ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY STATE INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE HEARING

Under The Inquiries Act 2013 In the matter of The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in State Care and in the Care of Faith-based Institutions **Royal Commission:** Judge Coral Shaw (Chair) Dr Anaru Erueti Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofivae Paul Gibson Julia Steenson Counsel: Mr Simon Mount QC, Ms Kerryn Beaton QC, Dr Allan Cooke, Ms Katherine Anderson, Ms Anne Toohey, Ms Tania Sharkey, Mr Michael Thomas, Ms Ruth Thomas, Ms Kathy Basire, Mr Winston McCarthy, Ms Julia Spelman, Ms Alice McCarthy and Ms Natalie Coates for the Royal Commission Ms Rachael Schmidt-McCleave, Mr Max Clarke-Parker, Ms Julia White for the Crown Ms Victoria Heine OC for the Office of the Children's Commissioner Ms Sally McKechnie for Te Rōpū Tautoko, the Catholic Bishops and congregational leaders Mr David Stone for the New Zealand State Abuse Survivors Charitable Trust Venue: Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry 414 Khyber Pass Road **AUCKLAND** Date: 26 August 2022

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

INDEX

MINISTRY FOR PACIFIC PEOPLES	
Questioning by Mr Clarke-Parker	1000
Questioning by Ms Sharkey	1003
Questioning by Commissioners	1018
TE PUNI KŌKIRI	
Questioning by Ms Schmidt-McCleave	1022
Questioning by Ms Castle	1023
Questioning by Commissioners	1034
CHIEF OMBUDSMAN	
Questioning by Dr Cooke	1039
Questioning by Commissioners	1056
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
Questioning by Ms Schmidt-McCleave	1060
Questioning by Ms Toohey	1064
Questioning by Commissioners	1084
CLOSING STATEMENT BY SAGE	1091
CLOSING STATEMENT BY THE CROWN	1098

26	Adjournment from 3.54 pm to 4.07 pm
27	CHAIR: So we move into the last and final and important phase of this hearing and I invite our
28	representatives from the Survivor Advisory Group to speak to us, starting with Ms
29	Chapman. Tēnā koe, Ms Chapman.
30	CLOSING STATEMENT BY SAGE
31	MS CHAPMAN: E ngā mana, e ngā reo, raurangatira mā, tēnā koutou katoa. Kua hoki mai anō
32	tātou ki te mura o te ahi, tātou e kawe i tēnei kaupapa. Kāore e mutu i ngā mihi ki te hunga i
33	tae ā-tinana mai ki waengaui i tēnei huihuinga tūmatanui. Koutou ngā māngai o ngā tari
34	kāwanatanga, o ngā tari e kaha hāpai i te kaupapa o te tūkinotanga o te tamaiti, koutou

katoa e pupuri nei i te mana o te tamaiti. Nei rā, ngā mihi ki a koutou. (To the many authorities, the speakers and esteemed leaders amongst you, I greet you. We have returned again to the heat of the battle, to continue this task. Words cannot express my gratitude for those physically present with us at this public forum. Those of you from the government departments, tasked with the prevention of abuse to children, who hold the authority on behalf of children, please accept my acknowlegements to you).

On behalf of SAGE members, I want to acknowledge all the survivors who have contributed to this Inquiry and who continue to lobby and advocate in their respective mahi.

Madam Chair, Commissioners, unfortunately, not all our SAGE members could be in attendance today. However, once again, and for those who may not know, the Survivor Advisory Group of Experts to the Royal Commission of Inquiry are Keith Wiffin, Jim Goodwin, Frances Tagaloa, who joins us via AV link from Orlando in the US, Rupene Amato and Gary Williams, who send their apologies, and myself, Tu Chapman. Our speaking order for today is as follows: Frances will lead off, followed by Jim, then Keith and then I'll provide the closing statement, kia ora.

CHAIR: Ms Chapman, we're going to have to ask SAGE to comply with the identification for those who cannot see.

MS CHAPMAN: Right. For those who cannot see me, I am about 5'7", Māori, I identify as female and I've got short black hair with blue glasses.

CHAIR: Talofa lava, Frances. Good to see you all the way from across the world.

MS TAGALOA: Talofa lava, Madam Chair. Ou te faatalofa atu i le pa'ia ma le mamalu ua aofia i lenei aso matagofie. Malo le soifua ma le lagi e mamā. Pacific greetings, Madam Chair, and Commissioners, and everyone, I'm Moeapulu Frances Tagaloa, a survivor of faith-based abuse and an advocate for survivors. Thank you so much for this opportunity to give a few survivor comments. And for those who cannot see me, I'm a Samoan and Palagi Pākehā and of Palagi and Pākehā descent. I have black hair and brown eyes and am wearing a red jacket and frangipani flower in my ear, as is my island custom.

So at the opening of this hearing, I said that this hearing will reveal that the State has not put survivors first ahead of their perpetrators and has not provided an appropriate way forward for survivors to heal and have redress. And this continues to be true and although the State institutions say their processes have changed, it still is apparent to me that the processes and laws have not changed, not enough to prioritise survivors ahead of the institution and ahead of their perpetrators. And I still believe that we cannot have a just and effective redress system where the survivor is expected to go back to the very State

institution where the abuse occurred, for redress. The institution is always going to protect itself and struggle to put the survivor first.

It's been challenging to me to hear so many State institutions, like the Police or Ministry of Education, not able to acknowledge widespread systemic problems like systemic racism or ableism against Māori and Pasifika. Some agencies acknowledge the very poor data gathering for Pacific Peoples and so we'll never really know the real impact of abuse on Pacific Peoples. And I don't think the State agencies really understand the issues and obstacles that particularly Pacific Peoples face and so our people are more likely to continue to end up being victims of abuse in care.

It was disturbingly apparent that most institutions have not been able to properly monitor or keep their care institutions accountable. I was pleased to see the Commission ask questions of the Ministry of Education about their processes for holding private faith-based schools accountable, and they referred to the Marylands atrocities. However, the Minister of Education's current powers for holding private schools accountable is still very limited and concerning. I just think every parent who has a child in a faith-based school should be concerned. It just seems that abuse in faith-based schools, like Marylands, could happen today. There seems no way for survivors to seek redress from the Ministry of Education, and I think my statement at the beginning of this hearing still holds true, that the State has appeared to abdicate their responsibility to keep faith-based institutions accountable.

And, survivors, we know that the same systemic issues that have led to abuse are sadly still apparent today. I was appalled, I'm sure along with many, to hear that Oranga Tamariki admitted allegations of abuse by current employees, but only just started investigating them and really as a result of this hearing. It just is appalling to me that there are claims still today, shows that we have not done enough in changes; we have not had enough change to combat these systemic issues. You know, a redress system that holds such an institution accountable and is independent from the institutions is what is needed.

I had hoped that I would hear the State institutions supporting the recommendations of the Commission for a fully independent body to be developed. Instead, I heard excuses, explanations, defensive reasonings, justifications for mistakes and problems. We need State institutions to see and understand the need for healthy accountability. I mean, this hearing has just shown that a fully independent body that provides redress for survivors and monitors and prevents abuse is needed more than ever.

1	And, furthermore, survivors need to be a part of leading and giving input into that
2	independent body, using their lived experience and expertise to inform and develop a better
3	system. Faafetai lava mo lenei avanoa. Malo le soifua. (Thank you for this opportunity.
4	Welcome). Thank you, Madam Chairman, and Commissioners, for this opportunity.
5	CHAIR: Thank you. I'll just have Sandra thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Lau afioga Moeapulu malo le soifua maua ma le lagi e mamā.
7	Faafetai mo le faasoa. Faafetai mo upu matagofie. Fa'amalo le finau, malo le loto toa. E lei
8	uma lo tatou aso, ae ia tatou oo i le faaiuga o le tatou lipoti June 2023 next year. Malo lava.
9	Faafetai mo au upu matagofie. (Greetings to you Moeapulu for good health and wellbeing.
10	Thank you for sharing. Thank you for the wonderful sharing. Thank you for being
11	persistent, and your bravery. Our day is not over yet and we will reach the end of the report
12	June 2023 next year. Thank you. Thank you for the wonderful sharing.)
13	MR GOODWIN: Thank you. My name is Jim Goodwin. For those who can't see me, someone
14	told me earlier I have a shiny head so I don't need to describe my hair. I am an above
15	average height Pākehā male. I have a gold card. I'm wearing a black shirt and olive green
16	trousers.
17	When we opened this hearing, I said that this is not the time for well rehearsed,
18	smooth weasel words and there have been many times throughout this hearing when we
19	haven't heard well rehearsed, smooth weasel words. There have been some real, genuine
20	apologies, there's been some falling on swords, there's been some good stuff. But there's a
21	bit of a theme and it goes like this: "Hi, I'm a senior person in such and such a State agency
22	I want to acknowledge that terrible things happened in the past, but don't you worry, we've
23	got this. In a year or two, everything will be fine."
24	Please, guys, no more of that. This is serious. This is people's lives that are being
25	destroyed today. No more of that talk. We have to be honest, we have to deal with this.
26	Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak.
27	CHAIR: And thank you, thank you very much, Jim. Keith Wiffin.
28	MR WIFFIN: Kia ora. This has been quite some day for me, personally. I woke up this morning
29	not expecting to hear some of the things I've heard today from Mr Hughes. I did get some
30	heads up as to that a couple of hours prior and it has definitely affected my day.
31	I won't touch on it too much, because there are other things to talk about, but I did
32	feel it was delivered with sincerity and it did mean a great deal to me. My hope is that
33	anology will extend to all the others that suffered that fate like I did

So I will leave that there and then talk to what I see over the last couple of weeks, and I've been able to sit in on this Inquiry,-- in this hearing, rather, for parts of the day every day and I thank the Commission for the opportunity to do that.

I see my role, standing here today, to try and represent, as best to my ability, survivor interests. It's an extremely important event we've just witnessed. It has been gruelling and, at times, as the Chair alluded to, somewhat boring; in fact, at times it was a remedy for insomnia.

But I have to say, on balance, what I've seen gives me great hope that something soon tangibly will happen and it absolutely needs to, because what we did see, through this, was a lot of talk of aspiration at times. But what we also saw for the first time was these agencies confronted by their own dreadful past and they've had to face up to that. Hopefully, that will be a catalyst for more momentum for change and getting things bedded in now because survivors don't deserve to wait any longer.

These things can be accelerated and it's very important that they are embedded, as much as possible, free of any future political interference. A couple of things of note for me. The hearing started with the Ministry of Social Development and for me personally and for others, they will always be the biggest protagonist, because they represent also all the sins of the Department of Social Welfare that have led to the scale of this.

I was deeply disappointed, on balance, with their presentation, which I found to be lacking in empathy and humanity. The brazen promotion by one official in particular of the now past OT bill was offensive and insulting to many of us and I felt he had a bit too much latitude for that promotion. The OT bill is seen by us as not representing progress, but, in fact, a consolidation and retention of power and control that agencies have always had.

What was required, and still is, is a truly independent, effective monitoring service. It would not have cost a single cent more to have achieved that. The officials have won the day and we have not been listened to.

On the issue of records, which is so supremely important to us, I have heard reference to records, and I heard it again today from the Public Service Commissioner, and every time I hear it, it's about records lost, it's about that making it harder to determine things, and there is no doubt that there had been records lost, but also it needs to be pointed out and made very clear that there also has been much malicious destruction of records in the name of the agencies' own agendas. My intel says that may still well be going on right now.

The second day was the Police Commissioner and I have to say, after the first day, everything else was going to be an improvement and that's the way it played out. I felt he had a measure of sincerity and was an all-round decent sort of a fella, but what I would ask him to understand is that we still have those that are suffering abuse being told to go away by frontline police. That is the sort of thing that happened in my day and it is still happening.

There have been much positives out of this hearing for me. It is fantastic to hear, even though I've been scathing of MSD, them saying, "We are now applying resources to engaging with communities" on the basis of keeping us out of care and that is the future to this. That is an approach that needs to be broadened and thoroughly resourced.

It is also great to hear from Oranga Tamariki that they are moving to close down those residential care centres such as Epuni, which I was in 50 years ago and is still there now experiencing very significant problems. I had a meeting with the National Manager of the residence (inaudible) from OT a couple of years ago now and she assured that they were moving to models of care with no more than three in it. Once again, I feel that is more aspirational at the moment than anything else.

What we need to see is tangible effect. We don't deserve to wait any longer, and there has been massive investment in Oranga Tamariki by this government, and Mr Te Kani made reference to that when he said not so very long ago \$1.4 billion was invested. Get on with it. Get it done. You have the resource.

I was most impressed with the CE of the Teachers' Council. If only her attitude and approach could be adopted by all the other agencies, we would see improvement quickly. And she was asked a very important question, for example, by counsel Katherine Anderson, and it was, "What are you doing today in terms of the future and including survivors in that future development?" Without hesitation, she said, "100% we are, and we can't do it without them". That is the model that we need to have across the agencies, because these things cannot be developed without us for them to be effective.

I've listened for over the two-week period and it has been gruelling at times, and I could stand here and talk for a fair bit longer and I'm going to write a report, so I'm going to end this just by saying I greatly appreciate the efforts of all the Commission staff and Commissioners. It has been a monumental effort where people have worked extremely hard, and I have witnessed that, into the early hours of the morning, seven days a week. Like everything, it's not been perfect, but they have done their very, very best for survivors'

interests, and I think the Commissioners, the way they've put questions, have always
represented our interests.

To survivors, this is more hope for us. Keep the faith in yourselves, keep questioning. The job's not done yet. Kia kaha.

CHAIR: Kia ora, Mr Wiffin. Kia ora anō Ms Chapman.

MS CHAPMAN: Thank you. I'll try to make this quick, I'm very conscious of the time. Honesty and transparency were my key expectations that I delivered in my opening statement. The question now is how honest and transparent the institutions have been over the last two weeks. We must remember that it is the process that is in question and what the State has done, or lack thereof, to fix this problem.

The issue that haunts survivors is who is going to take responsibility, not what. Holding individuals to account in a court of law does not absolve the State of its responsibilities, both morally or legally. Part of a statement from Debbie Power says:

"The State didn't always ensure people were safe in care and that we won't know the full extent of people who were abused in care."

Admissions of failure is a start, but it is not a concession and nor should it be classed as one.

It was disheartening to hear how the Independent Children's Monitor did not feel compelled enough to make recommendations for change. Their role as an Independent Monitor is not so independent after all. Whilst this may sound harsh, ka hoki anō ki aua kupu, ko te tika me te pono. (I return to those words previously expressed regarding what is right and what is truthful).

The most pressing issue today is the Oranga Tamariki Oversight Bill, passing its third reading in Parliament earlier this week. The same organisation that is under huge criticism across the spectrum for its failings over the years and the one point of focus most, if not all, survivors are fighting, this Bill has done nothing for the process in question. What it has done has nailed home even more the lack of trust from survivors that this system is not fit for purpose.

Changing its name over the years was the plaster. That plaster continues to be replaced but now it's being reinforced by legislation that will only create more issues for those in care.

I want to take a moment to acknowledge the impacts for Māori. We all know what the statistics say. It is common knowledge that Māori are over-represented in everything. Colonisation caused a huge disconnect, creating the survival instinct. This was the natural

segue from historical trauma into intergenerational trauma. Ka aroha hoki ki āku tīpuna, ka aroha hoki ki a tātou e pae nei. (I feel the compassion for my ancestors and also for those of us present).

Trusting that the institutions would be honest and transparent was what I said on Monday. Alongside many survivors, we are not satisfied with the responses from the State. I am still concerned about the many failings across the board and the fact that the current monitors in place are even not adequate because of lack of resource, support and/or mandate or are over-delivering because the care and protection of our tamariki and our most vulnerable takes precedence, as opposed to what the writing says must be done.

The Office of the Children's Commission has been critical in the monitoring of child care and protection services and, again, alongside survivors, who provided submissions against the OT Oversight Bill that will now see the Office of the Children's Commission dismantled.

I had high expectations that we may come out of this hearing in a much better place than when we first walked through the doors. All I see ahead is more work, having to keep telling our stories, re-triggering ourselves and suffering over and over again. This is not safe, nor is it a survivor-centric approach.

My hope is that the State hold themselves to account for the huge failures that some have mentioned. Admitting those failures is not a concession as such, but it is a start. There is nothing wrong with saying, "We are sorry for the abuses that survivors have and continue to endure", a simple act of love and sympathy. Nō reira, ka whakairihia ki konei, ngā ketekete kōrero, hei korowai whakatika, ki ngā kokonga o tēnei whare, tuia ki te rangi, tuia ki te papa, tuia ki ngā muka katoa, e here nei i a tātou, tēnā hoki e te whare ka huri. (With this I end my discussion here as a cloak of righteousness upon the corners of this house, woven in the heavens, enmeshed upon the land, entwined with the fibres that unite us. Here is my address to the house, thank you).

CHAIR: Tēnā koe, Ms Chapman.

MS CHAPMAN: I think Mr Wiffin has something more.

CHAIR: Mr Wiffin always has something more.

MR WIFFIN: I will keep it very brief. I just wanted to say that for survivors, and we've seen a lot of talk aspirationally; the metric in which survivors judge progress by most of all is the current continuing, rising rates of abuse. Until that comes down, there won't be progress. So that means affecting and dealing with root cause, and I was really pleased to hear some quotes from Moana Jackson, because as he alluded to, departments and government

agencies over the period of time have been willing to deal with the symptoms, but not so the root causes, and that's what we need to do.

1 2

One of those, the single biggest root cause which has, once again, not received enough attention in the course of this hearing, is the word "poverty".

So with colonisation in Aotearoa, came capitalism, an English class system which is still with us today by and large, which has inherent in it high levels of poverty. That is the single biggest determining factor to why we went into State care, whatever cultural background we came from. In the institution I was in 50 years ago, it bonded us. It made us fight back collectively at times, and in the institution I was in, shortly after I left and was sent to a family home, there was a full-blown riot, and it was on the basis of we were all the same, but they bonded together and fought back because they themselves knew the root causes of why they were there.

We still have terrible rates of poverty in this country. It's not so many years ago I could walk the streets of Wellington and not see a homeless person on the street. Now it's littered with them. I bet you anything you like that the great majority of them have been in State care at some stage. I thought it a really important thing to note.

One of the things that still disappointed me over the hearing also was the still ridiculous non-acceptance of the degree of systemic failure and faults which has led to the scale of the tragedy we all deal with today. It is fundamentally ridiculous to keep denying it and it obviously has a legalistic slant to it and you need to get away from that, you need to stop doing that and take responsibility as to what we all know has happened. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you, Keith. Thank you to all the members of SAGE for their contribution, both to the Commission before this and during this hearing. You are excused.