ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY DISABILITY, DEAF AND MENTAL HEALTH INSTITUTION HEARING

Under

	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Date:	19 July 2022
Venue:	Level 2 Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry 414 Khyber Pass Road AUCKLAND
Counsel:	Mr Simon Mount QC, Ms Kerryn Beaton QC, Ms Ruth Thomas, Ms Lucy Leadbetter, Mr Michael Thomas and Ms Kathy Basire for the Royal Commission Mr Gregor Allan, Ms Sandra Moore and Mr Vaughan Dodd for the Crown
Royal Commission:	Judge Coral Shaw (Chair) Paul Gibson Julia Steenson
In the matter of	The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in State Care and in the Care of Faith-based Institutions
Under	The Inquiries Act 2013

INDEX

LUSI FAIVA Questioning by Ms Thomas	550
MATTHEW FRANK WHITING	
Questioning by Ms Thomas	556
Questioning by Commissioners	577
LEEANN BARNETT AND SHANNON	
Questioning by Ms Basire	584
Ouestioning by Commissioners	617

1	MS THOMAS: Thank you Madam Chair. The next witness this morning is Matthew Whiting
2	and he is ready to take the affirmation before we begin.
3	CHAIR: Good morning Matthew. Thank you so much for coming, taking an interest in the
4	Commission and being prepared to give your evidence, we really appreciate it. I'll read you
5	the affirmation.
6	MATTHEW FRANK WHITING (Affirmed)
7	MS THOMAS: Good morning, Matthew. Would you like to start with your mihi?
8	MATTHEW: Yes. Ko Makora te maunga, ko Rotokakahi rāua ko Whangape ngā awa, ko Te
9	Rarawa te Iwi, ko Te Uri o Tai te Hapū, ko Taiao te marae, no Ōtautahi ahau, ko Matthew
10	Whiting ahau.
11	CHAIR: Tēnei te mihi ki a koe mō tō pepeha, tēnā koe, tēnā koe.
12	MS THOMAS: Thank you, Matthew. Would you like for Yonel, who is seated next to you, to
13	now read through your statement to the Commissioners?
14	MATTHEW: Yes.
15	MS THOMAS: Then once Yonel has finished reading your statement, we'll take a short break and
16	then I'll ask you some questions and then the Commissioners can ask some questions.
17	MATTHEW: Okay.
18	MS THOMAS: Thank you.
19	YONEL: "My name is Matthew Frank Whiting. I was born 1965. I grew up in Christchurch
20	New Zealand. I work as the Regional Disability Leadership Coordinator for the Southern
21	Region (South Island) and as a Service Manager - Adult Services in Christchurch at CCS
22	Disability Action. I have been working there for almost 21 years. First and foremost, I am
23	a disability activist.
24	My birth mother was Māori and my adoptive family were Pākehā. I was diagnosed
25	with Cerebral Palsy when I was nine months old. I have spastic quadriplegia with a speech
26	impairment. At the early stages of my diagnoses, the specialist believed I had a learnin g
27	impairment as well. At four-and-a-half years old, a child psychologist tested my
28	intelligence and found that I was above average.
29	My birth mother lived in Auckland but I was born in Christchurch. Before I was
30	born, my birth mother had a child who had been whangai'd out. Her father said you can
31	only have one mistake. They were a Catholic family and both pregnancies were out of
32	wedlock. He forced her to adopt me out.
33	When I was two weeks old, my adoptive parents, Bill and Mary, were phoned by a
34	parish priest and asked if they wanted another baby. I don't suppose they were looking for

another as they had already adopted two children, my brother Anthony and my sister Mary-Ann. My understanding is that the adoption was official but it was obviously an unusual approach, especially compared to the system we have now.

When I refer to my mum and dad, I am talking about Bill and Mary. I contacted my birth mother in the late 1980s through an agency I recall being called the Social Welfare Adoption Information Service. They passed a letter from me to her. She said no to meeting me as she was scared of her father finding out. But I persisted and I still wrote to her and rang her on occasions.

I contacted her to let her know that I was going to be in Auckland for a conference. I told her the name of the hotel I was staying in and said, "Now it's up to you." She came and met me. She had caught three buses to get there, which told me that she did really want to come. This was extremely emotional for both of us. My extended family mostly have blonde hair and blue eyes. When I met my birth mother, it was the first time I saw someone who resembled me.

We met around seven years before she passed away. When she died, my half-brother found a letter I had sent her in her belongings and contacted me. We remain connected to this day. I have limited knowledge of my whakapapa.

When I was nine months old, Mum took me to the doctor as it had become clear that I was missing developmental milestones. The doctor told Mum not to make a fuss and that she was overreacting. She wasn't taken seriously at all. Mum asked for a second opinion and I was diagnosed with Cerebral Palsy with a cognitive impairment. She was told that I would live only until I was five years old. This had a huge effect on my mum's mental health. Therefore, she decided that she would not take any photos of me from then onwards.

Another consequence of this, some of my family members and my parents' friends told Mum and Dad to hand me back. You need to remember this was all within the context of the time and the understanding of disability in our society, with its influential history of eugenics. Mum and Dad were told to return me and to get a perfect baby instead.

At four, I had my first taste of institutional life. I have a very hazy memory of being in Templeton. Some professionals said I should go there --

MS THOMAS: Yonel, can I just ask you to speak slightly more slowly? Thank you.

YONEL: Sorry.

"Some professionals said I should go there, so I went there. This ended up being for a few months only, as a psychologist at Templeton said that I had some brains. The psychologist had me go to a special school, the School for Cerebral Palsy in Worcester Street, for further assessment for two weeks to see if I could learn. Obviously that was not an issue.

So at four-and-a-half years old, my diagnosis of learning impairment was found to be wrong. Thank God somebody understood and got it right, because if they didn't, I would have stayed in Templeton. I know many people who were probably as intelligent as I am and who never had the same opportunity to receive a proper diagnosis. They were institutionalised for a long time.

It wasn't that my parents pushed the issue of a more considered diagnosis. I was just fortunate. In those days, doctors had this remarkable power. My parents never said anything against them. Dad was a very perfect man in that regard. He was very compliant. Mum was very smart but she could not read. She never had the opportunity to get an education as she had Rheumatic Fever. Her memory was very good. No-one ever knew that she could not read.

After leaving Templeton, I lived at home. When I was five years old, I had a big operation on my legs to try and make me walk. It didn't work. The operation was a response of the time and illustrates the medical model of disability that persisted.

I stayed in hospital to recuperate for six weeks. One day my bed was moved from the main ward to a side room. I thought my parents would never be able to find me. I had no idea what was happening, no-one explained anything to me. I very clearly remember feeling distressed. Those feelings are very close to the surface for me, even now. That experience was probably the first time I felt traumatised.

Home life was difficult. Mum had mental health issues that were undiagnosed at this stage. She was very angry and screamed a lot. When I was 20 years old, she was diagnosed with schizophrenia and chronic depression. Dad was an alcoholic. His drinking was relatively severe and he was often out of control. I believe he had Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) from his time in Korea.

The combination of the two of them meant that we had a difficult upbringing. I would describe it as significantly dysfunctional. There was a lot of verbal violence between Mum and Dad. At least once every day someone would go off. There was also violence between my siblings and my parents, and between my siblings.

I don't think my parents were equipped to raise me - to- raise children. My sister Mary--Ann was forced to take care of me and took me everywhere with her. She resented

this as a teenager and a young woman. It has caused difficulty in our relationship with each other, in the past.

Mum had her first breakdown when I was seven. She was placed in the Ferguson Clinic at Sunnyside Hospital. I was taken there to see her once. She was in a small, square, fully padded room and knocked out on drugs. I remember it so well. I didn't understand what was happening. Seeing my mother in there was the next time I remember being traumatised. I was diagnosed with PTSD in 2018. It was those two incidents that were the beginning of my trauma and led to the PTSD.

When Mum was at Sunnyside, it was decided by the doctors and professionals working with our family that I would go into an IHC family home.

At only seven years old, I was removed from home. I don't have a learning impairment but the IHC family home was the only place for me to go. I believe I was considered too much hard work for Dad to manage. Also, at that time it was expected that children with sufficient impairments would not live at home.

I lived there with five or six other children. I was taken care of and Mrs was nice to me but I hated it because it wasn't home. On the weekends, I got to go back home and see Dad and my siblings. I really wanted to stay there.

When I talk about being taken away from my home at only seven years old, I feel very upset. It really affected me and it still does today. I was just a kid wanting to be at home. Nobody had any idea about how I felt. There was no discussion about it or what I wanted. I just had to stuff down those feelings.

I lived at the IHC family home for at least six months, probably longer, until Mum came home again. I then lived at home with my family until I was 10 years old, and I attended the School for the Physically Disabled, formerly known as the School for Cerebral Palsy."

CHAIR: Yonel, if you just pause for a moment.

Are you okay to carry on, Matthew?

MATTHEW: Yes.

CHAIR: You're very brave. I'm sorry this is so hurtful for you in bringing back some bad memories, isn't it, yeah. We're happy to take a short break if you would like us to?

MATTHEW: No.

CHAIR: Okay.

MATTHEW: Yeah.

CHAIR: All right, thank you very much. Thanks, Yonel.

YONEL: "When I wasn't at my school because of holidays, I went to an IHC school. It was very boring. At seven years old, I was in the top class. I was in class with teenagers and young adults who had a learning disability.

In 1976, I moved into a CCS family home. At 10 years old I was told I couldn't live at home. Not many people would go against what doctors said at that time. You trusted them and went with their recommendations. A medical model of disability dominated. My parents were the same. I was only ten, but it was not hard to pick up on the idea that it was my impairments that were to blame for our family's difficulties. I was the only one who had to leave at that stage. My brother and sister stayed at home for that moment. I'm not stupid, what else can you take from that? The theory was that if we take Matt away everything will be hunky-dory for the family.

The files I have received from CCS do not include anything about my placements at CCS family homes. This is because these files are missing. I physically burnt the bastards sometime in the 1990s to assist with my anger.

From my recollection, I lived with a Dutch couple with two children of their own at a house owned by CCS. They took in children with disabilities who needed somewhere to live. There were four children, including myself, who lived there. The couple were quite strict, and very particular.

I got pneumonia while I was living there and became very unwell. The couple thought I just had a cold and that I was getting better. They didn't recognise the seriousness of the illness and I got worse. When they finally took me to a doctor, he said if I hadn't gone in that day, I potentially could have died. I was off school and recuperating in bed for eight weeks.

I kept attending the School for the Physically Disabled while I lived at the CCS family home. I wasn't being taught to my abilities, the teacher used to try and keep the whole class together despite there being a wide range of impairment. As an example, when I was 12 I was doing a level of work that was for eight-year-olds.

The Dutch couple decided not to carry on with having the family home. They indicated they needed to exit, and so I had to exit. I was not told about the plan to close the family home. At 11 years old, I was told I was going on "holiday" to Pukeora in Central Hawke's Bay.

Whoever was making these decisions, the doctors and professionals, advised Mum and Dad not to tell me what the plan was and to let me think it was a holiday. Nobody asked me what I wanted. In reality, it was a seven-week trial visit to see if I could cope

with living there permanently. It was my first ever time leaving Christchurch. I was alone. I didn't know one person. I was disempowered.

Hawke's Bay District Health Board have said they hold no files from my time at Pukeora. I can say that I am disappointed to not have any records but I am not surprised.

It was an institution and you did what staff told you to do. It was impersonal. That's how you run institutions; power and control. The staff had huge issues with this, some people when they have that power, they abuse it. Pukeora was power and control every day. There were over 100 people there and it was mainly adults. I believe that I was the youngest. I was very far from home and very naive.

The whole time I was in Pukeora, I was terrified. I felt like I was being controlled all the time. I needed to conform. The medical system and structure that Pukeora was operating within is a hierarchical system. Other residents would come up to me and try and intimidate me. The staff wouldn't notice. When you're institutionalised, this is what happens. The power and control issues start emerging in the people who live there. It was like sending someone to prison at 11 years old.

One day I went to go to my bed. We didn't have our own rooms, we were in dormitories. I went in and came across two guys performing oral sex on each other. They were probably in their early 20s. I didn't understand what was going on. I didn't have any experience to reference that to. What put me over the edge, it created pure fear in me, was what happened afterwards.

I was in the bathroom when they cornered me."

MS THOMAS: Sorry, Yonel, if we can just take a wee pause. Perhaps even if the

Commissioners would take a few moments.

CHAIR: Yes, we will. Let us know when you're ready to come back.

MS THOMAS: Thank you.

Adjournment from 11.16 am to 11.20 am

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms Thomas.

MS THOMAS: Thank you, Matthew.

Yonel, if you could keep reading Matthew's statement.

YONEL: "One day I went to go to my bed, we didn't have our own rooms, we were in dormitories. I went in and came across two guys performing oral sex on each other. They were probably in their early 20s. I didn't understand what was going on. I didn't have any experience to reference that to. What put me over the edge and created pure fear in me was what happened afterwards.

I was in the bathroom when they cornered me. I was bailed up by these two men and threatened. They said if I ever told anybody about what I saw, they would smash my fucking head in. I was very frightened. I couldn't physically defend myself. I did not have a power chair at the time and I was physically cornered. I could push myself in the chair I had at the time in a limited way. I was stuck there unable to go anywhere. I was 11 and I had no fucking idea what had happened.

To cope, I just withdrew from everyone and went within myself. I was so scared, the last thing I wanted to do was speak to anybody about it. I absolutely believe that what they threatened was true. I have no idea if the staff noticed my behaviour. I had nobody to talk to.

These two men threatened me on multiple occasions. I felt completely vulnerable and powerless. I remember wondering if this was something I had to submit to. I told my mum about it several years later. It became too much to keep inside. I remember that she gave me a hug. Mum believed me.

It was the absolute powerlessness I experienced in the toilets that really traumatised me, more so than the sexual stuff. I had no support. For those two men, their behaviour was reflective of the culture of the environment we were in.

I was placed in a room by a staff member and the door was shut and I couldn't get out. I couldn't move about within the room as I found it hard to freely move my wheelchair on my own. I have a very vague memory of this and I can't remember for how long I was in there or if it happened more than once. But I very strongly remember how I felt when I was in there. I saw this happen to other people who were living at Pukeora too. It was always for punishment.

After my seven-week trial at Pukeora, I returned to Christchurch and lived at the CCS house. The professionals were trying to find a permanent place for me to live. The next year, that CCS family home closed for Christmas, so I had to be put somewhere. 20 December 1978, I got institutionalised. I was sent to Burwood as an emergency admission. I was 13 years old.

Burwood Hospital was operated by Canterbury District Health Board and is in the north-eastern suburbs of Christchurch. I was put in ward nine, which was meant to be for younger people and people with muscular issues. There were also people with brain injuries there. Obviously sometimes people with brain injuries can have resultant behavioural issues, but when you're 13, you don't understand that, it's just scary.

When I was sent to Burwood, I never got told what their plan was. What happened was that a social worker came out from CCS to Burwood just before Christmas and took me for a drive. She told me that I was going to go back to Pukeora to live in two weeks' time. The initial plan had been to just hold me in Burwood before they sent me up to Pukeora permanently. I wasn't asked what I wanted or where I wanted to live. There was no choice. There were no discussions about me staying at home.

There was no way that I wanted to go back to Pukeora. I said, "There is no fucking way I am going there, I'd rather kill myself." I cried for several days. I guess people wondered why I was so upset but the staff didn't stop and ask me what was going on. I didn't have anyone in my life that I could talk to about Pukeora or why I didn't want to go back.

Dad negotiated with the charge nurse about giving me more time at Burwood. The thinking was that I would come around to the idea if given a bit more time and be calm enough to get on the flight. In the end, the charge nurse agreed that I could stay for one month more. Then it was extended by another two weeks. They thought I would get over it.

I was so upset at the idea of going that my parents discussed selling up in Christchurch and moving up to Napier to be closer to me. I kept swearing my head off. I said it so many times, "I'm not fucking going." My parents said that I had to go because that was what the professionals thought would be best for me.

This cycle continued on and about ten weeks passed. The charge nurse asked if I wanted to stay at Burwood. "If you could stay here, would you be happy?" I said yes, if only because it was near my family. Even though my family is in chaos, it was my family that loved.

This started my imprisonment for eight years at Burwood Hospital. I didn't use that word lightly. Burwood had the same hierarchical system as prison, but in the health system, it is just that there were no bars. If I wasn't so fucking pig-headed, I would have been sent back to Pukeora. Looking back, I think I did quite well in standing up for myself.

There were only one or two other people my age at Burwood. I had no cho ice in the time I went to bed or the food I ate or when I was washed. I was living day after day in a system of power and control. In the dormitories on the wards, there were six beds on each side, so 12 people in total. They were mainly adults. I had my own things but no place of my own to put things. There was no privacy. I could hear grown men jacking off at night.

I would go home on the weekends. Home was an upset environment with Mum and

Dad always arguing. But it was still better than Burwood. I was dropped back on Sunday night. I hated that drive, knowing I was going back to Burwood. Even now, when I'm driving around my home and go on that same route, it is a reminder of being that little boy and those feelings. Dad knew I was upset, but if I talked to him he'd say, "What are you moaning about? You've got food and shelter, what's wrong?" He really believed Burwood was the best place for me.

When I was older, I was lucky to have a single room. This is because I was doing fifth and sixth form at the time. When someone in the dormitory was unwell or dying I used to get moved out from the room, then I would get moved back in after the person got well or had died.

It's a really hard thing to explain to people how you survive when you live in a hierarchical system. I used to play *The Bitch is Back* by Elton John on my ghetto blaster when a certain nurse came on duty. I did it on purpose. Everyone knew but her.

Another thing I used to do was go into the lifts and when they were halfway between floors, I would open the door and force the lift to stop. I'd hide in there so no-one could get me. This was important to me, it was somewhere to go when I needed personal space. From the lift, I could hear my name being called out over the loud speaker, "Matthew Whiting, please return to ward nine." This really fucked that particular nurse off and the more she got wound up, the more I did it. It was a way to take my power back.

I remember being pushed around in my manual wheelchair to places I didn't want to go. When you can't move yourself, you don't have a choice. The way that staff members wanted things done, that was the way it was done. There is a massive power imbalance in the systemic way we organise places like prisons, hospitals and residential care, and that was the case in Burwood too. There was very unequal power and no watchdog. There is always going to be people who love to have power over people and then some really weird shit that happens.

When I was 15, a nurse at Burwood Hospital befriended me. She felt sorry for me and she led me on letting me think that we could be a thing. She did some sexual kissing and touching with me. I didn't have a choice in what was happening. This went on for about a year. I felt really conflicted. It felt nice at the time but she also wasn't my girlfriend, she was a staff member. It was very secretive. It took me being an adult and looking back to realise that she was taking advantage of me and that it was abusive. I've

spoken with my counsellor about this and it took a long time for me to understand it as abuse.

When I was 20, a different nurse came on to me. I responded by putting my hand up her skirt and touching her. She went to the charge nurse and said that I had tried it on her. Fortunately, the charge nurse knew me enough to come to me and ask me what happened. It was lucky that she was on shift that day and she understood. But I was still the one who got told off. The charge nurse did have a conversation with that particular nurse and she didn't try it on with me again.

These are just two examples of many power abuses around sexuality that I experienced at Burwood. My realisations as an adult of these experiences as abuse has been really upsetting.

We didn't have choice in the food we ate. One meal time I didn't want to eat what we were given -- what they were giving me, so I refused it. A nurse got behind me, she held my nose and shoved the food down my throat. I was using a power chair at the time. I backed it up to the wall so she was caught between the wall and my chair to try and stop her force-feeding me. She put my chair into manual, which meant I couldn't move it myself. It was easy for her to do things like that because she was in charge of the ward I was on.

A staff member put me into a room in Burwood and locked me in there. I couldn't leave. I have no idea of the length of time I spent in there but my guess is that I was in there because I hadn't been compliant beforehand. This happened more than once. I saw this same punishment happen to other people.

My education changed when Glenda Laurence started teaching at the School for Physically Disabled. I was around 13 years old. I was given an opportunity again, just like when someone took the time to properly assess my academic ability. I know so many other people who had had the same experience within education but were never given the same opportunity I was.

Glenda became a key person in my life and still is today. She had never taught disabled people before and so she never had the limited thoughts and perceptions about teaching disabled people. She didn't lump in everybody's abilities, instead she had expectations of what ordinary children would do. I had met a person who could believe in me. I became excited to learn, by learning. I wanted more and more and more. I loved mathematics. In about four months of being taught by her, I went up five year-levels.

It was like she placed all these dots – she introduced me to the civil rights movement and exposed me to ideas such as social justice, discrimination and being a strong advocate. Then as an adult I connected those dots. I had a very conservative father and a Catholic family, but Glenda was not a traditionalist or conservative at all.

Glenda then asked me a question when I was about 14 years old. She said, "What do you really want?" And I said that I wanted to go to a normal school.

It took so much advocacy to get there. It was not easy. Glenda and a psychologist attached to the School for the Physically Disabled were on board. Glenda made it her own school project, even writing to the Ministry of Education. But 14-year-old me, I really advocated for myself. It came from within me. Finally, I went to Hagley High School in central Christchurch. I attended Hagley for three days a week and the School for the Physically Disabled for two days a week.

It was unusual for me to even be at Hagley High. At first, I was placed in a low stream, but this didn't last long and I was moved up. Within a couple of months, I was in the highest stream. I was pleased to be there and to get challenging work. I got involved with the classes. I got respect because of my academic ability and I finished school with Sixth Form Certificate and University Entrance.

There was not much time for socialising or making friends. I used to get picked up from Burwood by a van operated by the Hospital Board and taken to school, and then taken straight away at the end of the day. I thought this was shit, but that was the deal. This was all before teacher aides. If I could have had had a teacher aide at Hagley High, it might have made a difference. I needed more support in the classroom. I don't think this has changed much as my school experience is the same as what happens today for a lot of disabled children. The student needs support and so do other students and the teachers. They also needed guidance when it comes to supporting this. It has to be a wrap-around support.

When I decided to leave Burwood, it wasn't people coming to me and assisting and doing the organising. It was me saying I'm going to leave.

I met a young social worker from CCS Disability Action at Burwood when I was 19 years old. She was pretty naïve and new to working with disabled people. She asked me what I wanted in the future. I said to leave Burwood. I kept on moaning about Burwood and how unfair it was. It was all I could talk about.

I had a really sucky year with my family and I was in a place where I wanted to hurt myself. I decided to kill myself. I had planned it all out. I had followed through with the

plan. Nobody knew. I didn't tell anybody about it for years and years. This was an important moment, even though I was not okay, it was an important part of me taking my path back.

About a year later, the social worker challenged me. Instead of responding to my complaining by going ,"Oh poor Matt, never mind." She said things like, "If you're so angry about being here, why don't you do something? Stop complaining. I don't want to hear it anymore, I just want to see some action."

This surprised me as no-one had ever spoken to me like this before. I took this as a challenge. I was and still am very grateful with her approach. I regard this as setting me free. Thank you, Allison.

It took me about two years to get organised, but I did it. I moved out of Burwood at 21 years old.

I didn't have much support from my parents or the staff at Burwood about moving out. When I raised it with Dad he said, "Don't be stupid, just stay there, what's wrong with it?" It became quite a contentious issue, so I cut off all communications with my parents about moving out. I continued regardless.

I'll always remember the phone call I then made to my parents to say I was moving out within the month and telling them my new address. Dad stopped talking to me for around two months. I think Dad was really scared. He had been told that I would need to live in Burwood until I died. I was also Catholic and moving in with my girlfriend.

My girlfriend was a nurse from Burwood. She moved into the state house with me. We had begun a relationship nine months earlier. Now I can see that this was a really unhealthy relationship. Some staff thought I was being stupid. They said to me, "How can you move out when you can't dress yourself, when you can't talk yourself, when you can't do anything?"

I moved out of Burwood with no care and only my girlfriend, a bed and a stereo. It came down to me being bloody pig-headed.

The placement in Pukeora and the abuse I experienced there, and then being told I was going to be placed there permanently, had a huge impact on family relationships, particularly with my mum and dad. It caused distrust. For me, it completely broke down our relationship. As an 11-year-old kid, from a child's perspective I thought it was my mum and dad sending me away. This has had a huge impact on the rest of my life. This sense of distrust has been with me for a long time.

I did a presentation once about supported living and one of the cool things about it was that Dad came along. I used my own story in the presentation. I had applied for my files and used them in there as well. Dad got up and said he was wrong; publicly. I didn't lose it at the presentation but it was a huge moment for me. I was in my thirties before I forgave my parents and came to see that what had happened was a system issue, not a rejection by my parents.

Over time, our relationship repaired and Dad came along to my wedding in November 2013. He was pleased to be there and see me get married. I was glad he got to see that before he died in November 2015.

When you're disabled and with a speech impairment everybody thinks you're dumb. After I got university entrance, I really wanted to have a job. I was repeatedly told that I could not work as I was too disabled. I was 36 before I got my first permanent role. Before that I set up my own business and did short-term contracts as I didn't want to be unemployed. I ran a care agency business with another disabled person.

I had applied for a job at CCS Disability Action 18 times over 15 years. For one application in 1999, I had an interview and I was asked different questions from the other applicants. I was interviewed by a different interview panel. They asked me questions like, "With your speech, how can you communicate?" I didn't take shit from them. I ended up taking them to the Human Rights Commission. They asked discriminatory questions within a discriminatory process. CCS didn't contest it at all. Two years later, I finally got a job with them and I am still there.

It is hard work to constantly be fighting back. Every day it's hard work. I get fucked over by systems, by individuals. I'm treated like a second-class citizen every day. On the bus, at the shops, at work, I'm treated like I can't do it, like I can't talk. I am put down.

Every single day, my sense of self is affected. Every day this is underlined. It has a cumulative effect and it sends me straight back to being bailed up in that toilet at Pukeora. Every day, I have to draw on strength. Every day, I have to hold on to my sense of self. Every single day. But when you underestimate me, there's trouble. It gives me an advantage.

When I get stressed now, I revert to being institutionalised, even though it has been a long time. I had said to myself: no-one will ever control me again. That resolution has turned out to be good and bad. It has been really destructive for me as well as positive. I

have been determined to not let anybody shut me up anymore, which has created some issues at work.

I get into a place where I can't back down, which isn't helpful. In situations where I feel powerless, I respond with immense distress. It is completely overwhelming. This is the reality of living with PTSD as a disabled person.

An example is fairly recently where a more senior person at work decided to target me. It was workplace bullying. This person thought I was doing unsafe practices at work when in fact I know I wasn't. I believe I was targeted because this person thought I was vulnerable, an easy target, and they had a bias towards disabled people. I was also outspoken and needed to have a lesson taught. They had an opportunity presented to them to accuse me of failing in my duty of care for someone I was supporting. That was personal because of my strong sense of my duty of care. All the other crap I could deal with, but I couldn't deal with that accusation. For me, I was triggered.

I was stood down for nearly six months. I had to obtain legal representation as I wasn't in the union at the time. I was prepared to go to the Human Rights Commission again. There were so many holes in this person's story. I stood up for myself, was supported, got my role back.

This person left. Were they pushed or go on their own accord? Who knows? But it took me back to the same place as institutionalisation, feeling disempowered. It pushed a lot of buttons and distressed me severely. It was not good for me at all.

I have had a supported assessment in 2019, and an ACC impairment assessment in 2020 as part of my sensitive claim with ACC. I had two assessments done as ACC decided to challenge the first. My impression is that this ACC process was more about how much damage you could display. It looks at you based on a deficit model.

I am quite privileged in that I have never had some of the impacts that abuse has on people, such as alcohol or drug abuse. I can function at a high level by compartmentalising situations and I can continue to work. But it was as though I had to prove the impact on my life of the sexual abuse before I could get compensation. This is a narrow definition of my abuse and the impact it had and continues to have on my life. It needs to change.

Within this process, responsibility for the abuse does not get attributed.

Responsibility lies with the Burwood Hospital Board. This ACC process was also only for the sexual offending against me. There is a gap for the rest of the abuse. When you have been institutionalised, it is impossible to separate out different forms of abuse. It's like

trying to separate your grey hair and your natural hair, you can't. I don't think the ACC process can understand institutional abuse.

As a result of these assessments, I receive \$4000 every five years to compensate for the apparent 10 per cent impairment I have from PTSD due to sexual abuse in care. I also receive counselling.

The Health and Disability Commission is medically orientated --

Q. Sorry, Yonel, I think you've missed para 4.5.

YONEL: Sorry.

"If you just look at eight years of imprisonment and living under power, what would somebody get for eight years for false imprisonment? It was even before then. Every day I got up, I wasn't at home, I was forced from home at 10 years old. How many kids leave home at 10 years old?

CCS used to stand for Crippled Children's Society, which in the context of the time it was named, this was a proper name to give. It is now known as CCS Disability Action. It is the same company I work for now. I chose to work in this field to make a difference for other people. I would like to have "CCS" removed from the official name. The problem is that when it comes to bequests and donations, people won't know who to give the money to.

I don't want this Commission to be a talk fest. There is so much money being spent on it, political stuff and the election cycle always have an impact on any advocacy too. My concern is that there will be no systemic action and all of this will be for another report that will sit on a shelf.

You can see how much this takes out of people in what we are doing in speaking to the Commission. The Commission's expectation of an outcome needs to be systemic and sustainable change.

We must change the way we think about support and the way we provide support as a country or nothing will change. Systemic change must address the imbalance of power. The system sets it up, it needs this and lets it happen. It does not safeguard against it.

We operate on an hierarchical system which leads to an abuse of power. Within the system, there are two levels of power. It is in its hierarchical format and also an individual's power over another person. Both of these aspects of power are abused. By its very nature, there is built-in power differential, this is how institutions work.

Some people, when they have power, they don't understand this. They don't recognise they have it. Some people do recognise this and they abuse it. Often the biggest oppressors are those who are oppressed themselves.

There must be a way of providing support without taking power away from people. We must get the power closer to the individual and teach people about recognising power and control.

As a disability service coordinator, I had power over people, but I understood that I had that.

A watchdog would even the power differential. It would also orientate the care of disabled people to a more customer-service model. We need to stop creating systems and start focusing on services.

It is essential that we learn from history. The current abuse and neglect endured by disabled people is a result of systemic issues. We need to learn how to stop damage before it happens. If reforming the system, it is not about ticking boxes, it must be genuine. The current system is tick box. We still have narrow systemic thinking. If you can't fit here, then you go there.

There are not many safeguards in place. The only ones we have are contractual. There is a severe lack of resources in the disability sector and it is significantly under-funded. The Disability Commissioner doesn't have enough power.

The Health and Disability Commission is medically orientated. The Ombudsman has huge financial pressure and a lack of resources. Residential homes are mini-institutions. There are not big institutions any longer, just smaller ones. While audits occur, they are scheduled and are only every two to three years. This is not regular enough. The auditors only see the good days.

Everyone should have control over their own belongings and the support they need to live independently. Some people might need a lot more support than others.

Maybe what we need is a Truth Commission, like what happened in South Africa with apartheid. It would hold organisations and the government to account and ensure that fault is found. It would make a finding that someone or an organisation is liable. It would seek out and go speak to people.

This Commission isn't that type of Commission. My account is being heard only because I rang the 0800 number and put myself forward. This Commission has been called for by the disabled community for years and years. And for years and years, the government said no. Not only National, it was right across the board, it was no.

1	The disabled community that the Commission wants to tap into, they're often
2	disempowered and often they don't have a voice. Often, they're still being controlled
3	because they live in residential care.
4	For all these reasons, even though it is painful to deal with, I wanted to speak out.
5	have the ability to do so and I have the responsibility to do this for everyone. I would like
6	to finish my statement with this quote from Burton Blatt.
7	"We need to empty the institutions. The quicker we accomplish that goal, the
8	quicker we will be able to repair the damage done to generations of innocent inmates. The
9	quicker we set about converting our ideologies and resources to a community model, the
10	quicker we will learn how to forget what we perpetrated in the name of humanity."
11	MS THOMAS: Thank you, Yonel. Thank you, Matt. Shall we just take a very short break now
12	for the Commissioners to adjourn briefly and then we'll start with some questions? Thank
13	you.
14	Adjournment from 11.56am to 12.00pm
15	MS THOMAS: Matt, if you're all right with this, I'm going to just ask you some questions about
16	some topics.
17	MATTHEW: No I'm joking.
18	MS THOMAS: Okay. I knew you'd do that to me. So, one thing that we've discussed previously
19	is about the impact on your life as an 11-year-old child when professionals told your
20	parents to send you back to Pukeora, and your parents did not tell you that you were being
21	held at Burwood until there was a bed available for you at Pukeora. Can you talk to the
22	Commissioners about the impact that has had on your life?
23	MATTHEW: For me, it destroyed – I find it really hard to trust people.
24	MS THOMAS: You find it really hard to trust people?
25	MATTHEW: Yeah, and also it made my relationship with my parents for about 25 years,
26	I hated even though we got on, on the surface and I got my father's love around cricket,
27	and we could talk on the surface, but never really talked about my real distrust with people,
28	and that includes my wife. And I only have a very small group of, a very small group of
29	friends, because of that betrayal of trust. At 11 years old, you expect your parents to be
30	trustworthy and I don't blame my parents now because I realise it was a systemic issue of
31	the time I grew up. But it took 25 years of my hate.
32	MS THOMAS: So, at the time you felt betrayed and you lost trust in people, but now
33	MATTHEW: Completely lost trust in any people, so it meant I grew up I had to parent myself
34	because the only person I trusted is me.

- **MS THOMAS:** So, the only person you trusted was yourself.
- **MATTHEW:** Even that was marginal at times.
- **MS THOMAS:** Even that was marginal.
- 4 Matt, we also talked about the promise that you made to yourself as a result of your
- 5 experiences in state care. You promised yourself that no-one would ever control you again,
- and you've said that this has caused you "some shit", I think in your words, and it's also
- been a good thing. Would you like to talk to the Commissioners about that promise you
- 8 made to yourself?
- **MATTHEW:** At times it really destroys my relationships because I felt I'm a fighter and I didn't
- take any prisoners.
- **MS THOMAS:** So, at times you said you were a fighter and you don't take any prisoners.
- **MATTHEW:** Yes.
- **MS THOMAS:** So, sometimes that's been awkward?
- **MATTHEW:** Yes, and sometimes it's unnecessary.
- **MS THOMAS:** Sometimes it's unnecessary.
- **MATTHEW:** Yeah, but for me it's hard to pull back.
- **MS THOMAS:** It's hard to pull back.
- **MATTHEW:** Other times, for example, the incident at work I really needed to fight and that was
- a really good thing.
- **MS THOMAS:** So, the incident that happened at work that you talked about in your statement,
- 21 you really needed to fight for your rights there and that was a really good thing.
- **MATTHEW:** Yeah. But it's hard to balance it. And it takes a toll on me.
- **MS THOMAS:** Sorry, I missed that bit -- it takes a toll?
- **MATTHEW:** Yeah. Because when you're in fight or flight mode it is emotionally,
- 25 psychologically, it takes a toll on me.
- **MS THOMAS:** Yeah, when you're in fight or flight mode it emotionally takes a big toll.
- **MATTHEW:** Yeah.
- MS THOMAS: Matt, are you okay if we put up a photo on the screen?
- **MATTHEW:** Hell yeah.
- **MS THOMAS:** Okay. Let's put that up now and then we'll talk about this. Can you tell us, what
- does this photograph show?
- **MATTHEW:** For me, it shows eight years of institutionalised living from 13 until I was 21.
- **MS THOMAS:** Right.

1	MATTHEW: And in that photo you can see the hospital ward. I actually lived on the other side
2	of the fence, but it was the same design. They pulled the ward down and I knew they were
3	pulling the ward down, so I snuck in and took a photo before they pulled the ward down b
4	because for me, it was really important to have the memory. Even though it was a shit hole
5	but it's an important memory from my past, so that's why I got someone to drive in and we
6	took the photo and never got caught.
7	MS THOMAS: Right, so this is a photograph of Burwood and you snuck in to take this before

- they pulled it down. 8
- MATTHEW: Yeah. 9
- **MS THOMAS:** Even though it was a shit hole, I think you said, you wanted this photograph as 10 part of your memory of this. And I think you said that where you spent your eight years in 11 this place, which you described as a prison, was just over the fence that we can see in that 12 photo. 13
- MATTHEW: Yeah, yes. 14
- 15 **MS THOMAS:** You've said in your statement that Burwood was like a prison.
- MATTHEW: Hell yeah. 16
- **MS THOMAS:** Is there anything more you'd like to add on that? 17
- 18 **MATTHEW:** I'm going to take a few seconds.
- MS THOMAS: Sure. 19
- 20 **MATTHEW:** When the institution is run a very hierarchical system, it means the power goes up and then and-- when you've got disempowered people, it often attracts people who have 21 low self-esteem and they use their power over people, -although I-- don't say the word, but 22 I'm going to have a go - nar- -- can someone help me? 23
- **MS THOMAS:** Can we help you with? 24
- 25 **MATTHEW:** Narciss --
- MS THOMAS: Oh, narcissistic. 26
- **MATTHEW:** And it really attracts people to work there, I was here yesterday in the public 27
- gallery and it came back there was some narcissism around people's evidence. 28
- MS THOMAS: So, when you were watching in the public gallery this reminded you of some of 29
- the power and control and some narcissism came through some of the themes in the 30
- evidence. 31
- MATTHEW: Yes. 32
- MS THOMAS: Right. You've also talked to me about Burwood as being a place where there was 33
- a loss of personhood for you when you were in there for eight years. 34

- 1 MATTHEW: Completely, my personhood, it was dehumanising. For example, I had
- 2 suppositories three times a week to control my bowels.
- 3 **MS THOMAS:** So, you had suppositories three times a week --
- 4 **MATTHEW:** Yeah.
- 5 **MS THOMAS:** -- to control your bowels.
- 6 **MATTHEW:** Yeah, and even though it is hidden behind medical reasons, my belief is that it is
- control and power issue around people's bowel movements. When I first moved out of
- hospital, the first thing I did was not have suppositories and, it's too much information, it
- took me 10 days to have a bowel motion, but for me it was me taking back control of my
- own body and even this morning when Lusi talked about the same sort of issues.
- 11 **MS THOMAS:** You took control back of your own body.
- 12 **MATTHEW:** Yeah.
- 13 **MS THOMAS:** And even this morning when Lusi talked about those exact same issues.
- 14 **MATTHEW:** Yeah.
- 15 **MS THOMAS:** If we could take that photograph down now. There's another topic that I'd like to
- talk to you about, Matt, and it's in your statement. You've said that every day it's hard work,
- you've said every day you are fighting against the systems and the attitudes. Could you talk
- to us about some examples of that that you experience every day.
- 19 **MATTHEW:** If I go to the supermarket -- before- I do, I was having breakfast at the hotel
- 20 yesterday and the waiter was talking to the people I was with instead of asking me what
- I wanted. And even though it's an everyday experience with disabled people, it's a societal
- issue that we need to address.
- 23 **MS THOMAS:** So, it's a societal issue that we need to address.
- 24 MATTHEW: Yeah.
- 25 **MS THOMAS:** Because, for example, you said just yesterday at the hotel the waiter spoke to the
- 26 people that you were with and didn't actually --
- 27 **MATTHEW:** Yeah.
- 28 **MS THOMAS:** -- speak to you about what you would like to eat.
- 29 **MATTHEW:** Yeah.
- 30 **MS THOMAS:** And is there an example you spoke to us about last night, just a common
- occurrence getting on the bus to get to your job, for example.
- 32 **MATTHEW:** I got asked what day service I was going to.
- 33 **MS THOMAS:** So, you were asked what daily service you were going to?
- 34 MATTHEW: No.

- **MS THOMAS:** Day service, sorry.
- **MATTHEW:** Yeah.
- **MS THOMAS:** How did you respond to that?
- **MATTHEW:** For once, I never swore.
- **MS THOMAS:** You didn't swear.
- **MATTHEW:** No. For once I just moved on.
- **MS THOMAS:** Right.
- **MATTHEW:** And we're talking about ableism here.
- **MS THOMAS:** We're talking about what, sorry?
- **MATTHEW:** Ableism.
- **MS THOMAS:** Ableism.
- **MATTHEW:** Yeah, and I believe part of the issue with abuse in care, there is a lot of ableism.
- For example, if you understand the history of disabled people, it started in the mid -1800s
- when we had the industrial revolution, before that disabled people were quite included.
- **MS THOMAS:** So, you're saying before Europeans came to New Zealand, oh and --the industrial
- revolution, before that disabled people were included.
- **MATTHEW:** Mostly.
- **MS THOMAS:** And then things have changed.
- **MATTHEW:** And then we had -- there were --
- **MS THOMAS:** Eugenics?
- **MATTHEW:** Yeah. We've still got eugenics working and it works in the disability sector today.
- **MS THOMAS:** Eugenics is still present today.
- **MATTHEW:** For example, women get a scan to prevent an abnormal baby's birth, even though
- 24 it's not a simple argument because there's all sorts of arguments around people's rights
- around their own body. What I'm talking about, is if you take a disability perspective.
- MS THOMAS: So, it's not a simple argument, but what you're talking about is making sure
- 27 people have a disability perspective as part of that information.
- **MATTHEW:** Yeah.
- 29 MS THOMAS: My final topic that I think you would like to -- that we discussed that you would
- like to talk a bit more about with the Commissioners was power and control. So, that's a
- 31 theme that's throughout all of your statement.
- **MATTHEW:** I've been here, I've been here all day yesterday, and power and control, everyone
- talked about power and control and I don't think it's a simple issue to fix -- because why
- would we spend millions of dollars talking about it in this room? I think it's a complex

issue. I don't think -- unfortunately, we've got the same situations we had from 1950 to 199— today and unfortunately, we haven't talked about the elephant in the room. And my hope one day we will be brave enough to talk about the elephant in the room, because — sorry, Coral, it's my turn, -- because I think some people think it's in the past. I work in the sector and we have the same levers working.

And for me, I think we often try and fool ourselves about that's in the past and we're talking -- in this Commission we're talking about 1950 to 1999 and it's all over, and from my perspective it's not all over. I think we understand it more, but I don't think we stop it. Although -- I think we try and gloss over it because it's a lot easier to do that than really talk about the shit.

- **MS THOMAS:** You've said sometimes we try and gloss over the situations which, we're not talking about the elephant in the room, which is the power and control, that we've heard about from 1950 to 1999 and continues today.
- **MATTHEW:** Yes.

- **MS THOMAS:** And it's still happening in the situations.
- **MATTHEW:** Absolutely.
- **MS THOMAS:** Thank you, Matthew. I'm going to see if the Commissioners have any questions for you as well.
- COMMISSIONER STEENSON: Tēnā koe, Matthew, thank you for your statement. You're a very strong soul and it's a very powerful statement. You talk about the control and power and powerlessness in unsafe situations, and you've given us a lot around those -- a lot of examples from when you were a child put into those unsafe, powerless situations. So, I'm interested to know how you think that dynamic of power and control might be brought into balance, particularly for children and safety.
- MATTHEW: It is a very complex situation. I don't think we have any idea of how completely obviously people need support to live their lives and obviously, on the whole, even though it's changing a wee bit, we still have a hierarchical system that we work with, even though we're trying to change it through EGL, system transformation and better training for staff.

 But it is very complex and I don't think we will resolve it tod ay.
- **COMMISSIONER STEENSON:** Do you think part of the solution, I absolutely agree with you, it's extremely complex, but do you think part of the solution is around being able to make decisions, allowing them to have their say in what happens to them and making sure they understand the options fully?

1	MATTHEW: Yeah, although I think that I'malthough I think when you've got a physical
2	impairment I don't think people realise how abusive the system is because they have to rely
3	on that support.
4	COMMISSIONER STEENSON: Yeah, have to rely on that support, yeah.
5	MATTHEW: And for myself, it took me a long time to realise what happened to me was not
6	okay.
7	COMMISSIONER STEENSON: Just reflecting on your evidence about as a child, how the
8	doctors were in a lot of control of what happened to you and it was, even though you were
9	really clear you didn't want to do something, so just thinking about how that put you also in
10	a powerless state.
11	MATTHEW: Yeah. And if we really want to talk about the real issues, I think we need to talk
12	about disempowerment of disabled people in the support system. For example, how many
13	disabled people are here today? And even though I know not all impairments are visible,
14	nothing about me without me, and we have lots of lawyers in the room sorry Ruth and
15	Elise, but you know what I mean.
16	COMMISSIONER STEENSON: That's great, thank you for answering, tēnā koe.
17	CHAIR: I hardly know where to start, Matthew. So, I'm not going to go on for too long. Can
18	I just pick up on that theme of the doctors. I know you've been sitting watching the
19	evidence and I've seen you in the audience. So, you will have heard some of the evidence
20	that we have about over-medicalisation.
21	MATTHEW: Yes.
22	CHAIR: And that applies to people with disabilities, it applies to Deaf people and it applies to
23	people with mental illness as well.
24	MATTHEW: And women as well.
25	CHAIR: Yeah, that's right. So, this fits in, I think, with your very strong message about power
26	and control and the hierarchy, because as you said, your parents believed in doctors.
27	MATTHEW: Absolutely.
28	CHAIR: Absolutely, they trusted them and, I mean, my parents did too, we're of an age when
29	doctors placed themselves, or we placed the doctors on a pedestal and we did what was
30	told. So, part of this medicalisation, which you are shining a light on for me, is that it
31	seems from your evidence at least, and Lusi, that the first point of contact is from the
32	doctors who try to cure you, fix you, treat you with medicine and operations and things like
33	that. Do you agree with that?
34	MATTHEW: I would take it one more step.

1	CHAIR: Yeah.
2	MATTHEW: My belief is that the medical profession don't know about the social model of
3	disability and my belief is that they only get a very minimal
4	CHAIR: Yes, training.
5	MATTHEW: training, and then my belief is that we need to employ people in neonatal units as
6	people who have got specialised knowledge around impairments, know about everything -
7	of social model of disability, as well as the UNCRPD.
8	CHAIR: Yes, I think last week, I'm not sure if you followed our evidence last week, but we heard
9	about the call for better training of all the medical professions, you know, neonatals,
10	everybody, to in issues about disability. But I want to put a proposition to you as an
11	expert and I'd just like your views.
12	MATTHEW: I'm not an expert.
13	CHAIR: Yes, you are, I'm going to argue with you about that, Matthew. The proposition is that
14	instead of starting with the physical, medical stuff, would we not be better in having a
15	system that started with the rights and the needs of disabled people?
16	MATTHEW: Absolutely. And also having the right, my tongue is twisted.
17	CHAIR: Do you want a drink?
18	MATTHEW: No, I'm fine. We need to change their power.
19	CHAIR: Because if you start with the rights, the human rights and the needs that psychological,
20	educational, spiritual, etc, needs of disability, then that's got to be led by survivors, doesn't
21	it?
22	MATTHEW: Yes, although I believe what Paul Milner talked about last week about
23	dehumanisation - because when you dehumanise people, it's really easy to take the next
24	step.
25	CHAIR: Thank you for that. So, that's something we're taking very seriously, that whole notion
26	of changing the model so that disabled people's needs are met. The only other then I
27	want to know a question. You were in Buropwood for eight years, a terrible long time.
28	Were there any other people there for as long as you? Was that a common thing that you
29	were there for as long as you?
30	MATTHEW: Not at Burwood, but it was a common thing in the 80s.
31	CHAIR: Right.
32	MATTHEW: And the only reason why I got to Burwood was my protest of being sent up to
33	Pukeora.

CHAIR: But that just would have been another institution, wouldn't it?

- 1 **MATTHEW:** Yes.
- 2 **CHAIR:** That's right. I just wondered if you were typical at that time, whether it was usual to be
- in one institution for that long period of time.
- 4 **MATTHEW:** Yes.
- 5 **CHAIR:** I think your answer is yes, it was.
- 6 **MATTHEW:** Yeah.
- 7 **CHAIR:** I want to ask you about the elephant in the room.
- 8 **MATTHEW:** We haven't got all day.
- 9 **CHAIR:** Well, exactly, we don't have all day, but I'm going to make a suggestion. It's not an
- elephant, okay, we know it's here, okay, we know it's here, we know it has to be add ressed.
- We don't have all day, but I'm going to suggest that if you wanted to, whether you'd be
- prepared to give us some of your ideas about the current situation later in writing.
- 13 **MATTHEW:** Yes.
- 14 **CHAIR:** Would you be interested in doing that for us?
- 15 **MATTHEW:** Absolutely.
- 16 **CHAIR:** And we would be extremely grateful for your input and your insights into the elephant in
- the room. Because we need to know to what extent, if any, improvements have been made
- so that we can recognise that when we make our recommendations.
- 19 **MATTHEW:** And it's not only me, I'm not the only one --
- 20 **CHAIR:** Of course.
- 21 **MATTHEW:** -- who has this point of view, there's many people and lots of disabled people --
- 22 CHAIR: Yes.
- 23 MATTHEW: -- as well. And you've got one --
- 24 **CHAIR:** Right there, yes. We're very aware of that. But he's not an elephant.
- 25 Can I just then come to my last point. This is something that you raised quite a
- proper concern that you have about the Royal Commission, and its role and its function.
- And whilst the Royal Commission has a lot of powers to call people and get evidence and
- 28 the like, you are right to the extent that our powers are limited in what we can do. And
- that's just the way we've been set up. But we do have the very powerful tool of making
- reports and recommendations.
- I share your concern, I think everybody shares your concern, that we will do our
- best, we will make what we hope are the best recommendations, but the question then is,
- what next? And I think that's your concern, isn't it?
- 34 **MATTHEW:** Yes. And I don't get paid enough --

1	CHAIR: No.
2	MATTHEW: to do that.
3	CHAIR: Well, I know you don't get paid enough, nobody gets paid enough to do that. But what
4	I want to share with you is something that this is not new, I've shared with other
5	witnesses and many people I speak to. And that is that the Royal Commission will vanish
6	at the end of our time, by this time next year, we won't exist. But what we will leave
7	behind are our reports and our recommendations. What we also, I hope, will leave behind
8	are people like you and many others who will become champions for those
9	recommendations, who will not let them sit on a dusty shelf, who will be loud and powerful
10	voices, as I know you can be.
11	MATTHEW: Sorry about that.
12	CHAIR: To ensure those recommendations get the airing and the time and the support of the
13	whole disability community, and all of the people of New Zealand. So, I know you don't
14	get paid enough, but I'm going to invite you to be one of our champions, as well, once we
15	have vanished into thin air.
16	MATTHEW: I will be here.
17	CHAIR: That's wonderful. Thank you very much. I'm now going to leave you to the not-the-
18	elephant-in-the-room sitting next to me.
19	MATTHEW: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Thanks, Matt, I've got some comments and questions, then it's
21	going to be my privilege to thank you. But first a comment. You're a disabled person,
22	you've spent a lot of time in care and support services, you're a survivor of abuse in care
23	and support services. You work in the provider area, you've done that for a number of
24	years. You're an activist, a disabled person's activist and you've been involved in DPOs,
25	disabled people's organisations. The idea that you're not an expert, I think you need to trus
26	yourself on this one, not just marginally, that you are an expert and with all of that range of
27	experience.
28	I suppose, first, I'd ask some questions from different perspectives, some as an
29	activist, but also first within the provider world, and as a disabled person, you've
30	seen and this is knowing that you might provide some more evidence, but you've seen the
31	inside of special schools, people with physical impairments, people with learning
32	disabilities, and residential

MATTHEW: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: institutions. Today, what should we be doing with those baby
2	elephants?
3	MATTHEW: In my opinion, we need to close them down, even though I know some of the
4	disabled community will say the opposite. And I understand their argument, but if we
5	really believe in including, if we close it down, we need to support people really well. This
6	is not about main dumping, this is about proper support, at home, at school, anywhere.
7	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Not about main dumping?
8	MATTHEW: Yeah.
9	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: I think that's a phrase that not many people would have
10	understood, but people need to be included not just dumped outside of wherever they were
11	previously excluded.
12	MATTHEW: And I knew you would understand that, Paul.
13	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Yes. We worked in the same organisation a few years ago and
14	you had a great reputation of connecting with people and of getting people out of more
15	institution-like facilities one at a time from the building of a lot of trust, but you seemed
16	to be able to do that as a disabled person in that role better than others. Would you say that
17	was fair?
18	MATTHEW: Yes, and I'm still doing that today not today, you know what I mean.
19	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: And are we utilising the we heard yesterday about the number
20	of unemployed disabled people, are we utilising disabled people enough in these roles?
21	MATTHEW: Unfortunately, no, because I'm unusual, because I work in a provider, and I'm not
22	just I'm a manager of a provider and I'm so unusual. And I'm privileged as well, because
23	I believe in privilege and I never forget how privileged I am because I'm working.
24	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: It took a lot of battles to get the role, and I think providers need to
25	be conscious of the barriers that they put in place that stop people who can really
26	contribute.
27	MATTHEW: Yes. And we have to somehow recognise disabled people's experience as a
28	qualification.
29	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: You've described yourself as a disability activist. Most of
30	New Zealand wouldn't associate those two words with each other. Do you want to talk
31	about, have you been an activist in any other areas, gone on any marches, walks, what's
32	been your journey and also, I think the role of activism in creating change, ending abuse in
33	care?

1	MATTHEW: At 15 years old, I went on my first protest against the Springboks tour, and
2	although obviously I'm not right wing, I'm a very left-wing ideology and I had many
3	protest marches, not only for disability issues but for social justice.
4	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Do you think people recognise ableism, discrimination,
5	oppression, do people, in general, in the public, in the same way they do racism,
6	discrimination and oppression in that context?
7	MATTHEW: No. No, because I think in our society we think we need to be nice and polite to
8	disabled people, and that's why it's not recognised as racism or sexism. I'm sure, Judge
9	Coral, you faced exactly the same issue when you became a lawyer. I'm not sure how long
10	you've been doing this, but you're older than me, sorry to say that.
11	CHAIR: Hundreds of years.
12	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Hopefully there's a few young disabled people listening out early
13	on a journey of understanding. What would you like to say to them? What might be the
14	role of advocism, of working
15	MATTHEW: Don't be passive because when we have a passive stance, disempowerment comes
16	along. And the systemic issue we have in Aotearoa because we like to be nice to each other
17	and want to be not offensive to each other, but we need to support young disabled people to
18	take up their rights. And I think, often, young disabled people think they have the rights
19	now. Activists of old, when I was young we had to fight for everything. But today, I don't
20	have to ring up a restaurant to make sure if it is accessible. I don't I'm not restricted. If I
21	want to go to the movies, I don't have to ring up to get the seats removed. And I can go on
22	all day with examples. I think we really need to put energy in to young disabled people.
23	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Thanks, Matt, I could go on all day too. It's a privilege to have
24	been on the same side in many battles as you have. I think it's better to be on the same side
25	as a fighter, thank you so much. There's been a few.
26	MATTHEW: And there will be more.
27	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: There will be more, but hopefully result of this Inquiry and as a
28	result of your evidence there won't be as many, I hope you have you've really given a
29	challenge about this not being a talk fest. As I was saying, you've had a reputation in the
30	services of getting shit done and that's a challenge for us. Sometimes it might take 10 days
31	or even longer but we'll get there.
32	MATTHEW: Good luck.
33	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Can I thank you for your spirit, your relentless determination,
34	your, at times, insistence on what needs to happen on doing the right thing. You really are

1	an expert. You drew a lot of different experiences together and the individual, personal
2	experience of abuse and neglect in institutionalisation and really joining the dots up to the
3	systemic change that needs to happen. How services, power and control, we need to be
4	reframing those, we need to be hearing endlessly and learning from the voices of people
5	with lived experience, those who have got that collective wisdom which you bring to the
6	table today for the solutions for the future.
7	We'll insist amongst ourselves that this won't be a talk fest, we'll make sure this
8	does result in something.
9	Thanks so much for sharing, it's a cliché saying it's courageous to do this, but it
10	really is. Having known you for so many years, to hear some of this is, again, quite
11	impactful, so thank you, kia kaha.
12	MATTHEW: Thank you, Paul.
13	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Thank you. Ms Thomas.
14	CHAIR: We're allowed lunch now, so we will please go and enjoy yourself. Can I just say
15	finally before we finish, we have seen the pain that you have been through in telling your
16	story, and I want you to make sure you take whatever well-being we can offer you to
17	support you, so that pain doesn't remain with you, we don't want to do you any further
18	harm. You've gifted us your evidence, and the best we can do is make sure your well-being
19	is cared for. So, please make sure you rely on as much of that as you need.
20	MATTHEW: Thank you.
21	CHAIR: Not at all. Please enjoy your lunch as well.
22	MATTHEW: I will. Can't you see my slim physique?
23	CHAIR: Yes, I can, I know you will enjoy your lunch, as we will.
24	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: No, I can't see, there are no elephants in the room.

CHAIR: 2.15, thank you.

Lunch adjournment from 12.54pm to 2.28pm

MS THOMAS: If we come back for a 2.15 start.

25

26

27