of 2009, so effectively there is agreement that Father Raass will stay 6 on in New Zealand from 2009, but there's no further certification process required as that 7 step, so you're really relying on the original certification at that point, even though you've 8 had the conversation with GRO-C.

9 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct. But just remember he wasn't incardinated, he's still on loan.

- 10 **MS ANDERSON:** That's right, well I think the language you used in your letter to the Bishop,
- 11 CTH0019694, in March 2010 was that Father Raass would be, this is in quotes, "under the 12 umbrella" of your Diocese.

13 So my question to you is, what does "under the umbrella" mean?

- BISHOP DUNN: What it meant is that he was moving out of the Tongan chaplaincy and working
 within the Diocese of Auckland outside the Tongan chaplaincy. I suspect that's what it
 means.
- MS ANDERSON: And I think that's probably right, isn't it, because he is not any longer working
 for the Tongan chaplaincy, you're putting him in a parish.

19 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct.

20 **MS ANDERSON:** And we see from the record, and we don't need to go into it in detail, but

there's support there from the Church for those immigration permissions, the visas that are
needed for Father Raass to be able to take up that work under the umbrella of your
Diocese?

24 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct.

MS ANDERSON: Coming to what, as Ms McKechnie's signalled we're going to refer to as the first complaint that you received, so this is -- you've had the 2009 conversation with

- 27 GRO-C and been alerted to some concerns that are not exactly recorded in your note what
- those concerns are and then you receive a report of abuse in 2011 and we're not going to,
 plainly, refer to the name of that person.
- 30 My question to you is, there was no step taken at that time to stand Father Raass 31 down from ministry on receipt of that complaint. Do you agree that he wasn't stood down 32 at that time?
- 33 **BISHOP DUNN:** He wasn't, that's correct, but we had our own -- the diocesan Professional

Standards Committee was what I was calling it, so the complaint went to them and I was 1 2 always kept at a distance from the actual complaint so that I was not an investigator, as it were. And the -- so the Committee then, which had people that I regarded as fairly 3 well-qualified professionals, they then met with the complainant to find out more about 4 what was going on, and Sateki was notified that the complaint had been received. 5 MS ANDERSON: Yes, but the standing down decision would be yours, wouldn't it, it's not the 6 whole Committee's decision? 7 8 BISHOP DUNN: Yes, he would be stood down if that's what they advised. MS ANDERSON: No, that's at the end of the investigation and a conclusion on whether to 9 10 uphold the complaint or not, I'm talking about the period between when a report of abuse is made and that period that runs until there is a recommendation back to you from that 11 Protocol Committee. 12 BISHOP DUNN: That's right. What I was --13 **MS ANDERSON:** My question is, wouldn't it have been prudent to stand Father Raass down 14 while the report of abuse was investigated? 15 BISHOP DUNN: It was really the report of an affair, a complaint about an affair and I -- my 16 practice was to let the Committee investigate to find out what the facts were. 17 **MS ANDERSON:** But you've drawn a conclusion on the facts just by your characterisation of 18 what's been reported to you. 19 20 **BISHOP DUNN:** No, a complaint was received, so it was referred to the Committee to 21 investigate. MS ANDERSON: Somebody's come forward and reported sexual conduct, they haven't come 22 23 forward to say, "I was having a consensual affair", have they? They've reported abuse to you. 24 BISHOP DUNN: Yes, I must confess I'm not quite sure that the complaint was of abuse -- well, it 25 was in some way in terms of threats. 26 MS McKECHNIE: Is there a file note or a record of the original disclosure that the Bishop could 27 be reminded of, given that he hasn't been able to review the documents in detail in 28 29 preparation? CHAIR: Ms Anderson. 30 MS ANDERSON: Yes, this is document -- we'll just have to be careful about how it's called up 31 and displayed. 32

33 **MS McKECHNIE:** We can give the Bishop a paper copy if that would preclude any issues.

1 **CHAIR:** If you have that at the ready that would be helpful, thank you.

- MS ANDERSON: The document is CTH0019563. But I don't want to get into a semantics
 discussion about what's alleged here, I want to just start with an in-principle question that A
 Path to Healing deals with a process for reporting sexual misconduct by priests and do you
 accept that the scope of A Path to Healing and the references in that to abuse of a pastoral
 relationship and power dynamic is the very reason why that kind of behaviour is firmly
- 7 within the scope of A Path to Healing?
- BISHOP DUNN: The Committee at the time certainly would have been operating on the version
 of A Path to Healing that was current at that time. Now, I don't know what that version
 was, but they were certainly following those protocols and they did not recommend to me
- 11 that Sateki Raass be stood down until they had investigated.
- 12 MS ANDERSON: The process took some years, wasn't it, so that --
- BISHOP DUNN: No. Yes, it was constantly delayed, but the -- I think the Committee really
 wanted to find out what the complaint was.
- MS ANDERSON: And so he's not been stood down. Did you -- was there any -- it doesn't seem
 from the record that there was any consideration given to whether Immigration
 New Zealand needed to be informed about the --
- 18 **MS McKECHNIE:** Ma'am, in fairness, when this documentation was prepared for the
- Commission, it was very clear to the Commission that Bishop Pat has not reviewed his file in detail because of his difficulties with his eyesight. So statements like "it's clear from the record" and asking Bishop Pat to agree with it are unfair.
- CHAIR: Ms Anderson, is there any reason why Bishop Pat cannot have or should not see the
 document you're referring to?
- MS ANDERSON: There's no document on the question I've just posed, Madam Chair, because the point is the documentation doesn't show this, but I'll phrase the question in a different way.
- 27 **CHAIR:** Are you referring to --
- MS McKECHNIE: Can I give the file note of the initial disclosure to the Bishop so he can
 refresh his memory?
- 30 **CHAIR:** There's no reason why he shouldn't see his own file note, so he can look at that.
- MS McKECHNIE: In clarity, ma'am, it's not his file note, it is the disclosure of the phone call,
 it's a note to the Bishop dated 26 August 2011.
- 33 CHAIR: So, just be clear, there was a phone call, a note was made of it and that note was given to

Bishop Pat, is that right. 1 2 MS McKECHNIE: I anticipate it was given to him. It's not clear on the face of the document, ma'am, we will need to ask him. 3 CHAIR: Let's quickly sort that out. In fairness to you, let's see if you are able, with assistance... 4 MS McKECHNIE: As you can see this is -- so it's got your name at the top (inaudible) -- can you 5 read that? 6 BISHOP DUNN: No, I can't. 7 8 MS McKECHNIE: Pat is not to read that, ma'am. So there is only --MS ANDERSON: I'll read out the first bullet point and, it's in front of Steve, I think. The first 9 10 bullet point is: "She says it is of a sexual abuse nature where she has been verbally abused." 11 So that's the first bullet point in the note of the phone call. 12 **CHAIR:** Do you recall that, Bishop Pat? 13 BISHOP DUNN: Quite frankly I don't, but I don't in detail, but the allegation seems to be that she 14 15 was verbally abused. MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am, there are references in this document to affairs, the priest admitting to 16 having affairs, etc. So it's not inconsistent with the Bishop's memory and if there was 17 anything is going to --18 CHAIR: Just a moment, just everybody, Ms McKechnie, the document, I think the way we deal 19 20 with this is, I don't want submissions on the document, what I want is for Bishop Pat to be given a fair opportunity to comment on something that he dealt with in the past, and needs 21 refreshing his memory. The way he refreshes his memory is a matter of practicality, and 22 23 whether -- how long is the document, please? MS McKECHNIE: It's single page, ma'am, but it's quite close text. My concern, ma'am, is that 24 Ms Anderson's questions are proceeding on the basis of characterising it a certain way. 25 CHAIR: I know what your concerns are. I'm trying to ameliorate them. If you just take a seat. 26 MS McKECHNIE: (Inaudible) to the Bishop? 27 CHAIR: Have we got the document that can be shown to the Bishop and can it be read to him or 28 29 somehow conveyed to him? Because, in fairness, he needs to see it. MS ANDERSON: Madam Chair, I think we need to take care in terms of --30 CHAIR: It's not in public, Ms Anderson. 31 MS ANDERSON: No, no but even anything read out relating to this for the witness survivor for 32

their information, so I think we need to take care. I hadn't intended that we needed to go

1	into the detail of it. What we can ask Pat at the moment is, you know, does he today agree
2	with his 2011 decision not to stand down Father Raass at that time?
3	CHAIR: That's the point of this questioning really, we've got a bit entangled, yeah.
4	BISHOP DUNN: Yeah, I suppose my recollection is I was depending on advice from my
5	Committee and I was waiting for their recommendations. So that was just the way I was
6	operating.
7	CHAIR: Did you ask them, "Should this man be stood down? What's your advice?" Did you ask
8	them for their advice?
9	BISHOP DUNN: Well, I tended to be briefed a bit, but I think that I can remember that the
10	Committee was constantly frustrated because they couldn't actually get clarity about what
11	precisely the complaint was, and then Sateki would need to have a right of reply. So they
12	were waiting for that process to occur and then they would make a recommendation to me.
13	So that was the modus operandi at that time.
14	CHAIR: The complaint wasn't taken we don't know what the face value was.
15	Right, Ms Anderson, do you wish to proceed further and if you're going to refer, if
16	you would either read out the relevant bit that you're referring to or give Bishop Pat an
17	opportunity to read it, or have it read to him.
18	MS ANDERSON: Just moving on in the timeline from that 2011 report of abuse, the second
19	report of abuse comes to you in October 2012, and that's the one that you've referred to as
20	understanding the person who'd come to you didn't want it taken any further.
21	BISHOP DUNN: Correct.
22	MS ANDERSON: And at this point in time the Sexual Abuse Protocol Committee hasn't
23	concluded anything in relation to the first report of abuse and you've now received this
24	second report of abuse; is that right?
25	BISHOP DUNN: Correct.
26	MS ANDERSON: And I'm going to call up a document and we'll be able to have somebody
27	beside you assist with interpretation of this. This is CTH0019564. We're going to be
28	looking at the very last page of that note, which is the file note that you've made of the
29	conversation that you've had about the second report of abuse. And just the very last part
30	of that document, is somebody able to read that out to you just before I ask you questions of
31	that.
32	BISHOP DUNN: What part of it do you want me to be

MS ANDERSON: It's the last two paragraphs on page 3 of the document.

1 **BISHOP DUNN:** Thank you.

- 2 **CHAIR:** Do you want them read out loud or just to themselves, Ms Anderson?
- 3 **MS ANDERSON:** They can confer.
- 4 **CHAIR:** Just read it quietly to themselves.
- 5 MS McKECHNIE: Can you just explain to Pat what this document is before you read that last
 6 piece out.
- 7 MS ANDERSON: It's your file note of the visit that you've had receiving the --
- 8 BISHOP DUNN: Yes, I'm aware of the document, thank you. (Document read). Thank you.
 9 What are your questions?
- MS ANDERSON: We can read these into the record. These are your -- am I right to say that you have recorded your thoughts after receiving this further report of abuse.
- 12 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct.
- 13 MS ANDERSON: And you've posed some questions which you've said --
- BISHOP DUNN: Counsel, can I just make clear to the Commissioners about the status of the 14 second complaint so they understand? The second complaint came from a couple whom 15 I know very well, they wanted to come to see me -- I actually thought they were coming see 16 me about something completely different, but it was to make a complaint about something 17 that Sateki had said while visiting their place, but they were very clear that they didn't want 18 me to take it any further, they just wanted me to know about it, and this is trying to get into 19 20 the Tongan mentality too, I might add, but Sateki was their friend, they didn't want him to know that they had spoken to me about their concern for him about this behaviour. But 21 they wanted me to know about it. And that left me feeling in quite an awkward position. 22
- I probably mentioned it to the Chairman of the Professional Standards Group, or whoever it was that was sort of advising me at the time, but we didn't know -- we couldn't -- we felt we couldn't go any further because they didn't want Sateki to know that they had spoken to me about what had happened.
- 27

So, in that sense, I was sort of a bit paralysed. Counsel, sorry.

- MS ANDERSON: Thank you. And can we agree that what's described, and we won't go into it in detail, in your file note clearly can be characterised as sexual abuse?
- 30 **MS McKECHNIE:** No, we can't.
- 31 **CHAIR:** Please, Ms McKechnie, would you let the witness answer the question.
- MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am, he can't read the document. I can read the document, ma'am, and we
 can put it on the screen.

CHAIR: Excuse me. 1 **MS McKECHNIE:** It's not sexual abuse. This is important. 2 CHAIR: Well, it may well be but that's something for the evidence, not for counsel to tell me. 3 In the piece of the document you just read, Bishop Pat, was there reference to sexual 4 abuse or sexual misconduct? 5 BISHOP DUNN: No, that's not what -- I'm sorry, what was your question, ma'am? 6 CHAIR: Ms Anderson's put to you that the complaint that you received, in these difficult 7 8 circumstances for you, in confidence, and not to tell anybody. BISHOP DUNN: Yes. 9 10 CHAIR: Was the complaint about sexual abuse? BISHOP DUNN: Yes, I would say it was. 11 CHAIR: Thank you. 12 MS ANDERSON: So the questions you've posed yourself, having listened to these people is, just 13 reading this into the record: 14 "Should SR -- being the initials of Sateki Raass -- continue in ministry?" 15 Is your first question. 16 Your second question is: "Should Sateki Raass go to ECB..." which I think is an 17 abbreviation for East Coast Base, is that right? 18 BISHOP DUNN: Correct. 19 MS ANDERSON: "... over December and January." 20 The third question: "Should Sateki Raass be incardinated?" 21 Fourth question: "Should Sateki Raass go to Sydney to start degree in Scripture in 22 February 2013 which is what Sateki Raass and I had discussed during retreat over this past 23 week." 24 So those are the four questions you've posed yourself. 25 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct. 26 MS ANDERSON: Just in terms of -- what was the answer to that first question, should he 27 continue in ministry? 28 29 BISHOP DUNN: I think we -- I decided to leave him in ministry, but not to go to Australia because again, this time I couldn't sign a thing saying there had been no complaints made 30 against him. The -- what were the other three? 31 MS ANDERSON: And he did go to East Coast Base over December/January, didn't he. 32 BISHOP DUNN: He went to East Coast Base over December/January. 33

1 **MS ANDERSON:** And that's to minister there.

2 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes.

MS ANDERSON: And then the other question was, "Should Sateki Raass be incardinated?" So
 that was obviously a question floating around at the time.

BISHOP DUNN: Yes, well, that was what he had requested and by now I was beginning to think
no, he wouldn't be incardinated, by then.

MS ANDERSON: And then, as you say, he doesn't -- you don't feel that you can agree to him
 going to Sydney for the study because it was inevitable that you'd be asked was there a
 complaint about him. Is that right?

10 **BISHOP DUNN:** That's correct.

MS ANDERSON: And so he couldn't go to Sydney because the community over there wouldn't accept him if they knew of this report of abuse; is that right?

13 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes, well, the question is, a complaint had been made against him.

- MS ANDERSON: Yeah, do you recall that you -- the document reference is CTH0019742 -- that you told Father Raass that "Until the present issue was resolved the Archdiocese of Sydney will not feel at liberty to offer you the accommodation we hope to seek." Do you recall that that was something that you've communicated to Father Raass at that time?
- BISHOP DUNN: Not that specific detail, but I would have said to him that it wouldn't -- it would
 not be possible for him to go to Sydney, to Australia, because of the complaints.
- MS ANDERSON: And does that leave us in a position where he's not safe enough to go to Australia but he's safe enough to minister here?
- BISHOP DUNN: I'm not sure I concluded that he wasn't safe enough to go to Australia, but that
 I couldn't sign that sort of document.
- MS ANDERSON: But the reason that certification's required is so that people know whether there's a safeguarding issue in relation to --

BISHOP DUNN: Whether a complaint had been made against him, and a complaint had been
 made against him.

- MS ANDERSON: So do you see any problem with him being able to minister here in New Zealand but not being able to go and be in a Catholic community in Sydney?
- BISHOP DUNN: Well, the -- what I was operating on was the advice of my Committee with
 regard to the first complaint and their advice was that he have counselling. I was quite
 surprised actually, I thought that their treatment of him was quite light, but that was what
 they decided.

MS ANDERSON: And so we've had the 2006 to 2009 period, three years where Father Raass was here in the Tongan chaplaincy, and then he's had three years in 2010 to 2013; do you recall the arrangement in 2010 was that it would be reviewed in three years' time? Is that your recollection?

- 5 **BISHOP DUNN:** What would be reviewed in three years' time?
- 6 MS ANDERSON: Sateki Raass' future.
- 7 BISHOP DUNN: Yeah -- well, no, I don't actually --
- 8 **MS ANDERSON:** You don't recall it? That's okay.
- 9 **BISHOP DUNN:** I don't recall that specifically, no.
- MS ANDERSON: And so in December 2012 that's when Father Raass is appointed as parish
 priest at Mt Albert.
- 12 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct.
- 13 **MS ANDERSON:** Does that accord with your recollection of the timing.
- 14 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes.
- MS ANDERSON: And so you've made that appointment with knowledge of the 2009 discussions
 with GRO-C and the two reports of abuse -- well, we might disagree about what they're
 both --
- 18 **BISHOP DUNN:** The one, yes, the first one.
- MS ANDERSON: Well -- and it didn't occur to you at that point that it might be better for Father
 Raass to be back being supervised in Tonga where he was incardinated?
- 21 **BISHOP DUNN:** Not at that time.
- MS ANDERSON: Did what had happened influence your decision about whether to incardinate
 Father Raass here in Auckland?
- 24 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes, it did, in the sense that he wasn't incardinated in Auckland.
- MS ANDERSON: Did that signal anything, like what should we take from the fact that you didn't incardinate him?
- BISHOP DUNN: I think it was just sort of almost like "watch this space". There was the first
 complaint and I followed the advice of the Committee with regard to that. The second
 complaint I felt couldn't go anywhere, so it's still a bit like the smoke but no fire until the
 complaint in 2018.
- MS ANDERSON: I'm going to take you to a document which we'll give time for you to have a look at in a hard copy and to have it read to you, it's a short half-page file note. The document number is CTH0019863.

MS McKECHNIE: Would you like me to provide the hard copy? CHAIR: Yes, I believe they had a hard copy over there. Do you have one there?

- 4 **MS HOLMES:** Are you able to read the first line just to make sure.
- 5 **CHAIR:** Could you identify the document so we're quite clear, Ms Anderson, please.
- 6 **MS ANDERSON:** It's a file note dated 12 September 2013.
- 7 **CHAIR:** Yes, they have that.

1

2

3

- MS ANDERSON: From the Chair of the Auckland Sexual Abuse Protocol Committee, and it's
 about a telephone call about concerns with the case, and if you look at the third paragraph,
 there's a one sentence there.
- 11 **BISHOP DUNN:** (Document read). Thank you.
- MS ANDERSON: So just to summarise there, we can see that this note, this 2013, September 2013 file note records that at that point you were aware that Father Raass had been
- 14 suspended by the previous Bishop in Tonga.
- 15 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes.
- 16 **MS ANDERSON:** Do you recall coming into that knowledge?
- 17 **BISHOP DUNN:** I can't remember when it was, no.
- 18 MS ANDERSON: But that you were aware -- you were told at least that he had been suspended 19 when he was in Tonga?
- 20 **CHAIR:** Do you mean before he came to New Zealand?
- 21 MS ANDERSON: Yes.
- 22 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes. I'll just have to accept that file note as it stands.
- MS ANDERSON: And the next sentence says that Father Raass had admitted to inappropriate
 sexual conduct with that first complainant.
- 25 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes.

MS ANDERSON: And that you'd agreed to apologise to the complainant on behalf of the

- 27 Diocese?
- 28 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct.
- MS ANDERSON: And the note records, just at the bottom, that you as Bishop were uncertain what to do with Father Raass, and there's a concern expressed there about his future
- 31 behaviour -- not your concern, the person who's made this note, the Chair of the Sexual
- 32 Abuse Protocol Committee.
- 33 What was the uncertainty that you had at that time, do you recall?

1 **BISHOP DUNN:** No, not immediately. 2 MS ANDERSON: Is it what you'd been talking about what to do with a report of abuse where the person doesn't want the person they've said abused them to know about it; might that have 3 been it? 4 **BISHOP DUNN:** I can't guess at this time. 5 **MS ANDERSON:** And it's about this time that Father Raass is sent to counselling, is that right? 6 Is that what you recall at the end of that, as a result of that complaint that he was sent to 7 8 counselling. BISHOP DUNN: Yes. 9 10 MS ANDERSON: How was counselling an appropriate response at that point in time? Can you help me understand that? 11 BISHOP DUNN: I can't, you'd have to ask the Committee that recommended it. 12 MS ANDERSON: But the Committee recommends and you make a decision, don't you. 13 BISHOP DUNN: Yes, but they're the ones that made the recommendation. 14 MS ANDERSON: So you would have just accepted the recommendation? 15 BISHOP DUNN: Yeah, they thought that counselling would be what was needed, so I accepted it, 16 but I don't know why that was what they thought would be sufficient. 17 **MS ANDERSON:** And then we've got, about three years later, and after the Abuse Protocol 18 Committee's resolved matters in relation to the first complaint in 2015, we've got the third 19 20 complaint coming forward in January 2018 and you recall that complaint, don't you? 21 BISHOP DUNN: I do. MS ANDERSON: Do you recall, there was quite a flurry of activity around that time, January 22 23 2018, and I'll give a document reference so it's in the record, CTH0019741. It might be that we call it up and have you look at a hard copy of this document also, it's 11 January 2018. 24 MS McKECHNIE: Sorry, Ms Anderson, could you repeat the number, please. 25 **CHAIR:** 0019741. 26 MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, ma'am. 27 MS ANDERSON: Perhaps the person with you could just orientate you to the document and take 28 29 you through the email at the top of that page. For the record, this is an email sent to Pat Dunn on 11 January. 30 So the advice to you at that time included finding somewhere else for Father Raass 31 to stay, and just quoting from the email, "away from Mt Albert and any Presbytery with a 32 nearby school. Perhaps St John, Vianney House" etc. So do you recall getting that 33

1	recommendation that he needed to be housed away from any Presbytery near a school?
2	BISHOP DUNN: I don't recall that specific detail.
3	MS ANDERSON: And in fact your decision was to house him in a Presbytery near a school,
4	wasn't it? That's the Good Shepherd facility in Balmoral.
5	BISHOP DUNN: That was after the complaint that was about a week later, I think, wasn't it.
6	MS ANDERSON: That's right. So fast moving over a week because Father Raass has been
7	picked up by Police and interviewed on 18 January after (inaudible) gone to Police.
8	BISHOP DUNN: And the I can just remember saying to Sateki, "Where are you going to go?
9	Have you got family that you can stay with?" And he couldn't think of anyone at the time.
10	Then he suggested staying at Balmoral, so I thought, well, that would be fine.
11	MS ANDERSON: In fact, perhaps you felt it was important that Father Raass had companionship
12	at that time?
13	BISHOP DUNN: Correct. And the Police had no objection to that location.
14	MS ANDERSON: There was, later on, a flurry between Police and the Ministry of Education
15	about that location, wasn't there.
16	BISHOP DUNN: There was.
17	MS ANDERSON: So at this point in time, 18 January, when Father Raass has been picked up and
18	he's charged that day, was there any steps taken by you to consider whether Immigration
19	New Zealand needed to be informed?
20	BISHOP DUNN: No.
21	MS ANDERSON: Am I right that Father Raass had actually been on the Board of Proprietors, or
22	he was the Board of Proprietors representative on the Marist College School Board at the
23	time this unfolded in early 2018?
24	BISHOP DUNN: I'm not aware of that.
25	MS ANDERSON: Is that something you could find out for us?
26	BISHOP DUNN: Marist College.
27	MS ANDERSON: Yes.
28	MS McKECHNIE: If Ms Anderson provides us with the name of the school we can certainly
29	find out.
30	CHAIR: That's something we can follow up on later.
31	BISHOP DUNN: I'm wondering if you are confused, Ms Anderson, he would have been a
32	Proprietors' rep on the Board of Marist School, but I would be very surprised if he did
33	you say a Proprietors' rep at I doubt that.

2	BISHOP DUNN: Yeah, Marist School not Marist College. You said Marist College.
3	MS ANDERSON: Sorry, my mistake. Marist School.
4	BISHOP DUNN: Yes, that would be correct.
5	MS ANDERSON: There's a primary school and there's a college, it's a secondary school, isn't it?
6	BISHOP DUNN: Correct.
7	MS ANDERSON: And then turning to what the Catholic community was told about the reason
8	for Sateki Raass not being available in ministry, we may not need to go through the
9	documents for this. Do you agree that the messaging was that he was on a period of leave?
10	I'm happy to take you through the documents.
11	BISHOP DUNN: No, no, that's correct.
12	MS ANDERSON: So you granted him a period of leave in late January 2018.
13	BISHOP DUNN: No, I think the point was that's what I said to the parish, that he was on a period
14	of leave.
15	MS ANDERSON: So what was the reality? Was he stood down?
16	BISHOP DUNN: Yes, he was stood down.
17	MS ANDERSON: So why is it that the parish would be told that he was on leave rather than that
18	he'd been stood down?
19	BISHOP DUNN: I think because it was all a process that was taking place and I wasn't quite sure
20	what the outcome was going to be, so the immediate message to the parish was that he was
21	on leave.
22	MS ANDERSON: During this period of leave, you agreed to continue monthly payments to
23	Father Raass of about 2,000 a month; do you recall that? Is that right?
24	BISHOP DUNN: Yes.
25	MS ANDERSON: And at this time what support was being so that's support being provided to
26	Father Raass, what support's been provided to Ms CU at this point in time?
27	BISHOP DUNN: At this time Ms CU was very concerned about confidentiality and I think this
28	was the time, too, when the Commission was being established or we were told not to
29	make any approach to complainants, that Ms CU had approached my pastoral assistant
30	whom she knew from school days, so no approach was made to her because I thought we
31	were not supposed to, or not allowed to, but my presumption had been that if she wanted
32	communication with us that she would approach me or the Diocese through my pastoral
33	assistant.

197

MS ANDERSON: At Marist School.

1	MS ANDERSON: So, really, unless the person's coming forward expressing a need, as Father
2	Raass did in terms of needing financial support, it's really not a proactive matter that you
3	would take you wouldn't take a proactive approach to it at that time?
4	BISHOP DUNN: Well, I thought we were not supposed to.
5	MS ANDERSON: Well, I don't think there's ever been anything from the Inquiry to indicate that
6	survivors can't be responded to, but we don't need to get into that at this point.
7	So again, we see in March, the document reference CTH0019727, that Father Raass
8	sends a message to parishioners that you had graciously approved his request for a period
9	of leave. Do you recall that was the messaging he was giving to parishioners at that time?
10	BISHOP DUNN: I'll take your word for it, I don't actually recall that.
11	MS ANDERSON: Yeah, and the reason I'm asking questions about this "on leave" is because the
12	briefing paper on immigrant priests that I'll be discussing with Steve says at paragraph 73
13	that Mr Raass resigned as parish priest from 11 March 2018 and it doesn't seem to me that
14	that's what happened, he went on a period of extended leave, didn't he?
15	BISHOP DUNN: No yeah, that was what the point that I was confused about. No, he
16	actually told the parish that he was resigning as parish priest in March I think, I think that
17	was the sequence.
18	MS ANDERSON: We'll call the document up, it's CTH0019727. Again, you'll just need the
19	person supporting you just to help read that short note. For the record, this is a document
20	dated 11 March 2018, addressed to "All my loving parishioners of St Mary's, Mt Albert"
21	from Father Raass.
22	You'll see the second sentence there Bishop Dunn have you had an opportunity to
23	read that file note or have it read to you?
24	CHAIR: It's just happening now, Ms Anderson.
25	BISHOP DUNN: I think that he was confirming that he was on a period of leave and therefore
26	was stepping down as parish priest.
27	MS ANDERSON: That's quite different from resigning, isn't it?
28	BISHOP DUNN: No, I thought that would be resigning.
29	CHAIR: Just so that everybody knows what's going on here, Ms Anderson, could you just read
30	the lines that you're referring to?
31	MS ANDERSON: This is the message from Father Raass, sent to all the parishioners at
32	St Mary's, Mt Albert:
33	"I'm writing to humbly inform you that Bishop Pat has graciously approved my

1	personal request for a period of leave."
2	And then goes on to thank members of the parish. So this is his message.
3	CHAIR: He then says in the next line:
4	"I have been blessed being your parish priest for the last five years but I will now
5	have to step down from that role to embrace wholeheartedly this time of spiritual
6	nourishment."
7	Do you recall did you ever see this, Bishop Pat?
8	BISHOP DUNN: I do remember reading it.
9	CHAIR: What was your view of the way in which he conveyed what was happening?
10	BISHOP DUNN: I think my concern was that the parishioners needed to be informed but
11	not but sort of, I was going to say in a gracious manner. I remember talking to someone
12	who'd been to a parish in Australia with his family and there was some announcement
13	about a priest being suspended, but the parishioner actually felt quite affronted that that sort
14	of a statement was made to a Sunday congregation while he was there with his family and
15	his children. So I was very conscious of the ordinary parishioners at Mt Albert, many of
16	whom really liked Sateki, that they needed to be treated sort of with courtesy too, but
17	CHAIR: So it was phrasing it in a way that was acceptable to a family of people sitting in the
18	pews of the Church.
19	BISHOP DUNN: Yes, he was stepping down as their parish priest so they were being informed
20	about that but not about the circumstances.
21	MS ANDERSON: Can we agree that later on, some months after the criminal conviction in May
22	2019, that in fact there was some expression of concern by members of the School Boards,
23	and also the Good Shepherd, about the fact that they hadn't known that he'd been facing
24	criminal charges and had been convicted.
25	BISHOP DUNN: Yes.
26	MS ANDERSON: Does that accord with your memory?
27	BISHOP DUNN: Yes, it does.
28	MS ANDERSON: Do you recall, turning your mind in November 2018, document reference, for
29	the record, CTH0019360, that you thought you were required to report the allegations of
30	abuse to Rome but that you hadn't done so before the matter was before the court. Do you
31	recall your decision-making around that?
32	BISHOP DUNN: Yes, I do.
33	MS ANDERSON: Is there a discretion under the Canon Law?

BISHOP DUNN: I think the idea of reporting to Rome, my understanding is it was often to seek
advice, whereas I felt that there was a process underway here in New Zealand and I didn't
want someone in an office in Rome just being informed and thinking, "What am I supposed
to do about this?" My thinking was to get things settled vis-à-vis Sateki Raass and then
inform Rome what had happened and what the outcome was, which was his leaving the
priesthood.

There's a principle in Catholic philosophy or whatever, the principle of subsidiarity
that if you can hand, sort of -- try to sort things out at the local level, and I was quite clear
by then what the pathway forward would be, namely that Sateki would no longer be
continuing as a priest.

- MS ANDERSON: And so the motu proprio, which has got a complicated name which I will attempt to read into the record, sacramentorum sanctitatis tutela, which required a complaint of sexual activity with a person under 18 to be notified to Rome, that wasn't
- something that you thought required you to report to Rome at that time?
- 15 **BISHOP DUNN:** Not immediately while it was still being processed.
- MS ANDERSON: Under that motu proprio, that requires the Bishop to give an opinion on the truth of the allegations and the proposed outcome for the priest.

18 **BISHOP DUNN:** That's correct.

MS ANDERSON: That's your understanding? And so are you saying that that reporting
 obligation is complied with if it happens later down the track rather than at the time of the
 report of abuse?

22 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes.

MS ANDERSON: Because there wasn't actually a Church investigation into that report of abuse,
 was there, because Ms CU had gone to Police, there was no action being taken under the
 NOPS protocol that they don't investigate when matters are before the Police.

26 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct.

- MS ANDERSON: And you met all of the legal expenses of the defence to the criminal charges.
 Do you recall what the value of that was?
- 29 **BISHOP DUNN:** It was around \$60,000 in the end.
- MS ANDERSON: And that's on top of the 2,000 a month that's been paid to Sateki Raass during
 this period.

32 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes.

33 MS ANDERSON: And the payments to Mr Raass stop in about November 2019; is that right?

1 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct. MS ANDERSON: I'm just going to take you to a document CTH0019670 which is a -- just get 2 that document up. 3 CHAIR: Can you just describe this document. 4 MS ANDERSON: Yes, I will, I'm just waiting for it to be --5 CHAIR: I see. It's going to be coming up on the screen, okay. 6 MS ANDERSON: No, I don't think it needs to come up on the screen. It's a file note that you've 7 made in June 2019 relating to Sateki Raass. Is that you, have you had a chance to 8 familiarise yourself with your file note? 9 10 BISHOP DUNN: (Document read). CHAIR: Yes, Mr Thomas. 11 **MR THOMAS:** Sorry to interrupt, I'll let the witness continue reading. It's just been brought to 12 my attention, Madam Chair, that documents being brought up on the screen may be trying 13 to be viewed or photographed from the back of the room, so might just need a reminder 14 perhaps about that, Madam Chair. 15 CHAIR: Yes, please. 16 MR THOMAS: I don't have any details, but that's what I've been informed. 17 CHAIR: I did make it clear at the beginning of today that although the documents are being put 18 up so the witness, counsel and the Commissioners can look at it to make it easier for them, 19 they are not displaying them to the public and there are important reasons of privacy and 20 I ask everybody to respect that and that if you're trying to take pictures of it from the back, 21 please do not. I haven't seen it but if you are, don't. That would be in contempt of the 22 Commission's proceedings and it would be a grave breach of the privacy rights of survivors 23 who we are here to protect. 24 So if you have taken any photographs, please delete them immediately. Thank you. 25 BISHOP DUNN: Thanks, ma'am. Thanks, counsel. 26 MS ANDERSON: So Pat, it's recording your meeting with Father Raass to discuss his future 27 plans; that's right, isn't it? 28 29 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct. MS ANDERSON: And it's been agreed that it would be best for him to seek a dispensation from 30 the obligations of the priesthood. 31 **BISHOP DUNN:** Correct. 32

33 **MS ANDERSON:** This is a couple of months after the criminal conviction?

1 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes.

- MS ANDERSON: Can I ask you, why leave it to a voluntary process rather than have a Canon
 Law process to, in layperson's terms, to defrock the priest.
- BISHOP DUNN: It's still a Canon Law process but this is quicker, from Rome's point of view. If
 the priest actually seeks a dispensation and the Canon Law case is clear, then it moves more
 quickly.
- 7 MS ANDERSON: So a pragmatic reason?

8 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes.

13

- MS ANDERSON: And you see the discussion in the second paragraph there where what you
 were told was that Mr Raass felt the judge was obliged to inform some sentence because
 Mr Raass had pleaded guilty, but then we get this reference here "but the judge agreed it
- 12 was simply a big mistake on his part."

Is that what Mr Raass told you, that this was just a big mistake?

14 **BISHOP DUNN:** That was what he said to the judge. And the judge accepted it.

15 **MS ANDERSON:** Well, do you agree with that characterisation?

- 16 **BISHOP DUNN:** Partly, yes.
- 17 **MS ANDERSON:** How so.

18 **BISHOP DUNN:** Well, I think it was a big mistake.

- 19 MS ANDERSON: It was an intentional criminal act, wasn't it?
- 20 **BISHOP DUNN:** It was a big mistake that turned out to be a criminal act, correct.
- MS ANDERSON: And then over the page, the third paragraph from the top, where you say that you think the decision to leave the priesthood was correct, so you recall that was your view at the time?

24 **BISHOP DUNN:** Absolutely.

25 **MS ANDERSON:** And then the note records:

- ²⁶ "I said if he did have misgivings we could look at reinstating him."
- 27 So that was your view at that time that there was a process by which a person
- convicted could actually apply for reinstatement. Could you just help me understand what
 that process is, firstly?

30 **BISHOP DUNN:** I don't understand that actual comment, I must confess.

- 31 MS ANDERSON: So you don't recall thinking that there might be a way for him to come back 32 into the priesthood in the future?
- 33 **BISHOP DUNN:** I might have said that to him, but I had no intention of that ever happening. In

1	fact, I'm not sure that I would have said it to him anyway.
2	MS ANDERSON: But you've recorded it in your file note.
3	BISHOP DUNN: Yeah.
4	MS ANDERSON: So you probably did say it.
5	BISHOP DUNN: Yes, I don't know.
6	MS ANDERSON: I'm just conscious of time, Madam Chair, we've been going for an hour and a
7	half, just conscious of the signers and the stenographers may need a break, it might be,
8	perhaps, a point to pause.
9	CHAIR: Yes, is this a moment? Shall we take 10 minutes?
10	MS ANDERSON: 10 minutes.
11	CHAIR: Just to give everybody a break. All right, we'll adjourn for 10 minutes, back at quarter
12	past 3.
13	Adjournment from 3.06 pm to 3.23 pm
14	CHAIR: Ms McKechnie.
15	MS McKECHNIE: Could we just begin, ma'am, with some housekeeping. I'm conscious we
16	only have an hour and a half left in the day and I'd be grateful if we could have some
17	indications of what Commissioners' intentions were?
18	CHAIR: As I understand it we're having 15 minutes more on this, there will be two no more
19	questions from the Commissioners and then we'll move to the next set of evidence.
20	MS McKECHNIE: Thank you ma'am.
21	CHAIR: Ms Anderson.
22	MS ANDERSON: Thank you Madam Chair.
23	Pat, you mentioned in your witness statement that you provided about giving events
24	in January 2021 I'm getting a little bit of feedback about providing a recommendation
25	for a teaching position for Father Raas. Do you recall that that's in your witness statement?
26	I'm just going to ask you a little bit about your thought processes leading to that
27	recommendation which, in reading the document reference into the bundle but we don't
28	need to go there I don't think, CTH0019573. So this is nearly two years after the conviction
29	and you're recommending him for a teaching position.
30	Do you recall which school this related to?
31	BISHOP DUNN: I do.
32	MS ANDERSON: And you said that he'd be a great asset to that particular college.
33	BISHOP DUNN: I was actually approached by the

1 **CHAIR:** Sorry, I did remind the audience, I know that you need to react, just react quietly please. 2 Sorry, carry on. BISHOP DUNN: I was approached by the principal of the school who knew Sateki and knew 3 about his history who said that he wondered if -- he'd quite like to have him in a teaching 4 position in the school, and I thought that could be quite okay. 5 MS ANDERSON: Do you appreciate many survivors would think it wouldn't be okay to be 6 recommending somebody for a position in a school who had a conviction involving a 7 8 minor? BISHOP DUNN: I do understand that, but the principal was also aware of the situation and felt 9 10 that it would not be a problem. MS ANDERSON: But the teaching position didn't eventuate did it? 11 BISHOP DUNN: No. 12 MS ANDERSON: Is that because Teaching Council requirements would have prevented 13 somebody with that conviction potentially from taking on a role in the school or for other 14 15 reasons? BISHOP DUNN: I'm not sure really. The principal was just asking about the possibility but 16 17 wanted to pursue it. MS ANDERSON: And you say in your statement that in hindsight Sateki Raass holding a 18 teaching position would have been unwise, so that's your current view is it? 19 20 **BISHOP DUNN:** In some ways yes, but on the other hand he's a pretty talented man and comes 21 from a family of teachers. MS ANDERSON: Do you understand that that might be seen as sort of unrelenting support for 22 23 somebody who you've had personal knowledge of three reports of abuse, and you've been aware at least in 2013 that he'd been suspended in Tonga, that you've got a very -- it's a 24 very strong sense of a commitment you've got to support this person. Is that a fair 25 summary? 26 BISHOP DUNN: It's not a strong sense of support for him per se, but all through my life I've tried 27 to help people who have had convictions to get their life back on to an even keel and sort of 28 29 to encourage them to learn from past mistakes and try just to move forward for the sake -- for their sake and for the sake of their family. I've always tried to do that and 30 I didn't see it that I shouldn't do it for him too. 31 MS ANDERSON: So does that mean you don't really think it was unwise? Is this statement in 32 your brief of evidence, is that not quite accurate? How would you like to characterise it? 33

1 **BISHOP DUNN:** It might not be the right time.

MS ANDERSON: Do you recall in September of 2021 the document reference for the record is
CTH0019425, and again I don't think we need to call it up unless you need to refresh
yourself from the document, but it was a communication from the Chairperson of the Board
of Trustees of the Good Shepherd School after the media article about Sateki Raass. He
posed a question -- you don't need to see necessarily see the question but if you want to go
to the document that's fine.

8 But I'm really going to put to you now the question that was put in the letter and the 9 question was what are the reasons for Sateki Raass residing in the Presbytery, that's the 10 Good Shepherd Presbytery, and what measures have been made to ensure this does not 11 happen again?

12 It's a two-part question, I think you've probably already given us your thoughts on 13 why you -- it's appropriate for Sateki Raass to go to the Balmoral Presbytery, but is there 14 anything you want to say on that first part of the question just so that we're really clear on 15 your reasoning?

- BISHOP DUNN: Sorry, I've forgotten the second part of your question. The actual choice of
 Balmoral was made within hours so he had to move somewhere. The Police had no
 objection to the move. Later the bail conditions were changed. I don't think the Police ever
 saw Sateki Raass as a threat to primary school children.
- MS ANDERSON: And the second part is, what measures have been put in place to ensure this does not happen again? So what does not happen again is a person who's been charged with a criminal offence involving a minor being put in a Presbytery next to a school.
- BISHOP DUNN: I just guess it won't happen again, it's not an everyday event. Where he lives
 now, I have no idea whether it's near a primary school or not.

MS ANDERSON: Yeah, but it's more about, does the Church have measures or a protocol around this, or is it simply a case of ad hoc decision-making if and when it arises in the future?

27 **BISHOP DUNN:** It probably pertains to what the offence actually is.

28 MS ANDERSON: So is there a document or are you outlining --

29 **BISHOP DUNN:** No, it's just my thinking, yeah.

MS ANDERSON: After all of this has happened, this is when you get in touch with the Bishop of Tonga and this is the point in the sequence that returning to the 2005 letters that we began our conversation about, so this is -- just to landmark in the timeframe, does that accord with your recollection that it's about this time that there are these further questions to the Bishop

1	of Tonga and that's when there's more information about these 2005 letters before Father
2	Raass came to New Zealand?
3	BISHOP DUNN: Yes.
4	MS ANDERSON: Just coming to the point that I foreshadowed earlier on in our discussion about
5	the scenario where there might be an anonymous report to you or now to Steve as Bishop of
6	Auckland, and it may be that that's the way some person who is a victim, or a third party
7	who knows what's happening wants to let the Church know; what is the approach that
8	should be taken to anonymous reports that could signal a real safety concern?
9	BISHOP DUNN: They need to be taken seriously and acknowledged, and if possible quietly
10	investigated.
11	MS ANDERSON: And that would be your current putting you back slightly before you've
12	retired, that would have been your approach, would it have been, in 2021?
13	BISHOP DUNN: Yeah, but even historically if there were an anonymous thing just to see well
14	what is the complaint, like what's the background, what's it referring to.
15	MS ANDERSON: I think we can all agree such reports should be taken seriously and may lead to
16	some safeguarding provisions that are put in place while that further investigation's going
17	on, even though you might not have very much information. I see Steve nodding there in
18	relation to that.
19	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Can I just check that there is a policy that's clearly written about
20	how to respond to those complaints, the circumstances for standing someone down or
21	keeping someone subject to conditions and other safeguarding rules; are there such rules
22	now? Because it seems in 2009 there weren't these rules.
23	BISHOP DUNN: Is that a question to me or to Bishop Steve?
24	CHAIR: Whoever thinks they can answer it best.
25	BISHOP DUNN: Steve might be better on that.
26	BISHOP LOWE: First of all the question about if there's any rumours of a complaint or of abuse
27	going on, the Church requires us now to investigate that, so it would immediately go to
28	NOPS and we would start an investigation. If somebody a complaint is made about
29	someone, that it's assessed just what the complaint is, but basically a safety plan will be put
30	in for them and that would include where they're living, restrictions on what they're allowed
31	to do, depending on exactly what it is.
32	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: And criteria for standing someone down, is that there now?
33	BISHOP LOWE: Absolutely, absolutely and we would do that, if need be, straight away. But

down immediately while the investigation happens. 3 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** Thank you, kia ora, thank you. 4 MS ANDERSON: Steve, just a follow-up question from me in relation to that. So that's in 5 relation to an anonymous report. What about the report similar to the complaint two that 6 we've dealt with in this conversation where the person wants to tell the Church that 7 8 something's happened, the sexual misconduct, but they don't want the person to know that they've come forward and said anything. How does that get dealt with? Because that is a 9 10 tricky dynamic to deal with, isn't it? BISHOP LOWE: It is a tricky dynamic but it's any complaint that comes forward we have to deal 11 with it, and if it is of a serious sexual nature, even if the complainant doesn't want it dealt 12 with in any way, we would still refer it to the Police. 13 MS ANDERSON: Yeah, because that's been quite a hard and fast rule from 2019, hasn't it. 14 15 **BISHOP LOWE:** Yeah. MS ANDERSON: Allegations relating to a person under 16 that you would automatically refer to 16 the Police even if the complainant doesn't necessarily want that to happen? 17 BISHOP LOWE: Yes, and we would try to support the complainant to do that, you know, I can 18 understand at times for various reasons why people are afraid to do that, but we 19 20 would -- we really try to encourage that, but they would be informed it's our obligation to report it. 21 MS ANDERSON: Thank you. So much more black and white lines about what should happen 22 23 now than back in 2011, 13? **BISHOP LOWE:** Correct. 24

MS ANDERSON: And potentially in 18. Just a brief -- just to wrap this up, Bishop Dunn, would it be fair to characterise your approach to persons who might have caused harm to others is a very pastoral approach, in the sense that you're quick to forgive and give them another chance? Is that fair to say, how to characterise your thinking and your approach?

BISHOP DUNN: I try to be pastoral. It would depend on the seriousness of the complaint about
 giving another chance.

MS ANDERSON: And so in terms of having people working in the diocesan archives or the office that might perhaps have been subject to previous reports, is that something that you would contemplate as appropriate, or what would your thought processes be around that?

it's, you know, in the end it comes down to what the individual thing is, what the incident

is, but basically if it's in any way that hints at something serious, then they would be stood

1

1 **BISHOP DUNN:** I would really take advice before making any decisions along those lines. 2 MS ANDERSON: And I think in relation to Bishop Drennan, the previous Bishop of Palmerston North who resigned from the Palmerston North post, he's an example, isn't he, of you've 3 accommodated him in your Diocese and he's housed and looked after there; is that right? 4 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yeah, that was at the request of all the other Bishops. 5 MS ANDERSON: Because he remains a Bishop, he must be looked after by somebody; is that 6 7 right? 8 **BISHOP DUNN:** He needs to live somewhere and I think the feeling of the New Zealand Bishops 9 was that Auckland is the largest city. 10 MS ANDERSON: So just finally, in terms of that balancing between safeguarding interests and the interests of the clergy or the seminarian, you might be wanting to help them and support 11 them in some way, and using Sateki Raass as an example, do you think that you've got the 12 balance between those two considerations right, or do you have any reflections about how 13 the balance hasn't been quite right? 14 **BISHOP DUNN:** I think generally I'm quite happy with the decision to try to be pastoral. I feel 15 that the Bishops in the United States made a big mistake in the 2002 with their Dallas 16 Charter, one strike and you're out, and they lost the confidence and the respect of many of 17 their priests. [Applause] 18 MS ANDERSON: So overall you think that the balance has been about right? Is that fair to 19 20 summarise that? 21 **BISHOP DUNN:** I think so. MS ANDERSON: Thank you, that concludes my questions to Pat in relation to Sateki Raass and I 22 23 think in the interests of time, Madam Chair, we probably won't have time for the questions on the immigrant priests. 24 So Steve, thank you for your time and patience to sit there with Pat through this 25 evidence. But I think it might be time to suggest a very short adjournment and 26 rearrangement so that we have John Dew, Sue France and Dr Flanagan. 27 CHAIR: We've just got one question. I'm sorry that you've sat there but I'm sure you've been of 28 29 great support to Pat, I'm sorry we didn't get to your questions. But Sandra Alofivae has one

question, she's allowed one question. **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** One question, yes, I am. 31

30

Talofa Bishop Pat and Bishop Lowe. It would be difficult for me not to ask this 32 question around culture, around your Catholic ethnic communities and in particular the 33

- Tongan community which has come up in today's questioning with Sateki Raass. 1 2 Pat, you've been the Bishop for 27 years but prior to that your entire life really has been in the Catholic Church, right, here in Tāmaki Makaurau? 3 **BISHOP DUNN:** Ae. 4 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** So you'd be very familiar with the culture in our different 5 ethnic communities but particularly the strength in your Catholic community. 6 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes, definitely. 7 8 COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: So you'd be familiar then with clericalism but more particularly how it's elevated within the culture and certainly your role as Bishop, and now 9 10 of course your role Steve, and how that would be interpreted and received. So when you say that it's very difficult to break into the Tongan mentality or the 11 Tongan community, is that because you're so familiar with them that it was actually more 12 about friendship than it was about being able to set really good strong leadership in that 13 community? 14 BISHOP DUNN: No, I think it was because I couldn't get my head around the, pressures is not 15 quite the right word, that were being felt by Tongan complainants, like the question, for 16 example, would they have felt comfortable if a Tongan were to interview them, and I'm not 17 sure whether they would or would not. Part of me thinks a Tongan would understand the 18 culture and be able to communicate and understand the various niceties, but another part of 19 20 me thinks that they might not have wanted a Tongan because of those complexities. That's what I don't quite understand. 21 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Would it be fair to say, Pat, that you often just go within your 22 23 own congregation, so you would use other Catholic professionals within the faith to deal with issues like this? So you'd use your own Catholic lawyers, your own Catholic 24 counsellors -- what I'm trying to get at is when you say Tongan professionals, and it's hard 25 to understand why they wouldn't engage, but one of the things about the Tongan culture is 26 the strength of the hierarchy, and so understanding that leadership, which I am assuming 27 you understand very well; why you then wouldn't consider bringing in an external party to 28 29 then do that in your role as the Bishop? **BISHOP DUNN:** We do, we do use counsellors or others who are not Catholic. But I suspect 30 another Tongan who was not Catholic would have the same issues, if you like. The 31 32 Samoan word I think is fa'aaloalo.
- 33 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Fa'aaloalo, yes.

BISHOP DUNN: Yeah, and that -- which, Madam Chair, means sort of being respectful or using
 the appropriate language, and that's part of the culture. And I sort of feel it needs to be
 respected but it can have a downside too, which is what I think you're alluding to.

- 4 COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: But one of the basic premises is just asking the people direct,
 5 and is that a process that the Church engages to ask -- so in this case it was the Tongan
 6 community, so to just put it to them direct, would they be comfortable by using a Tongan
 7 facilitator or someone else, or not?
- 8 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes, certainly, yes, certainly that would be a good thing to do.
- 9 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Was that a process that you used in this particular instance?
- BISHOP DUNN: No, and that was my reflection that maybe it would have been a way forward,
 but I also have a hunch that it might not have been welcomed.
- COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: But you didn't use it, it's almost like a natural right, a natural
 justice issue to put it to the person.

14 **BISHOP DUNN:** Yes, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Would they be comfortable as part of a process around
 transparency and honesty?

17 **BISHOP DUNN:** I agree, yeah, absolutely.

18 COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Would you also accept then that in terms of being able to set 19 examples, and we're just talking about your Pacific communities but we'll stick to the 20 Tongan, that actually the example needs to be set from the top. So if the Bishop is the 21 highest person in that particular line of authority, that that's where it needs to start and the

22 people inevitably would comply. I'm looking at you as well, Bishop Steve.

BISHOP LOWE: I've just got a similar example from another perspective, but it's on the thing when a Bishop turns up, or a priest does a funeral or things and the amount of money that's paid and I'm really concerned that families are going to debt collectors to get a loan to pay for this magnificent funeral for mum or dad or someone they love. And I've written quite strongly to the priests that we shouldn't be a part of this. It's got some kickback because of the cultural considerations.

29

30

So it's a bit of a journey, but I think it is -- but it really is a thing of actually we have to engage, and this is the culture and the gospel values engaging and I think --

31 COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: So what you're saying it's not unusual that approach, and I've 32 seen it done in other faiths where the example is set from the top. And I guess what I'm 33 asking you, is that a deliberate intention then on the part of the Catholic Bishops, if you're 1 2 wanting to drive that cultural change within your Pacific communities, that that's where it's got to start?

3 **BISHOP LOWE:** Yeah.

4 **CHAIR:** General head nodding.

- **BISHOP LOWE:** Yeah, I think so and one of the things that is happening in the Church at the
 moment, Pope Francis is asking for us to be a more synodal Church. And I suppose the
 simplest way to put synod in the New Zealand context would be a hui, you know a hui with
 our people. I think that's where we're going to have to engage in a new way.
- 9 But certainly the way that priests are elevated in the Pasifika cultures in particular, 10 but also some of our Asian cultures now, it's not good and we actually have to break that. 11 In terms of the relationships with Europeans and to Māori that's not so strong as it once 12 was, thanks be to God, and that enables a lot safer Church to develop as we're able to talk
- 13 about these issues a lot freer.
- 14 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** In the interests of time I'll stop there.
- 15 CHAIR: That's because she got the signal and the eye. I apologise for us being time constrained 16 and I apologise to everybody else who came with the expectation, but would you be 17 available, if we had other questions, would you be able to answer them outside this hearing 18 in writing or whatever?

19 **BISHOP LOWE:** Yes, absolutely.

- CHAIR: Thank you very much, I'd much appreciate that. So that's bringing us to the end of these
 two witnesses, Ms McKechnie, anything else?
- MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, ma'am, just two small matters of housekeeping to conclude this session. The documents that Bishop Pat was referring to in relation to not being asked to speak to survivors, that was some correspondence between myself and Lisa Preston, so
- speak to survivors, that was some correspondence between myself and Lisa Preston, so
- 25 Ms Anderson may not be familiar with those, they were before Ms Anderson joined the
- 26 Commission so we'll make those documents available to the Commission, you have them in
- 27 your archives but we'll return those.
- 28 **CHAIR:** That's the sort of thing to tidy up some loose ends would be much appreciated.
- MS McKECHNIE: I'd also like to apologise for slightly losing my temper before. We were told this witness would be shown a few documents and he was shown 21, and I shouldn't have lost my temper about that and I apologise.
- 32 CHAIR: Thank you. Very well, and both of those witnesses, you're both now able to leave and
 33 have a well-earned rest. Thank you both very much for your attendance today.

1 **BISHOP DUNN:** Thank you.

- CHAIR: Do you wish us to go away while you rearrange, or shall we just stay here?
 Ms Anderson?
- MS McKECHNIE: Can I just clarify, is the Cardinal going to be asked questions about Bishop
 Kavanagh or are we skipping over that, which probably because of time we may need to,
 and in that case we can probably empanel all three?

7 **CHAIR:** Ms Anderson?

- 8 **MS ANDERSON:** My proposal is we empanel all three and there'll be a few questions directed to 9 Cardinal Dew, but only very few, and then there'll be more questions for the three.
- 10 CHAIR: So shall we leave while you do that or --
- MS McKECHNIE: I understand John is out of the room so it will take us a couple of minutes to
 assemble.
- 13 **CHAIR:** We'll just take literally 5 minutes, no longer.

Adjournment from 3.50 pm to 3.58 pm

- 15 CHAIR: Welcome back everybody. Ms McKechnie.
- MS McKECHNIE: To begin the last session, ma'am, we have in the witness box John Dew, Sue
 France and Paul Flanagan. Would you like to give the attestation?
- CHAIR: Yes, thank you very much. Welcome back to two of you and welcome to the
 Commission Dr Flanagan.

20 21

14

CARDINAL JOHN DEW, DR PAUL FLANAGAN and SISTER SUE FRANCE (Affirmed)

- QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am, my intention in evidence-in-chief is to ask each of the witnesses to speak briefly to summarise the evidence that they have provided today. As I said in opening, this is in response to particular questions the Commission asked. Both John and Sue have previously provided evidence in writing before and this is the first time that Sue has been in the witness box.
- So John, I'm going to start with you please. I know that in your previous evidence
 before the Commission you made a number of acknowledgements, I know you want to
 begin by acknowledging that.
- MR DEW: Tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou katoa. Ngā mihi nui ki a koutou. In the
 interests of time, greetings to you all. I'm a 74 year old Pākehā male, I've got short,
 cropped, grey hair, I'm about 5 foot 8 inches, I'm wearing a charcoal grey suit, a white shirt
 and a black and white tie, unusually.

I gave evidence at the Royal Commission in March last year. I began that day and the evidence that I gave by presenting an apology on behalf of the Church, the Bishops and the Congregational Leaders of New Zealand had all agreed that I present that apology on behalf of the Bishops and Congregational Leaders.

5

6

7

8

9

I fully renew that apology and reiterate that I meant every word of it. Abuse is wrong. It should never be part of the Church. I and all of us are ashamed of it and we are working hard to put safeguarding practices in place and we will continue to work on that, we will continue to work on what we have discovered during the time of this Royal Commission.

10Today gives us and the Royal Commission of Inquiry gives us the opportunity to11learn together, to discover together how this abuse came about and what we can do to12prevent it.

We see universally in the Church today that the mission of the Church is to build a safe Church. That's our task. I simply want to repeat those messages that I shared in March last year. You heard from me for several hours that day last year and you have heard my witness statements.

Today it's wonderful to have Sister Sue France and Mr Paul Flanagan alongside me
to hear from them their professional responses and a different perspective, and I welcome
that. So we can hear that in the sad and distressing time in the life of the Church. Tēnā
koutou katoa.

MS McKECHNIE: Thank you. Sister Sue, I'll turn to you now. You are the current
 congregational leader of the Sisters of Mercy which is, am I right, the largest female
 religious congregation in New Zealand?

SISTER FRANCE: It is. Tēnā koutou katoa, ngā mihi nui. My name is Sue France. I have
 white hair, I'm wearing a black jacket with multi-coloured embroidery and a pink top. I
 have some hearing loss and I wear hearing aids.

I'm currently the congregation leader of Ngā Whaea Atawhai o Aotearoa, Sisters of
 Mercy New Zealand. And I'm in the third year of a five-year term. Religious
 congregations are generally self-governing, and we elect our own leadership for limited
 terms.

I'm also one of the religious congregation members on Te Rōpū Tautoko. I made my final vows as a Sister of Mercy in 1986. I have worked as a teacher in secondary schools for a period of 10 years and over a period of 20 years I've taught, studied and

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

practised counselling and psychotherapy and have a PhD in pastoral counselling from Loyola University in Maryland and for the last three years of that period worked as a psychotherapist in the United States.

I've worked with a wide variety of people who presented with issues including trauma, addictions, depression and anxiety, and I've worked predominantly providing ACC sensitive claims counselling. I have also been on the National Safeguarding and Professional Standards Committee for some years.

But I am here today really speaking on behalf of Ngā Whaea Atawhai o Aotearoa, Sisters of Mercy New Zealand. It's the largest religious congregation in Aotearoa. And as women's congregations throughout New Zealand over the years since we have been here, and the first sisters arrived in 1850 at the invitation of wāhine Māori, women in the Church have worked across the country bringing education and healthcare to many people.

Since 1950 as a result of the work we've done for this Commission, we can now say that over 1,000 members have served in healthcare, education, community and social services.

We were also one of the largest providers of care institutions and these included boarding schools, orphanages and children's homes. We also worked in secondary schools and staffed numerous parish schools around the country.

I am deeply saddened and regret that children were harmed in our institutions where
they ought to have been cared for and safe.

I wish to acknowledge the survivors who have come forward to share their experience and I also wish to acknowledge those who have not yet been able to come forward. It takes courage to talk about these experiences. And I hope that survivors continue to come forward to the Inquiry, to the Police, and if it's appropriate for them, to the Church.

It's clear that because of mistakes made by the Church and by our Congregation, that children were harmed when tragically this could have and should have been avoided.

As a Congregation we've changed over time, and this Inquiry has highlighted more changes that were needed. And so I'm glad to have this opportunity to be able to speak with you today.

MS McKECHNIE: Thank you Sister Sue. Sue, I know it's important for you that you are the only female Religious voice that the Commission is going to hear, and I would ask if you could elaborate on your observations about the role of women and female Religious in the Church and particularly in the future of responding to these issues and safeguarding.

2 SISTER FRANCE: I think we, as women Religious in the Church, can have a significant role, because we've traditionally been changemakers in society and in the Church, and I think we 3 are -- while we're a small group now, and even our own group as the largest is much 4 smaller, we are educated women, we are traditionally in positions where we can work 5 alongside people rather than in positions of authority. We are skilled in community change 6 and development and I think we can work within the Church to be able to continue to 7 8 develop safeguarding, to bring about change and to ensure that this opportunity becomes an opportunity for transformation rather than a lost opportunity. 9

And I think as women Religious who tend to be a little outspoken at times, we can use our force for good and we have a commitment as a Congregation to make changes ourselves and have already implemented changes. I think women Religious are often at the forefront of change as they have been in the Church.

MS McKECHNIE: Thank you Sue. I'm just going to ask you two technical questions about sisters now because I'm conscious that these are not matters that the Commission has previously had evidence on. Are you clerics?

17 **SISTER FRANCE:** No, I'm a lay person in the Church, regarded as such.

18 MS McKECHNIE: So when you say women Religious, what does that term --

- SISTER FRANCE: It means I'm a Religious in that I have taken vows and for me those vows are permanent life vows of poverty, chastity and obedience, and I have taken them within
 Sisters of Maray as a Sister of Maray. So my yours are to God but as part of the community.
- 21 Sisters of Mercy as a Sister of Mercy. So my vows are to God but as part of the community 22 of the Sisters of Mercy.
- MS McKECHNIE: And a final terminology question, Sue, what's the difference between a sister
 and a nun?

SISTER FRANCE: A nun lives in an enclosed community, so would not be out -- lives in a convent that is enclosed and cloistered, makes solemn vows and would not move beyond

- 27 the convent. I make simple vows and live a lifestyle which is out engaged in the
- community. That's probably the simplest explanation.
- 29 **MS McKECHNIE:** Thank you Sue.

- Paul, if I could ask you also to introduce yourself to the Commission and then I'll
 ask you some brief questions about the role of the Committee.
- 32 DR FLANAGAN: Kia ora Sally, ngā mihi nui ki a koutou katoa, talofa lava. Ko Paul Flanagan
 33 tāku ingoa. My name is Paul Flanagan and I am a lay member on the National

Safeguarding and Professional Standards Committee. I am a 60 year old white Pākehā 1 2 male with greying brown hair. I'm wearing a dark suit, white shirt and lime green tie. I have a moustache and wear glasses. I welcome this opportunity to speak about what 3 Catholic faith communities throughout Aotearoa are doing to protect children and 4 vulnerable people in care. 5 MS McKECHNIE: Thank you Paul. Paul, you are here in part to speak to your role on the 6 Committee, but you also have professional qualifications and experience that are relevant. 7 8 Can you briefly summarise those for the Commission so they understand, I suspect in part why you were appointed to the Committee. 9 10 DR FLANAGAN: My first degree was in theology, I was ordained a priest and after seven years left priesthood and I voluntarily requested dispensation. I'm married and have three adult 11 sons. I have since qualified with a Master of Counselling and a qualification in 12 professional supervision as well as a PhD in education around sexuality in childhood. 13 In my professional counselling work I was often involved in working with children 14 and families and in school contexts related to child sexual behaviour, and am also an ACC 15 16 counsellor and have worked with children in sexual abuse counselling. MS McKECHNIE: Thank you Paul. I described in my opening the way that the Committee was 17 created and has been tasked by the Mixed Commission. And as I understand it has two 18 parts, professional standards and safeguarding and so I'm going to ask you about them one 19 20 after the other. Can you describe, please, the role of your Committee with regards to professional 21 safeguarding; the Commission is very familiar with NOPS, so if you could also explain the 22 23 relationship between the Committee and NOPS would be helpful please. DR FLANAGAN: So the Committee's role is to approve a strategy and oversee the 24 implementation of the work of NOPS, the National Office of Professional Standards. 25 Members of the Committee are appointed by the Mixed Commission and are tasked by the 26 Mixed Commission to monitor the work of NOPS. The Committee has a governance role, 27 setting policy related to key areas of safeguarding and of preventative practices, and 28 secondly around professional standards where safeguarding is practised in terms of 29 responding to events. 30 The problem of sexual abuse and harm is a concern for the whole of our society and 31 it's only really since the 1970s and 80s has there been a clearer understanding of the 32 insidious and wide-reaching nature and effects of sexual abuse perpetrated on children and 33

vulnerable people. Research has documented that the incidents of sexual abuse and harm is
 mostly within family contexts followed by perpetration within faith and social service
 based sectors.

Such abuse of trust is never acceptable. Such abuse of trust in Catholic faith communities is shameful. It is shameful that people in authority who may have known about the abuse did not act in the victim's favour. Whether Bishops, priests, brothers, sisters, even parents, the level of abuse that we know of is painful to us all.

8 So we need to support those who come forward to disclose abuse done to them and 9 to support them through whichever process they may prefer. And to continue the work 10 undertaken in safeguarding to continue and develop this work so that it is transparent, it is 11 accountable, and it is a robust process.

MS McKECHNIE: Thank you Paul. The final question; at paragraph 18 of your evidence you talk about the standards for creating and maintaining a safeguarding culture which you say there were promulgated in 2019 and revised in 2020. It's a key feature of safeguarding. So are you able to previously summarise for the Commission what those standards are and why they were brought in?

17 CHAIR: Sorry, can I just say we do have your full brief of evidence and the standards are set out,
 18 so if you could just summarise them that would be -- be assured we have read them all.

19 **MS McKECHNIE:** Thank you ma'am.

20 **CHAIR:** These are the ones from para 25?

21 **MS McKECHNIE:** 19 ma'am.

4

5

6

7

22 **CHAIR:** The five standards, yes, thank you.

DR FLANAGAN: So there are five standards of which responding to complaints or concerns is but one of the five. The others include communicating the Church's safeguarding message, so that the work of NOPS is to make sure that every Catholic entity within the country is aware of the policy and to sign the policy and is working to have a safeguarding practice within their entity.

- The second is around safe practices and so in terms of some training through our parishes and the various entities within the dioceses, people are given examples so that they can think about what people might often just take for granted and question to see where there is safety possibly at risk.
- The third I've mentioned is responding to complaints or concerns. The fourth is about monitoring compliance with the national policy, and so NOPS will review the various

ministry in various ways, whether it's in the seminary or whether it's in people who are 3 visiting homes to take communion to the sick, have an understanding of the safeguarding 4 policies and what they should be mindful of. 5 MS McKECHNIE: Thank you Paul. I will now hand you over to Ms Anderson who I understand 6 will have some questions for you all. 7 8 CHAIR: Thank you. Yes Ms Anderson. QUESTIONING BY MS ANDERSON: Thank you Madam Chair. Cardinal John Dew, are you 9 10 happy for me to call you John? I think that was the term we used last time we had a conversation. 11 CARDINAL DEW: Yes, that's fine. 12 MS ANDERSON: Thank you John. Just a follow-up, a couple of process questions relating to 13 the Sateki Raass evidence that we've just traversed. I think you'll recall after the Pacific 14 hearing last year you received a letter from the Network of Survivors alleging that Bishop 15 Dunn had failed to respond appropriately to Ms CU's report of abuse; is that right? 16 CARDINAL DEW: Yes, that's true. 17 MS ANDERSON: And then you initiated a process from there, didn't you, you wrote off to the 18 Vatican? 19 20 CARDINAL DEW: I did, yeah. I was required to do that under vos estis lux mundi. If any Bishop has failed to follow-up on anything, that's one of the things that is required now, 21 and that's what I did, and we were asked to follow that up using the NOPS processes. 22 23 MS ANDERSON: And do you recall that it was about October last year that you got that permission from the Vatican to proceed down that path? 24 25 CARDINAL DEW: Yes. **MS ANDERSON:** I'm not attempting to ask you any questions about the substance of what's 26 happening in relation to that, but just in terms of process, has that investigation been 27 completed and remitted back to the Vatican, is that the status? 28 29 **CARDINAL DEW:** No, no, it has not been completed yet. MS ANDERSON: And you don't have to answer if you think you're unable to give a 30 commitment, but is there an expected timeframe for that process to be resolved? 31 CARDINAL DEW: No, I can't actually answer that, those processes often take a long time, by 32 the time an investigator is appointed and various people are interviewed; many of those do 33

entities over a cycle around their implementation of the policy and their checking their

practices. And then there's formation and training so that those who are coming into

1

2 MS ANDERSON: And in terms of the instruction to you from Rome in relation to that process, would part of the investigation include interviews with Ms CU, or is that not something 3 you're aware of as part of the process? 4 CARDINAL DEW: I'm not aware of who that would include, so I can't tell you whether it would 5 include any particular individual or not. I simply ask that that process be undertaken and it 6 is undertaken through the NOPS process. 7 8 MS ANDERSON: I'm just going to call up, just so that you've got it in front of you, a document CTH0019781. This is the letter from the Vatican to you granting the authorisation on 8 9 10 October last year. Just let me know when that's come up in front of you. Do you have that? 11 CARDINAL DEW: Not yet. 12 **MS ANDERSON:** I don't think it needs to be on the screen for public display. 13 CHAIR: No it's not. 14 MS ANDERSON: You see just in that second paragraph it says in this process particular care 15 should be taken to listen to the complainants with great understanding and compassion and 16 to show maximum respect for the person of Bishop Dunn. 17 So my question relating to that is when you received that letter, who are the 18 complainants that greater understanding and compassion should be shown to? Is that the 19 20 Network that have written to you or is that Ms CU and other persons who have reported abuse relating to --21 CARDINAL DEW: I would think it's the people who made the complaints. Ms Noonan may be 22 23 able to comment on this as the Director of the National Professional Standards who's in the, I almost said the congregation, or the audience with us. 24 MS ANDERSON: Your clarification's been helpful, I don't need to take that any further, thank 25 26 you. CARDINAL DEW: Thank you. 27 MS ANDERSON: Am I right that, after the, I'm not sure if I've got the right technical term, the 28 29 swearing in of Bishop Lowe into Auckland and the ceremony there, that you received a further letter from the Network complaining about the praise that was given to Bishop 30 Dunn at that ceremony. Do you recall receiving that letter? 31

- 32 **CHAIR:** The word's consecration, isn't it?
- 33 **CARDINAL DEW:** Installation, installation as the Bishop of Auckland.

take a long time, so I can't answer your question definitively.

1 **CHAIR:** Right, thank you.

2 **CARDINAL DEW:** Yes, I do recall receiving that letter.

- MS ANDERSON: And do you understand the concerns that were expressed there about the people who were participating in that ceremony who were praising Bishop Dunn at that time while he's under this investigation process which is publicly known?
- CARDINAL DEW: I realise that some people were upset about that, but there would have been a
 large number -- well, there weren't a large number because it was under the time we were
 only allowed 100 people, but there were also a lot of people in the Diocese of Auckland
 who would have wanted to thank Bishop Pat for his almost 28 years of service and to
 acknowledge that he had given 28 years of dedicated service, but some people didn't see
- 11 that.
- MS ANDERSON: Is there any comment or message that you want to convey to those people who might have that other sentiment that you've just referred to in the sense of --
- 14 CARDINAL DEW: I would say that those people who are upset are certainly entitled to make 15 their concerns known, but I would also ask them to hopefully recognise that that's not the 16 complete summary of Bishop Dunn's life of serving the Diocese.
- MS ANDERSON: And the head of the Congregation for the Evangelisation of Peoples was at that
 ceremony, is that right?
- CARDINAL DEW: No, the head of the Congregation for the Evangelisation of Peoples lives in
 Rome and has certainly not visited New Zealand I don't think ever.
- MS ANDERSON: Thank you. I think you were expecting me to have some questions for you relating to the investigation into allegations that Bishop Kavanagh had failed to respond to reports of abuse.

24 CARDINAL DEW: Yes.

MS ANDERSON: Madam Chair, I can indicate to Commissioners that I won't be pursuing this next set of questions that I'd intended to ask relating to that publicly-announced

- 27 investigation into Bishop Kavanagh. It's not possible to pursue these questions now
- 28 because the Church has claimed legal privilege over relevant documents which it has
- 29 provided to the Inquiry to review but not to use, and that claim is yet to be resolved. We
- will be trying to resolve that claim as promptly as possible after the hearing so the topic can
 be addressed in the Inquiry's report.

32 **CHAIR:** Thank you.

33 MS ANDERSON: This next question is directed I think to both Sue and John. So just giving you

a scenario to contemplate, which is a scenario in which a group of survivors from a
 particular Catholic institution wish to meet with Church representatives and that there may
 be, within that group of survivors, people who have not previously disclosed abuse to the
 Church.

5 I'm just wondering whether there's anything either in Canon Law or in A Path to 6 Healing or other safeguarding policies and protocols that you've got that you would 7 consider would place a potential obstacle to you participating in that kind of 8 survivor-driven wānanga?

9 CARDINAL DEW: I can't think of anything within A Path to Healing or within Canon Law that
 10 would prevent us doing that.

- MS ANDERSON: So there's no -- so one aspect of that scenario is that you might receive information in that forum which is a report of abuse; would that make you feel that even if the survivor didn't necessarily wish to have you investigate it, that you would be required to go off and investigate that? I'm just trying to understand where the obligations kick in and what discretion there is around that.
- CARDINAL DEW: Are you saying the survivors group would be presenting an incident of
 abuse?
- MS ANDERSON: Well, it's possible, if you're meeting with a group of survivors who've asked to meet with you, that they might end up talking about what happened to them, mightn't they?

CARDINAL DEW: Our policy therefore is that should be reported to NOPS, that's what the Path
 to Healing requires, and we encourage people to do that or to go to the Police. That's what
 the National Office for Professional Standards is there for.

MS ANDERSON: So would that obligation affect your thinking about whether to participate in
 that kind of wānanga, or is it just something that you would deal with if it came up?

CARDINAL DEW: It would very much depend on what they were asking to meet about. If
 they're asking to meet about reporting abuse, we would be saying look the National Office
 for Professional Standards is here in order to take such accounts and then to investigate
 them, because that's what we would be doing anyway.

- MS ANDERSON: There might be some circumstances, mightn't there, where survivors want to meet you and tell you about what's happened but don't necessarily want you to go off and do anything, you're saying that would create a difficulty for you under the protocols that you've got in place?
- 33 **CARDINAL DEW:** It would be very difficult if they told us that -- reported abuse but didn't want

us to do anything when we are required under vos estis lux mundi to report abuse and to investigate it.

- **DR FLANAGAN:** If I can come in, I think where there might be the risk of someone practising in
 ministry who is at risk to children or vulnerable people, there is not only an ethical
 requirement but a moral requirement as well as a Canon Law requirement for the Bishop to
 act.
- MS ANDERSON: Thank you. There has been a recent wānanga by a group of survivors of Hato
 Pāora and Hato Pētera that occurred earlier this month that was a survivor initiative rather
 than participating formally in the Royal Commission. I think you've seen a document, have
 you, that that survivors group have provided about what they're looking for in the future.
- 11 Are you familiar with that document?

1

2

12 **SISTER FRANCE:** I haven't seen that document, no.

- 13 **CARDINAL DEW:** I have seen that document.
- 14 **DR FLANAGAN:** I haven't seen that document.
- MS ANDERSON: I'm just going to call it up on the screen to be looking at page 2 of this. It's
 WITN1499011, and just turning to page 3 of the document paragraph 9.
- MS McKECHNIE: Is Ms Anderson able to explain the context in which the document was made and who the authors of it are so the witnesses understand please?
- CHAIR: So far we know it's a document that's originated from a wānanga. Are there any other
 details? Shall we wait until it comes up and we'll use that maybe to see what it is.
- MS ANDERSON: It's a collective submission to the Inquiry from the wānanga which outlines,
 from a survivor's point of view, what it is that they're wanting to see happen in the future.
 Page 3, which is coming up, addresses the topic, how the harm can be addressed and
 remedied. If we can just turn to page 3 paragraph 9.

CHAIR: I'm not sure if you've given the date but it's 4 October 2022. We now have page 3 in
 front of us.

- MS ANDERSON: Paragraph 9, if you could call that up please, enlarge that. You can see there
 that part of what they're saying is there must be a restorative justice process where Church
 representatives have to turn up and confront this and take accountability like offenders are
 required to with their victims.
- 31Just in terms of conceptual, to you John and Sue, is that generally something that32you would welcome the opportunity to attend, a restorative justice process for survivors?
- 33 SISTER FRANCE: I think restorative justice processes are always helpful for all involved where

1 people are able to meet and talk together.

2 CARDINAL DEW: I would second that, restorative justice processes are very much part of the Church. We know that they work well and they're opportunities for people to be heard and 3 I would say that we certainly would welcome something like this. This is new to us, I saw 4 this just a few days ago just last Friday. Obviously we would want to be able to talk about 5 this with the Congregational Leaders and the Bishops as to how this would go about, and 6 because this has come from one of the Māori colleges, we would probably also want to talk 7 8 to an organisation that we call the Rūnanga o te Hāhi Katorika, the Māori body which provides advice to the Bishops' Conference, which has been in existence for several years, 9 10 we meet with them every year, one of the Bishops meets with them twice a year, they meet with a whole Bishops Conference once a year, and in fact just in a couple of weeks' time 11 we're spending a whole day with the rūnanga as we just go about our ordinary business and 12 they give us advice. So this is the kind of thing we would want to be talking with the 13 rūnanga about. 14

MS ANDERSON: And over in paragraph 13 on the next page, there's a desire for wrap-around support provided to survivors including those who are in prison and for those coming out of prison and for their whānau in order to stop the cycle. So you've probably, in terms of the care to custody pathway, you will have heard a lot of evidence about that in the Royal Commission.

What these survivors are saying who have ended up in prison, that they're really looking for that wrap-around support when they come out and to break the cycle. John, is that something else that you're not in a position to comment on at the moment but once the discussion with the rūnanga has taken place you might be in a better position to provide some information back to the Inquiry about the Church's view of what survivors are saying in this document they want?

- CARDINAL DEW: Yes, it's certainly something, as I've already indicated, we would need to talk
 with the rūnanga about and get advice from them. The whole Mixed Commission, as I say,
 Bishops Conference and Congregational Leaders would need to talk about this, and I would
 also think it would be something that we would be looking forward to getting advice when
 the Royal Commission has done its work and made some recommendations to us.
- DR FLANAGAN: Can I add, I'm aware that one or two cases of support for people coming out of
 prison who have been victims of abuse have already occurred with support from a local
 Bishop and putting in contact with an agency, a survivor agency.

1 **MS ANDERSON:** The document can come down now.

My next question is to Sue and Paul due to your, Paul, your current role on the Committee and Sue you've previously been a member of that Committee, overseeing NOPS. So the initial question is, do you accept that faith is very important to many Pacific peoples where their spirituality and faith is entwined with identity; do you agree with that, or accept that?

DR FLANAGAN: I think if we consider Professor Sir Mason Durie's model of wellbeing of Te Whare Tapa Whā it's integral, wairua. And I think the tragedy of sexual abuse by those in trust within the Church is that the four walls have been damaged not only for the individual but for their relationships to their whānau, to their friends, to themselves. So yes, we would agree with that.

SISTER FRANCE: And I would agree that rather than entwined with, I think it's part of the fabric of who -- we have sisters who work in -- who are Samoan and Tongan and who work in Samoa and Tonga and it's part of the fabric of who they are, that spirituality is integral to who they are, yes.

- MS ANDERSON: So in that Committee role sitting at the top as the governance over the response to abuse and also safeguarding, what would you summarise has been done to ensure that Pacific survivors' relationship with their spirituality and faith following a disclosure of abuse is able to be maintained?
- SISTER FRANCE: I'm not sure that it's the role of the Committee to ensure that somebody's faith is able to be maintained. I think the Committee's role is a governance role. I think it's the Church's role as a whole to provide a place that is safe for all people, particularly those who are most vulnerable to support them so that their faith can be nurtured and nourished, because I believe that spirituality is intrinsic to every person regardless of whether that's part of their faith or not. I don't think it's a governance Committee's role to ensure that someone's faith can be protected in that sense.
- DR FLANAGAN: Can I just add to that. I think it's important to be aware that the Committee is aware of the different cultural and ethnic communities within the Church of Aotearoa New Zealand, and is looking at ways to how we support particular cultural communities so that our materials that are available on the NOPS website are being developed in multiple languages. We have four or five up there in different languages currently, and those are being developed as we have the resources to do so.
- 33 So while that doesn't answer your question directly, I think it does relate to the way

- that we're trying to develop the relationships with the communities in a way that they have pathways to be able to speak.
- 3 **CHAIR:** If I can just add, from the Commissioner's point of view, this aspect is particularly relevant for what we generally call puretumu, redress, and it's, as you may know if you've 4 looked at the report last year, we talk about holistic redress. So just handing out a letter of 5 apology and a sum of money doesn't cut it, it goes some way, but I think there's a 6 widespread recognition now that there needs to be the healing of the whole person, the 7 8 Whare Tapa Whā for example at least in Māori and the Fonofale in Pacific culture, this is the aspect that we're interested in for the spirituality. I take your point, it's not something 9 10 you can govern --

11 **SISTER FRANCE:** No.

1

2

- CHAIR: -- in one sense no, but in another sense when you're looking at what can be done for
 survivors of abuse in this Church, that's what we're encouraging people to think about.
- 14 DR FLANAGAN: And John, would you say that, you know, when the Church authority has to 15 give a decision on an inquiry that's happened, that there would be some form of trying to 16 work with the survivors to connect them well with their cultural communities?
- CARDINAL DEW: Yes, definitely, we're trying to do that all the time and looking at ways, if
 they're from a particular ethnic community, what kind of support can be given, who do we
 need to bring in to help them to do that.
- SISTER FRANCE: I think probably building on what Paul has said, I think to do the work of safeguarding in a cultural context and to be able to talk about human development and sexuality in a way which is culturally appropriate with the right people is really important, and that that helps to build a safe culture where children are free to talk about things that are not right and touch that's not right, and that the conversations are able to be had. I think that's really essential.

26 **CHAIR:** Thank you for that response.

MS ANDERSON: Paul, just coming back to your comment, the concept that the governance role of the Committee perhaps doesn't lead to ensuring that the response to a survivor ensures that holistic response to the spirituality; you did say that the role of the Committee includes monitoring NOPS and how it goes about its work. So from a governance perspective, doesn't the Committee have a governance role in looking at where the NOPS process is actually ensuring that that spirituality, faith and identity element is being accommodated in the response to survivors who come forward?

DR FLANAGAN: Yes, and when we have Virginia Noonan's report at each of our meetings 1 about the work plan and how that's progressing, she does inform us around how that work 2 is developing. I don't know whether, Virginia, you want to say anything more around this? 3 **CHAIR:** I think we'll just leave it. Ms Anderson? 4 MS ANDERSON: I think we've got enough witnesses to manage. 5 CHAIR: To manage in the meantime, all right. But if you wanted to add some more information 6 later we'd be very happy to receive that, thank you. 7 8 MS ANDERSON: In terms of the transparency around the Committee and the Complaints Assessment Committee, Sue and Paul, you'll be familiar with the comments from survivors 9 10 that it's very frustrating that the identity of the Complaints Assessment Committee and the identity of who's on the Committee that you sit on, Paul, is not publicly available 11 information. Can you talk about why that there is not transparency around that element of 12 the process? 13 DR FLANAGAN: I thought that the membership of the Committee I'm on is publicly available 14 and was made available to this Commission last year. 15 MS ANDERSON: It's been made -- but nobody can go on a website and look at who's on that 16 Committee, can they? 17 **DR FLANAGAN:** Not that I'm aware of. 18 MS ANDERSON: And in relation to the Complaints Assessment Committee there's a very 19 20 deliberate approach, which Phil Hamlin spoke to in his witness statement provided earlier, around the approach taken to keep the identity of the members of the Committee private 21 and secret. 22 23 **DR FLANAGAN:** Private I think rather than secret. I think the idea is that we're moving through a process in which they realise they will be identifiable in time to come, but we need to 24 prepare for that and be ready that people who do that work will then be agreeable to that 25 and willing to work that way. 26 **MS ANDERSON:** So is that a signal that change might be in the wind in that regard? Because it 27 is a very sore point for survivors that they don't see who's making -- they don't know who's 28 29 making the decisions in relation to their report of abuse. **DR FLANAGAN:** The decisions are made by the Church authority. 30 MS ANDERSON: But the Complaints Assessment Committee recommendations are very 31 32 powerful, aren't they? **DR FLANAGAN:** They are quite powerful, yes. So yes, there is a developing change within the 33

1 Committee and our thinking around that.

- MS ANDERSON: And in terms of the oversight and monitoring of NOPS' role, and the
 Complaints Assessment Committee role, we're still in the position more than a year after
 we spoke with Ms Noonan last year that there hasn't been an independent audit of NOPS,
 whether it's adhering to the policies and practises in A Path to Healing, and we haven't had
 any audit of the Complaints Assessment Committee decision-making processes. That
 seems to be quite a gap in the process of accountability.
- **BR FLANAGAN:** My understanding is that there have been some reviews of particular cases and that we are again in the process in the Committee of talking about an audit or review of the work of NOPS. We have worked through a review of our safeguarding practices and have had an external reviewer come in for that and they've made some recommendations to help us in making those stronger. So it's about a work plan over time, we can't do it all overnight, or in a year.
- MS ANDERSON: Well, it's only a year since it was discussed as to why there hadn't been an audit of the Complaints Assessment Committee process. But what you're saying is, and I think the Chair of the Complaints Assessment Committee in her statement in September this year, said that NOPS and your Committee are actively considering how such an audit might occur?
- 19 **DR FLANAGAN:** Yes.
- 20 **MS ANDERSON:** But no promise in terms of timeframe?
- 21 **DR FLANAGAN:** I would think something within the next 12 months.
- 22 MS ANDERSON: And is that -- would the intention be --
- DR FLANAGAN: Some of it's resourcing, if I might say. Some of the decision is around the cost
 of these changes and how it's taken up.
- MS ANDERSON: But it surely must be really important to know whether NOPS is actually
 following the principles and policies in A Path to Healing, you'd want to know the answer
 to that question, don't you?
- 28 **DR FLANAGAN:** Yes, and we do have some oversight of that, but as you're saying it's about an 29 independent external review and that comes with some big money.
- 30 **MS ANDERSON:** And it must be important to know whether the Complaints Assessment
- 31 Committee is making consistent decisions and that their approach to decision-making is 32 appropriate?
- 33 **DR FLANAGAN:** Yeah, and as I said there have been a couple of instances where people cases

1

have been reviewed for that purpose.

- 2 **MS ANDERSON:** Yes, but an occasional review of a case is quite different from looking at, is the system actually working properly. 3
- **DR FLANAGAN:** And again that's part of the work plan that we have, as is, if I might also say, 4 increasing membership and diversity of membership for the Committee, and there's a 5 budget. Currently we're all volunteers, but we're looking at a budget for that so that people 6 who are not middle-aged Pākehā and overly male can join the Committee and have some 7 support financially to do that, because most of us are probably privileged in particular ways 8 where communities who have had effects of colonisation and the social economic effects 9 10 that can be intergenerational who would be wonderful members on our Committee, can't afford the time, can't afford the travel and so on, so we're looking at ways to develop that 11
- diversity. 12
- MS ANDERSON: In terms of the safeguarding dimension of the Committee's remit, what you've 13 said, Paul, in your witness statement is that NOPS undertakes external reviews of 14 safeguarding practices in the different Church authorities? 15
- DR FLANAGAN: The entities, yes. 16
- MS ANDERSON: But you also say in your brief of evidence at paragraph 39(d) that NOPS is an 17 agent of the Church? 18

19 DR FLANAGAN: Yeah.

20 MS ANDERSON: And I think that's a correct characterisation. So we also often get, don't we, safeguarding recommendations from NOPS when they've received a report of abuse, they 21 develop up a safeguarding plan and send that through to the relevant leader of the Church 22 authority, don't they?

DR FLANAGAN: Safeguarding plans. 24

MS ANDERSON: Yes. 25

23

DR FLANAGAN: Yeah. 26

- **MS ANDERSON:** So they can't be reviewing safeguarding that involves whether that plan was 27 adequate? 28
- 29 **DR FLANAGAN:** Well, as I mentioned earlier, we had an external reviewer for the safeguarding framework and that was Deloittes, they were providing those recommendations last year 30 and we're looking at how we might then develop an external review of NOPS overall. So, 31 as I said, that's work in progress. We are responding to the calls that have come forward 32 and we're aware of the recommendations that have come from this Commission and we 33

look forward to continuing that work and working in partnership with the Commission's 1 2 recommendations and reports. MS ANDERSON: And is your current intention in relation to the external reviews that might be 3 being contemplated and maybe --4 **DR FLANAGAN:** They are being contemplated. 5 MS ANDERSON: Yeah, understand that -- that they would be -- the information from those 6 would be publicly available, is that the current intention? 7 8 **DR FLANAGAN:** That's a good question, I'll take that to the Committee. 9 MS ANDERSON: Are you aware that the equivalent of NOPS in England and Wales publishes a 10 detailed annual report, detailed statistics on the claims of abuse and outcomes for both claimants and the alleged abuser, is that something you're familiar with? 11 **DR FLANAGAN:** It doesn't surprise me and I'm aware that we've also, through TRT, Te Ropū 12 Tautoko, have done something similar here. 13 MS ANDERSON: You've done a -- what's been released is a data up to a point in time, this is 14 what occurs in England and Wales is an annual report. 15 DR FLANAGAN: Okay. 16 MS ANDERSON: So contemplating a 2023 report and for the following years. Is that something 17 that the Committee is thinking would help with transparency around the response to reports 18 of abuse? 19 20 **DR FLANAGAN:** I think that makes sense and something that we will explore and address. 21 MS ANDERSON: I'm just going to ask one question before I hand over to Commissioners for questioning so that they've got enough time, we might need to sit slightly late, Madam 22 23 Chair, but just one question. The Chair of the Complaints Assessment Committee in the recent witness statement says that one of the reasons for abuse is, and this is a quote 24 "forgiveness was prioritised over safeguarding." 25 So just from each of the three of you, your reflection on whether you agree with 26 that, disagree and why? 27 SISTER FRANCE: I think that would be one of the reasons -- I think there were a number of 28 29 reasons. I think there were also reasons around the huge imbalance of power that Religious and clergy had, the lack of resources of people being put in positions where they should not 30 have been put in positions of care of children, or in situations where they were ill-trained 31 for the work that they were doing. I think there were significant reasons, I think 32 forgiveness is one of them, a care for reputation was another. 33

1 **MS ANDERSON:** Is that reputation of the individual or reputation of the Church?

SISTER FRANCE: I think reputation of the Church was one reason why abuse continued. I
 think they were some of the mistakes that happened.

4 CARDINAL DEW: I think the question that you've just put to us that forgiveness was seen to be
 5 more important than safeguarding was probably a thing in the past. When the whole sexual
 6 abuse was misunderstood, when there wasn't a greater understanding of what it meant, and
 7 the whole idea of saying sorry to someone was seen as more important.

I would say today we have a much greater appreciation of what needs to be done for 8 the victim. And we've learned so much, and I've repeated that, I've said that in my witness 9 10 statement in March last year, from the time we began the Path to Healing process, we've continued to learn, and I'm sure that all the work, the incredible amount of work that's gone 11 into preparing over the last few years for this Royal Commission has helped us to learn so 12 much more, and to learn that it's not just about forgiveness, it's about what kind of, as 13 you've mentioned before, wrap-around care can be given to the victim, and continuing to 14 learn that and grow in that area. 15

- DR FLANAGAN: I think also that societal norms of the time with the understanding of family structure and respect for parents, respect for the institution of marriage. I know of people who have spoken about telling parents of abuse by an uncle but it wasn't taken any further because they didn't want to harm the marriage that that uncle was part of because they had children in that family. So I think there are a number of social and cultural things that contribute to that sense of forgiveness or not taking it further at that time.
- I think in terms of the Church there is that history of respect particularly for those who were seen in authority, the clergy and the Religious. But we're in a different time now and certainly I think the messaging is all around speaking up and calling for transparency and honesty in response to what's happened.

MS ANDERSON: Thank you, the Commissioners are likely to have some questions for you.

27 CARDINAL DEW: Thank you.

28 **CHAIR:** Yes, thank you very much Ms Anderson.

- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora koutou. It's great to see the faith, despite the many entities
 and structures and members, cohere in this way when it comes to the kaupapa of redress.
 And to read the road map and see the commitment to puretumu torowhānui, it's really
 encouraging.
- 33

And also to hear today about -- because one of the lessons we've learned is the need

for innovation and adaptation when it comes to hearing from survivors, so it's not just a
 one-on-one hui, but also to be open to meeting face-to-face in a collective setting in such a
 way, because that's clearly what is desired within many communities, including Māori, I
 think. So I just wanted to signal my appreciation of the commitment made this afternoon
 and thank you for your time, kia ora.

6 **CARDINAL DEW:** Thank you, kia ora.

27

28

29

30

COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Thank you, I'd be interested in an article from both John and Sue
 on this. It's great to have representatives of women Religious here, so I'm keen for that
 perspective. Part of our terms of reference are for us to re-affirm international law, human
 rights law, and there's a carve-out in New Zealand's human rights law around exemptions to
 the Human Rights Act, and just looking -- we're learning ourselves over the range of this
 Inquiry and hearings, I think the next three faith-based institutions have leaders structured
 into their faith of both genders, whereas Catholics and Gloriavale as yet don't.

We heard from experts in the contextual evidence two years ago that this was one of
 the factors which contributed to environments of abuse against children and vulnerable
 adults. What's your view, both in terms of personal, what should be done and what can be
 done, to make sure that, or should the Catholic Church and Gloriavale be subject to the
 same human rights norms as everyone else? Should that change, and can it change, how?
 SISTER FRANCE: I think the Catholic Church has the potential, if we continue along the path

that we have here in New Zealand, which is quite unique of having our Mixed Commission
of the Congregation Leaders and the Bishops meeting together and working together in a
way which they don't in any other part of the Church in the world, that's a unique structure.

And the other piece of that is in terms of authority, which is something I think that people have sometimes struggled with, is that as a Congregation leader I have, in my own realm, the same authority that John has in his Diocese. So that in that setting in many ways we are equal in the Church.

But when it comes to structures in the way that society looks on us, it is looked on having the Bishop as being in charge, but that's not really what the reality is when it comes to work within the Church structure. And so we are probably a bit more vocal when it comes to ourselves talking to one another and expressing our views and finding our place.

31 So I think there is a way in which when Ms McKechnie asked about the place of 32 women Religious, I may not have vocalised it as clearly as I could have, that there is 33 potential for, and I think that's what the Vatican Council of the 1960 was calling for, was

that lay Religious and clergy, that it be a church of the laity where men and women, people of all cultures find their place together.

1

2

And that's our challenge, and it's within that, that we create a safe church where each person in their own right has their own inner goodness and dignity respected, and that's the challenge for us. That's where we -- the starting point of inner goodness rather than a starting point of sinfulness. And that's the transformation that needs to happen, and has been happening in the Church.

CARDINAL DEW: Sue's words have just reminded me that twice over the last few years, several
 years, and I know Paul has been to one and Virginia has been to a couple of what we in the
 world know as the anglophone conference where several years ago English speaking
 conferences came together to talk about the reality of sexual abuse. They were just all
 English speaking countries, but it's happened for quite some years.

The first one I went to was about 2005 in Washington. They were astounded to know that our protocols were made by the religious congregations and the bishops, women's and men's congregations and the bishops. We're the only country in the world where we had worked together and we had all come up with the one Conference -- with the one protocol. I think that's what illustrates really what Sue says about us working together.

One of the things that people don't see in our Church, of course, is that at this stage women are not in roles as priests, as ordained ministers, and that's not a decision that we make. I think there is a huge change going on in the Church universally. Pope Francis has appointed women to some very senior roles in the Vatican and that's giving a message to the rest of the world.

23 Certainly in the Archdiocese of Wellington I've got a woman who's the Chair of the 24 Board of Administration, a woman who's the Chair of the Archdiocese and Pastoral 25 Council, a woman who's the Director of Catholic Social Services; there's a whole lot of 26 ranges like that. Where we can, we involve people with the right skills. So I hope that 27 answers your question.

- COMMISSIONER GIBSON: I suppose still I think the point is we talk about who is a Bishop, how would that change? It's still men who appoint those roles, and is it a kind of disagreement with the expert evidence we had a couple of years ago that this actually, the structural male dominance -- I appreciate that it's globally -- contributes to the environment which puts children, vulnerable adults at risk of sexual abuse?
- 33 CARDINAL DEW: It can. Pope Francis has appointed, I think, two or three women to the

Committee that appoints Bishops in Rome. We're appointed by a committee in Rome and some women have been appointed to that. That doesn't mean they can appoint women, but they're having a say. And we know that -- I think everyone knows that change in the Vatican is very slow, it's very gradual. But the thing -- he is starting to do things.

5 And the Church has emphasised, as Sue was saying, and this goes back 60 years to 6 the second Vatican Council, that the Church is the people of God, it's the baptised people, 7 it's not just the Bishops. And Francis has reclaimed that in his time, and I think that in the 8 processes that have been happening in the last couple of years, which is known as 9 synodality, it's everyone having a say in the life of the Church. So that is making a big 10 difference.

11 **COMMISSIONER GIBSON:** And the experts also talked about a couple of other core things 12 which they thought were contributing, that the attitude to the rainbow community, practice 13 of homosexuality as well as the role of the confessional, how again can we, the

14 Commission, you the Church in New Zealand, influence these practices which may have an 15 impact on abuse.

DR FLANAGAN: I think one of the speakers from St Pat's Silverstream referred to the document
 that the Bishops released a week or so back around care and diversity in Catholic schools,
 which is particularly looking to how people who may have different identities sexually can
 be feeling safe and at home in their own communities and not be ostracised or isolated.
 This is a step, I know there's a lot more to do, but we heard about the establishments of
 diversity groups in some schools.

- SISTER FRANCE: I think -- I'm just thinking in terms of the secrecy of the confessional and I'm thinking of working as a psychotherapist and where you hear a lot of things are disclosed, and there are some things that it's very clear that I can't keep secret as a therapist and I need to inform the Police or another body if it's a matter of this person's safety or the safety of somebody else.
- 27

28

1

2

3

4

But there's also a grey area where you really have to make a discernment. I think the confessional issue is a harder one, and I think it's a real quandary really.

CARDINAL DEW: I think also as Tim Duckworth said this morning, in 40 years nobody -- he hasn't had anyone who's confessed sexual abuse, and I certainly haven't in over 40 years, or someone who has spoken about sexual abuse. If they did, priests are encouraged to ask those people to go to the Police, you know, and to give them some support to do that, but the priest themself can't do that. And that's the question of the Australian, someone brought

up the Australian question this morning, and that was what the Australian Bishops were 1 2 saying, the Church has this understanding of the secrecy of the seal of confession. But we can certainly encourage people if they came with an issue of abuse, if they 3 admitted that, it's not their fault, it's not their sin, but we can encourage them and even 4 support them to go to the Police about that. 5 **COMMISSIONER GIBSON:** Just a final question, circulating back to the start, do you think that 6 the exemption for faith-based institutions such as the Catholic Church, Gloriavale, should 7 8 be maintained? CARDINAL DEW: The exemption? 9 10 **COMMISSIONER GIBSON:** In terms of gender equity in employment, things like that? DR FLANAGAN: Are you talking about the --11 **COMMISSIONER GIBSON:** Under the Human Rights Act, yes. 12 DR FLANAGAN: Men only who are ordained? 13 COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Yes. 14 CARDINAL DEW: It's a Roman decision. There's lots of talk, there's lots of questions about will 15 we ever have women priests as other churches have, that's really nothing that we can 16 17 influence at this stage in our lives. **COMMISSIONER GIBSON:** Thanks. 18 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Can I just quickly bring up the confessional matter. So 19 20 Duckworth this morning endorsed the idea of mandatory reporting and suggested that there was support for that across the Congregational Orders and Bishops, and including in the 21 confessional, but you're making clear here that that's not the case when it comes to the 22 confessional, that there's no proposal to endorse mandatory reporting that would include 23 disclosures made in the confessional? 24 25 CARDINAL DEW: At this time in our history we're not able to disclose something that is heard in the confessional, but we can encourage people to, either to come and talk to us outside 26 the confessional or go to somebody else. 27 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Yes, but if there was legislation that required you to make a 28 disclosure, would the disclosures be made? If you were to, in the confessional yourself, 29 hear a disclosure and it was required under statute for you to make a disclosure, would you 30 then make that disclosure? 31 CARDINAL DEW: I honestly don't know how we would -- that was what the Australian Bishops 32 were saying they couldn't do, and I don't know what we would do if that became a 33

requirement by law because Church law says the opposite.

- COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Are you having that discussion in a profound way about if there
 was law reform how you would respond to that?
- 4 CARDINAL DEW: We haven't had that in New Zealand at this stage, but some countries are,
 5 and I know that it's coming up in various forums, Bishops Conferences around the world.
- 6 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** Not in New Zealand as yet.
- SISTER FRANCE: My experience working as a therapist in a State in the US where there was mandatory reporting of abuse was that either the offender or the survivor was that it inhibited both from talking about what had happened to them, naming who had abused them or the offender talking about what they had done. It just stopped it coming into the therapeutic setting, because they knew they were in that particular State and they couldn't talk about -- if they talked about that then they knew that as a therapist I had to report that and that I didn't have a choice.
- 14 So the impact of that, and it was other therapists, we would talk about it amongst 15 ourselves professionally, is that the impact was that it actually stopped and prevented the 16 reporting of abuse in those settings.
- 17 **COMMISSIONER ERUETI:** Thank you for that.
- 18 COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Good afternoon, I'm conscious of time so I'll try to keep it to 19 one or two questions. We've heard a lot and thank you very much for the evidence and I'm 20 conscious that actually in many respects this is a future component, and it really begs the 21 question for me; What does a healthy Catholic Church look like? No doubt that is a 22 question that you ask yourselves and your congregations often.
- And I think specifically about the context here in Aotearoa and you've got quite a significant Pasifika congregation -- congregations because of the multiplicity there; and the ability of the leadership, so looking at yourselves, to be able to be influence quite significant cultural change. So cultural change in terms of the processes and how things are done, not necessarily in terms of ethnicity and how they choose to worship.
- And whether or not that is a discussion that is happening, or should happen, or can happen, to bring about the change that the leadership is often really concerned about, and we've heard this, I think we heard this from you, John, in your evidence previously, we've also heard it from Tim Duckworth, about the lengths that Pasifika people will go to, mainly Samoan and Tongan, that then puts them in debt and it's often around church honouring processes.

1 So in terms of your Committee and the rules, how do you take that into 2 consideration when faith is so integral to our Pasifika communities in the Catholic 3 community?

CARDINAL DEW: One of the things that we've done, and I'm just speaking here for the
Archdiocese of Wellington, in the last couple of years we've had an ethnic review where we
brought together a whole range of different communities, not just Pasifika, but Iraqi,
Indian, a whole range, just simply to talk about some of these things, and what would be the
most helpful things for them, for their children, for worship, for being involved in the
communities that they belong to and the parish communities, rather than always meeting
together as a particular community, because they do belong to a parish.

- That's an ongoing thing, it's given us a lot of information. It was about two years ago and we had two people professionally interviewing and that was all reported back, it was all summarised. We met together about three months ago, all those representatives again to talk about that, we're releasing the findings to the parishes. It's all about ways that we can communicate with one another, make people feel welcome, help people to be heard.
- 16That's some of the kind of things that we're doing and I guess it's probably17happening in other dioceses and it's certainly happening in Religious Congregations.
- SISTER FRANCE: We're in a different situation because we don't have a parish situation and our sisters work in different settings, so we're not in control of that in that sense. So we have individual proprietor boards in charge of schools and probably where it's most happening would be in community development settings really where predominantly working with Māori and Pasifika people and the staff in those settings are Māori and Pasifika people working together. And so they are there doing the work and making the difference themselves already.

25 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** That's wonderful, thank you.

DR FLANAGAN: I was just reflecting on the parish that I'm part of and the multiple ethnic
 communities there are, probably predominantly Asian, but a number of Pasifika
 communities too, I'm thinking Cook Island as well as Tongan, and I'm thinking as I see
 them they're already connecting with each other and supporting each other.

30 So as a person in the queue, so to speak, I think it's my responsibility to support 31 them and welcome them and engage and to, I think, question how we might be able to 32 support further. But I don't know that I could direct anything, that's for them to come up 33 with.

2 **DR FLANAGAN:** Kia ora.

CHAIR: I was going to ask you some questions, I think they've all more or less been covered.
But I just need to thank you very much for, as Ms McKechnie's pointed out, and this is the
last outing for Catholic Church before the Commission, has responded to large numbers of
requests, notices, it provided a vast amount of documentation and other matters and I'm
sure all of you more or less, particularly you, Sue, on the Te Rōpū Tautoko, has contributed
towards that; I just want to thank the Catholic Church for engaging with the Royal
Commission and putting so much work into it.

10 And then to thank you three for your personal contribution today, it is much 11 appreciated, and thank you for repeating your apology, Cardinal Dew, because that's what 12 you are for us, and it is for survivors to make of it what they will, but thank you for taking 13 the opportunity to state it publicly again.

14 **CARDINAL DEW:** Thank you.

15 CHAIR: Yes Ms McKechnie.

- MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am, just to highlight particularly for Commissioner Alofivae, the Pacific chaplaincy's briefing paper that was provided has significant information in relation to the answer to your question, ma'am, and particularly because it is from the Archdiocese to the Samoan chaplaincy, so that may be another area to have a look at.
- CHAIR: As you can see we have a vast amount of reading to do, this does not end, the journey
 does not end here.
- 22 So kua mutu aku mahi i tēnei wā. Let us close off appropriately with a karakia.
- KAUMATUA NGATI WHÂTUA: Kia ora mai anō tātou. Mea tuatahi mihi ana ki a koe te
 paepae o tātou tēnei kaupapa te rā nei taku mihi ana, kei te mihi.

Tuarua, ki a koe e te rangatira o te Hāhi Katorika, kei te mihi. Ki a koe tonu tō whaea āwhina, ki a koe te rangatira tae mai ki tēnei wā. Nō reira, kua mutu e tatou tēnei kaupapa, he [mihi] aroha ki a koutou, he [mihi] aroha ki a koutou, ki te noho ana ki te whakarongo mai te kaupapa o te rā nei. Āe, mamae te ngākau, mamae te ngākau ki a koutou.

My love goes out to all of you who are here today, to make and to listen for those who are listening, for those who are speaking, for the survivors who are here, and for the benefit of all of us out in the public who don't know what's going on here, that the love, I feel, needs to be passed amongst us all.

1	And so in our karakia today, in our waiata, we'll sing Ka Waiata, which is part of
2	our Katorika faith and together we'll sing it with harmony and unity, kotahitanga m \bar{o} te
3	aroha. (Waiata Ka Waiata). (Karakia mutunga).
4	Hearing adjourned at 5.24 pm to Tuesday, 18 October 2022 at 9 am