## ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY FAITH-BASED INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE HEARING

Under The Inquiries Act 2013 In the matter of The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in State Care and in the Care of Faith-based Institutions **Royal Commission:** Judge Coral Shaw (Chair) Dr Anaru Erueti Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofivae Paul Gibson Counsel: Mr Simon Mount QC, Ms Kerryn Beaton QC, Ms Katherine Anderson, Ms Tania Sharkey, Mr Michael Thomas, Ms Kathy Basire and Ms Alisha Castle for the Royal Commission Ms Rachael Schmidt-McCleave and Ms Julia White for the Crown Ms Sally McKechnie and Ms Brooke Clifford for Te Ropū Tautoko, the Catholic Bishops and Congregational Leaders Ms India Shores for the Anglican Church Ms Maria Dew, Ms Kiri Harkess and Mr Lourenzo Fernandez for the Methodist Church and Wesley Faith. Mr Brian Henry, Mr Chris Shannon and Ms Sykes for Gloriavale Venue: Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry 414 Khyber Pass Road **AUCKLAND** Date: 17 October 2022

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## **INDEX**

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CATHOLIC CHURCH	
ON EDUCATION	109
FATHER TIMOTHY DUCKWORTH	
Questioning by Ms McKechnie	116
Questioning by Mr Thomas	121
Questioning by Commissioners	134
DR ROB FERREIRA, DR CLARE COUCH	
and MR SEAN MAHONY	
Questioning by Ms McKechnie	144
Questioning by Mr Thomas	149
Questioning by Commissioners	160
OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CATHOLIC BISHOPS	
AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS	166
BISHOP DUNN AND BISHOP LOWE	
Questioning by Ms McKechnie	173
Questioning by Ms Anderson	178
Questioning by Commissioners	208
CARDINAL JOHN DEW, DR PAUL FLANAGAN	
and SISTER SUE FRANCE	
Questioning by Ms McKechnie	212
Questioning by Ms Anderson	217
Questioning by Commissioners	230

## OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CATHOLIC BISHOPS AND CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS

**MS McKECHNIE:** Thank you, ma'am, we'll ensure that they are.

Madam Chair, Commissioners, those listening, we now move to the second part of the evidence from the Catholic Bishops and Congregational Leaders today which I understand the Commission is referring to as the institutional response.

Again, ma'am, Commissioners, you have heard the acknowledgements from the many Catholic witnesses who have given evidence already in the Commission, and you will hear evidence acknowledging and re-committing to those acknowledgements this afternoon.

We are very mindful that this is the last time that members of the Dioceses and Congregations of the Catholic Church will be speaking to you in a public hearing. In closing submissions later this week we will attempt to draw together some of the themes and threads of all of that evidence and the material that you've been provided with. But to provide some context, particularly for those who are listening and are not aware of all the efforts that the Commission is making in the background, I'll briefly summarise.

The Bishops and Congregational Leaders of the Catholic Church in New Zealand have been served with 33 notices to produce documents in evidence. And in response to that they have filed 60 submissions, 14 substantive submissions, like the one I'm giving now, and more than 150,000 historical documents to the Commission. There have been 19

witness statements and 14 briefing papers.

The scale of this response underscores the seriousness with which the Bishops and Congregational Leaders take this Commission and their engagement with it.

As you know, Commissioners, they asked to join this Commission and have engaged as a matter of great seriousness and concentration with your kaupapa.

Much of the evidence that is prepared on behalf of the Catholic Church – and this is evidence you're going to hear this afternoon, Commissioners – is done in the form of briefing papers. I'm going to take a moment to explain those, because they are not a thing that you find in an ordinary court because this is a Commission of Inquiry, and the scope of your terms of reference.

It's a process that is being used where there's no single individual that has sufficient knowledge, or if there was such an individual they're now dead.

So these are prepared by the legal team, Commissioners, from the historical records, sometimes by interviewing a number of people if there are still witnesses alive or individuals who are aware, and from secondary sources.

To prepare this material and the scale of the response, this has been coordinated through the TRT [Te Rōpū Tautoko] process with which Commissioners are familiar.

For the approval process of these documents, across that huge range of our 50 clients, they are usually signed off by the Chair of the TRT Governance Committee. This has been necessary due to the scale of your requests and the timeframes, tight timeframes for responding. As a result, the witnesses who give evidence today can only speak to their memories and their own opinions, as you heard Tim do this morning.

But in many cases, they're not familiar with the historical documents; even if they have seen the historical documents in preparation, they're not familiar with the decisions that those documents record.

So, unavoidably this afternoon, there will be more evidence where they cannot speak to what was in the minds of the decision-makers.

Commissioners, part of the reason for this breadth and complexity is the size of the Church. I was thoughtful in listening to the evidence on Thursday afternoon that there's quite a contrast between the community that gave evidence on Thursday afternoon and the community that is giving evidence today.

10% of the New Zealand population identify as Catholic, 470,000 people. And, of course, it is a global faith of more than a billion.

In New Zealand, Commissioners, the Catholic faith contains many different cultures and ethnic groups and they are all combined in their faith, but the particular nature of their faith often differs, because it is informed and enriched by the ethnic and cultural practices that they bring to the faith.

Within the community there are individuals, such as bishops and congregational leaders, with specific leadership roles and responsibilities, but they're often shared and they're overlapping, and they're simultaneously independent, which the Catholic leaders are very aware makes it very complicated for the Commission.

There are six Dioceses in New Zealand, and more than 60 Congregations have had a role in New Zealand at some point in the history of the Catholic faith here. 39 of them are represented through TRT. They are all independent of each other, and simultaneously part of the same faith.

That means that since 1950, the scope of your terms of reference, there have been 428 unique Catholic parishes, 393 schools and more than 65 care institutions, and as you heard me say this morning, there remain 236 schools, the care institutions now are vastly reduced in scale and there are no care institutions in the classical form still run by entities within the Church.

But given this diversity and complexity, no individual can speak for "the Church". The leaders can speak for their own diocesan and congregational views and they will do that this afternoon.

But there do continue to be collaborative processes and a response to harm, what this Commission is looking at, is actually one of those examples. The Church first came together in the 1990s when the knowledge of this issue began to surface, and A Path to Healing was first promulgated. And over the last 25 years, that process has been refined and improved, and the coordination has continued to improve.

That led to the National Office of Professional Standards being created in 2004 and the National Safeguarding and Professional Standards Committee, perhaps another pithy title for today, Commissioners, was also created in 2004, and Dr Paul Flanagan, who's giving evidence this afternoon, is a member of that Committee. That is a national governance body that has been tasked by the Mixed Commission – which, for those of you who don't hold Catholic structures in your mind every moment, to remind you, is a combination of the New Zealand Catholic Bishops Conference and the Congregational Leaders of Aotearoa and New Zealand (CLANZ) – they come together to have meetings

and make decisions on occasion – they have tasked that Committee with governance of both safeguarding and of professional standards.

So the evidence that you're going to hear today, Commissioners, is necessarily a thin snapshot of all of that diversity. And it's going to focus in three areas, and I'll briefly outline now for you and those listening, what you can expect to hear this afternoon.

And this is in response to what the Commission have requested of the Church.

The first session, Commissioners, is the retired Bishop of Auckland, Bishop Pat Dunn, and the current Bishop of Auckland, Bishop Steve Lowe, they've been asked to give evidence. Bishop Pat retired in February this year after 27 years as the Bishop. That was due to the serious deterioration of his eyesight. As you will see when he's in the witness box, his ability to review material is now essentially almost gone, very sadly.

As a result, he has prepared a short witness statement in relation to a priest you have heard evidence about, Sateki Raass, a former priest from the Tongan chaplaincy in Auckland, and those are the matters he's going to be asked questions about today. He's going to be accompanied by Madeleine Holmes who is a member of our legal team who will sit with him and assist.

Bishop Steve became the Bishop of Auckland on Pat's retirement and he remains the Apostolic Administrator of Hamilton until a new bishop is appointed. Bishop Steve's evidence today focuses on the briefing paper about Immigrant Clergy and Religious and in particular the process for bringing immigrant priests into New Zealand to minister.

Now, Bishop Steve didn't prepare that document, Commissioners. It was predominantly drafted by Ms Gwynn, who was sitting next to me before, by reference to the historical records, but he does have personal experience of sponsoring overseas priests into New Zealand and has recently brought in some strength and changes in that process. He's also familiar with the Religious Workers Visa that Immigration New Zealand have recently brought in and why that was brought in, and he will be answering questions about that.

I'm also conscious, ma'am, that in the afternoon Sue France will be giving evidence and you may have questions for her about the sponsoring of sisters into New Zealand, which is a process she is familiar with.

The second session will be John Dew, the Archbishop of Wellington. He has been asked to give evidence about a recent investigation into the historical actions of Bishop Kavanagh. Bishop Kavanagh was the Bishop of Dunedin, and Kavanagh College on the

hill in Dunedin was named after him. And there were some allegations brought relatively recently, firstly to Bishop Dooley, the Bishop of Dunedin, which were then sent to John, about Bishop Kavanagh's failure to act or alleged failure to act in relation to two men who had been offenders in Dunedin.

As John is the Metropolitan of New Zealand, he's responsible, under the direction of the Vatican, for investigating the actions of Bishops. In this instance, because Bishop Kavanagh is dead, the Vatican did not require this matter to be investigated. However, John decided that it should be, and tasked NOPS with undertaking an independent investigation. He then received the report, and the matter was transferred to Bishop Dooley, and John is here to answer questions about that process.

Having received the report, Bishop Dooley in Dunedin has decided to change the name of the college, and Bishop Dooley is here today and if the Commissioners have questions in relation to that he is happy to accept those.

The final session, Commissioners, is about reflections looking forward and looking back. And this, Commissioners, is in relation to a list of questions that we received, which I understand all the faiths giving evidence this week have broadly received the same questions, thinking about some of the big themes.

Now, Commissioners, as there is no single entity called "the Catholic Church" you're going to hear from three leaders this afternoon and they're going to sit together in the witness box. John Dew is going to give evidence, Sister Sue France, the Congregational Leader of the Sisters of Mercy, is going to give evidence, and Dr Paul Flanagan, who is a lay member of the National Safeguarding and Professional Standards Committee. They are supported by Ms Virginia Noonan, who you know, who is the director of NOPS [National Office for Professional Standards], and by Kevin Shore, and both Virginia and Kevin will be sitting with me and, again, if there are specific questions the witnesses may refer them to their advisors or the Commissioners may have questions.

Commissioners, we're adopting a similar process used in the Crown hearing, where these leaders, particularly Sister Sue and John, are the chief executives, essentially, of very large organisations and they delegate many of these matters. So if these advisors can assist that is why they are here both to help the witnesses and to help the Commission.

In the last few minutes I have of this opening submission, Commissioners, I'd like to emphasise four key features of the evidence you're going to hear this afternoon. The first, and these are all changes currently being made which I anticipate will be of particular

interest to the Commission. The first is that the Path to Healing process is under regular review and as part of the recent evidence that Ms Noonan gave, you may remember that a number of requests were made of her and her office in relation to that. And this is informing the review of a Path to Healing at present, it is currently going out for – I understand it's in the process of consultation from stakeholders at the moment.

Dr Paul Flanagan speaks to some of that in his evidence.

Secondly, Commissioners, as you know from previous statements to you, the Bishops and Congregational Leaders support the independent redress scheme for survivors. And at present they are actively engaging with the Crown Response Unit through TRT supported by a number of the managing -- the general managers of the Dioceses to do that work on behalf of the wider Church, so John Prendergast-- John is General Manager of the Diocese of Wellington as a part of that group -- engaging with how that redress scheme can respond to survivors and what the Church entities can learn from that as it is being stood up.

The Church is very aware that it's not going to be created tomorrow and, accordingly, these processes need to be refined in the meantime as well.

Thirdly, Commissioners, following the release of your report, the Congregational Leaders and Bishops have met and agreed on what they refer to as a road map. There is a copy of that road map on the back of my opening submissions. It's a public document, Commissioners, it's available for anybody to see and review on the TRT website.

Now, the purpose of this is how the Church can respond to the recommendations and themes from you and your report while this Commission continues to be underway. They don't want to wait until your final report and hence have committed to these steps. They focus, Commissioners, on reviewing structures, reducing barriers to disclosures, and improving processes of the Church to be more survivor informed while we wait for your final recommendations and for whatever redress scheme is ultimately created by the Crown.

To be publicly accountable, these are available online and we encourage anybody listening, and the Commission, to engage with those. Bishops and Congregational Leaders want to be held to account.

The fourth theme I'll emphasise in my opening is the importance of transparency.

The Bishops and Congregational Leaders have heard the greater calls for transparency from the Catholic community, from survivors, from the Commission. And as part of that, as the

Commission is aware, during the last four years TRT has undertaken a statistical research project across all the Catholic entities in New Zealand. That had never been done before prior to the Commission. That was looking at all the records that are held of events of harm. Those events are themselves very varied from the most serious to a much more minor,- a swear word or an inappropriate tap on the ear-,-- through to matters of great harm and criminal activity.

The records themselves are very varied. Some of them are full investigation files, some of them are a phone call where somebody rang and said, "I was hurt I want to talk to somebody", and never rang back. We don't know necessarily even who that person was that they were complaining about. But what the Dioceses and Congregations have done is assemble all those records such as they hold them – and they acknowledge that they may have never have been recorded – but such as they hold them, and that information has been publicly released. It, of course, has been provided to the Commission but it also has been published on the TRT website so the community, the Catholic community, the survivor community, the wider community can see that information.

And that is a fundamental commitment that the Bishops and Congregational Leaders took to transparency.

So those are the four themes in terms of the changes that are currently underway. Looking to the future, in the last moments of my opening, Commissioners, as part of the road map, the Church leaders have committed that changes are needed and I will close my opening by just repeating one of the statements from the road map. The leaders have acknowledged that the release of your report is an opportunity to reset the Church's relationship with survivors, to bolster efforts of safeguarding and to re-shape their response to reports of abuse and harm, historical, contemporary and into the future. And I anticipate that you will hear more about that this afternoon.

**CHAIR:** Thank you, Ms McKechnie, gives us a very good outline of where we're going to go once we've had lunch. So thank you very much for that and just to remind everybody, both here and on the livestream, that we will resume again at 1.30.

MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, ma'am.

Lunch adjournment from 12.46 pm to 1.33 pm