ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY FAITH-BASED REDRESS INQUIRY HEARING

The Inquiries Act 2013 Under

The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in State Care and in the In the matter of

Care of Faith-based Institutions

Royal Commission: Judge Coral Shaw (Chair)
Dr Andrew Erueti
Ms Sandra Alofivae
Ms Julia Steenson

Counsel:

Mr Simon Mount, Ms Hanne Janes, Ms Kerryn Beaton, Ms Katherine Anderson, Mr Joss Opie, Ms Echo Haronga, Ms Tania Sharkey, Mr Michael Thomas, Ms Jane Glover and Ms Lorraine MacDonald appear for the Royal Commission

Ms Sally McKechnie, Mr Alex Winsley, Mr Harrison Cunningham and Ms Fiona Thorp appear for the Catholic Church

Mrs Fiona Guy Kidd, Mr James Anson-Holland and Ms India Shores appear for the Anglican Church

Ms Jenny Stevens and Ms Helen Thompson appear for the Salvation Army

Venue:

Level 2 Abuse in Care Royal Commission

of Inquiry 414 Khyber Pass Road

AUCKLAŃD

Date: 30 November 2020

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

INDEX

	Page
Opening Remarks	3
Opening submissions by Counsel Assisting - Ms Anderson	7
Opening submissions on behalf of the Bishops and Congregational Leaders of the Catholic Church in Aotearoa New Zealand by Ms McKechnie	17
Submissions on behalf of Network for Survivors of Abuse in Faith-Based Institutions by Dr Murray Heasley and Liz Tonks	23
Frances Eileen Tagaloa XD by Ms Sharkey QD by Commissioners	28 64
Tina Cleary XD by Ms Anderson QD by Commissioners	70 85
Mr G XD by Ms Glover QD by Commissioners	87 104

1 2 OPENING REMARKS 3 (Opening mihi and waiata) 4 5 CHAIR: E ngā mana, e ngā reo, e ngā hau e whā tēnā koutou, 6 tēnā koutou, tēnā rā koutou katoa. 7 8 Welcome to everybody here today, particularly to the survivors of abuse who are here in person and who are 9 watching on livestream, you are most welcome. 10 11 Welcome also to the representatives of the three 12 Churches about whom we will be hearing evidence over the next two weeks. Thank you for coming and you also are most 13 14 welcome. I would like, as the Chair of the Royal Commission, to 15 introduce my fellow Commissioners who are sitting here 16 today. First, Dr Andrew Erueti. 17 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Tēnā koutou katoa. Ko Anaru Erueti 18 tōku ingoa. He uri nō te waka o Aotea. Ko Ngā Ruahinerangi, 19 ko Ngāti Ruanui ngā iwi. Ko te mihi tuatahi, kei te mihi ki 20 21 te tangata whenua o tēnei rohe, Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei. Tēnei 22 te mihi nui ki ngā iwi taketake o te Pacifica kua tae mai ki te tautoko i te karanga o te rā. Nō reira, nau mai, 23 haere mai koutou, kia ora. 24 CHAIR: Kia ora, Andrew. Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofivae. 25 26 COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: I le ava ma le fa'aaloalo lava, oute fa'atalofa atu i le pa'ia ma le mamalu o lo ua aofia, 27 28 aiga, uo ma e masani ua omai e lagolago mai. Malo le soifua 29 maua ma le lagi e mama (With the deepest respect, I greet you in this sacred space, family, friends and everyone here 30 to support. Welcome. warm greetings and much respect to 31 32 everyone here in the room.) Thank you, Sandra. And Julia Steenson. 33 CHAIR: 34 COMMISSIONER STEENSON: Julia Steenson. Tēnā koutou katoa. Ko Julia Steenson ahau. Ko Ngāti Whātua rāua ko Tainui ōku 35

iwi. Ngā mihi nui ki a koutou katoa. Tēnā koutou. (Good

- 1 morning, I'm Commissioner Julia Steenson and I am of Ngāti
- 2 Whātua and Tainui descent, thank you very much for coming
- 3 today.) Now I'll take appearances.
- 4 MS ANDERSON: Tēnā koutou katoa, I am Katherine Anderson,
- 5 appearing with a sizeable Counsel Assisting team here this
- 6 morning, with Mr Simon Mount, Ms Kerryn Beaton, Ms Hanne
- Janes, Ms Jane Glover, Mr Michael Thomas, Ms Tania Sharkey
- 8 and Ms Echo Haronga and, Commissioners, there will be
- 9 further Counsel Assisting that you will meet in the course
- of the hearing as they are leading evidence from the
- 11 survivors.
- 12 CHAIR: I note we also have our solicitor here as well,
- 13 Mr Powell.
- 14 MS ANDERSON: Yes, Mr Thomas Powell, and Ms Rebecca
- 15 Harvey-Lane and Ms Lucy Wesley-Smith.
- 16 CHAIR: Thank you very much.
- 17 MS McKECHNIE: Mōrena Commissioners, rangatira. We appear
- 18 for the Bishops and Congregational Leaders of the Catholic
- 19 Church in Aotearoa. Ms Sally McKechnie and I will be
- supported over the next two weeks by Mr Alex Winsley,
- 21 Mr Harrison Cunningham and Ms Fiona Thorp.
- 22 MRS GUY KIDD: Tēnā koutou, ko Mrs Guy Kidd ahau. Ka tū
- 23 mātou ko Ms India Shores, Mr James Anson-Holland, Anglican
- 24 Church of Aotearoa New Zealand and Polynesia, Anglican care
- 25 providers and most Anglican schools. Good morning
- 26 Commissioners, I appear for the Anglican Church with my
- 27 colleagues, Ms India Shores and Mr James Anderson-Holland.
- I note that yesterday marked the start of the season of
- 29 Advent where Christians move out of darkness into light and
- 30 look forward with hope to the promise of what is to come, a
- 31 season of new beginnings; it seems apt for this part of the
- 32 Commission's work. May it please the Commissioners.
- 33 CHAIR: Thank you, Mrs Guy Kidd.
- 34 And we have representatives from Salvation Army.

- 1 MS STEVENS: Counsel's name is Jenny Stevens, I appear with
- 2 Ms Helen Thompson and we are here for the Salvation Army
- 3 and we are looking forward to listening and learning over
- 4 the next two weeks.
- 5 CHAIR: Thank you, Ms Stevens. And our last but not least
- 6 core participant is the Network of Survivors.
- 7 MR HEASLEY: Mōrena, tēnā koutou katoa, koutou katoa. I am
- 8 Dr Murray Heasley, Network spokesperson.
- 9 MS TONKS: And Liz Tonks, I am joining Murray today.
- 10 CHAIR: Ms Tonks and Dr Heasley, the microphone wasn't on
- and I would hate your introduction to fall on deaf ears.
- 12 MR HEASLEY: Mōrena again, tēnā koutou katoa. I am
- Dr Murray Heasley, a Network spokesperson for the Network
- of Survivors of Abuse in Faith-Based Institutions and
- 15 supports, this is my associate Ms Tonks and we are very
- 16 happy to be here today. Ngā mihi katoa.
- 17 CHAIR: Ngā mihi, thank you both. So, now we've all
- introduced each other, we are about ready to commence. I
- 19 just want to make one thing very clear. This first two
- weeks of hearing, which is looking into redress systems in
- 21 faith-based institutions, comprises solely survivor
- witnesses and these are people who have bravely decided
- that they can and will share their accounts and their
- 24 experiences with the Commission and with the whole of
- New Zealand who is watching.
- 26 We are very mindful that this is a very difficult and
- 27 painful and could be painful experience for them and so the
- 28 Commissioners are guided by the survivors as to whether we
- 29 ask them questions or not. Each survivor has their own
- 30 preference about that. If we do not ask questions, it is
- 31 not because we're not listening but we are respecting the
- requests of the survivors and so, I just want to make that
- 33 quite clear. We will be sitting, we will be listening and
- we will be hearing.

1	So,	thank	you	all,	Ms	Anderson,	Ι	invite	you	to
2	commen	ce.								
3										
4										
5										
6						***				

OPENING SUBMISSIONS BY COUNSEL ASSISTING - MS ANDERSON

Tēnā koutou katoa ngā rangatira mā e huihui nei ki te kaupapa. Ngā mihi mahana ki te mana whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau ko Ngāti Whātua Ko Katherine Anderson ahau.

Greetings to the Commissioners, I acknowledge the mana whenua of this place, Ngāti Whātua and also greetings to everyone who is in attendance today, whether in person or virtually.

As I indicated in the introduction, I am Counsel Assisting, leading this faith-based redress hearing. There is a significant collaborative effort that's gone into being able to present the evidence that you're hearing today and also to collect the witness statements of those who have given evidence but who are not giving oral evidence. I won't name those individuals today but it is a very significant team and not to be underestimated the effort it's taken to get us from a decision post-Covid lockdown number 1 through to having this hearing here, so the hands of many are in the work that the Commissioners are receiving in this two week hearing.

Before I begin the formal part of my opening, I wish to make some acknowledgments, again acknowledging Ngāti Whātua, not only as mana whenua of this place but also of the presence that is guiding us with waiata to open and close each day of this hearing.

I also acknowledge emphatically the victims and survivors giving evidence at this hearing and those victims and survivors who have worked very diligently with Counsel Assisting as we have prepared their witness statements with them. But also their whānau and supporters who have supported them through that not always easy process and including those whānau and supporters who are at this hearing to continue to provide that support.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

I also acknowledge the core participants appearing in this hearing, clearly these are the faith-based participants, the Anglican Church, the Catholic Church and the Salvation Army. I also acknowledge the Network of Survivors of Abuse in Faith-based Institutions and their Supporters.

8

Others attending here today including members of the Survivor Advisory Group.

I also want to acknowledge the important contextual evidence that was received by this Commission at its first hearing, including that of the Emeritus Professor Desmond Cahill and Dr Peter Wilkinson relating to sexual abuse in the Catholic Church, the Anglican Church and the Salvation Army among other religious institutions that they refer to in their substantial evidence. This has provided an important foundation to the evidence in this redress hearing, including an overview of care and safeguarding in the Catholic Church in New Zealand. In addition there is the evidence provided by Dr Anne Else relating to adoption in post-war New Zealand, relevant to the experiences of witnesses in this hearing, the evidence of Dr Haenga-Collins on the impact of closed stranger adoption on Māori, and also the evidence from Mike Ledingham relating to abuse by a Catholic priest and the response of

As I've said, there are the hands of the many who have assisted to get us where we are today, including the wellbeing team that have supported the victims and survivors, the investigators, the Secretariat staff, all of the technical people and of course all of those participating via the livestream.

the Church when notified of that abuse.

So, having concluded those acknowledgments, it is of course important to acknowledge that this is the second public hearing within the wider redress investigation. The

first, which concluded earlier this month, was focused on
how the State institutions responded to abuse allegations.

This phase of the hearing is to hear from victims and survivors in the care of faith-based organisations.

Our Terms of Reference makes it clear that where a faith-based institution assumed responsibility for the care of a child, a young person or a vulnerable adult, that is within our Terms of Reference.

Such responsibility can of course come from a very wide variety of settings. For example, care might be in a pastoral context, such as a Sunday school setting, counselling by a religious leader or other interactions between religious leaders and members of that religious community.

Other examples include faith-based schools, including boarding schools - and a number of the witnesses you will hear from in this hearing have experienced abuse in that school setting - social services providers or agencies under the umbrella of the religious organisation, including homes for young mothers and orphanages, to name a few.

This hearing is about the experiences of victims and survivors of abuse in care when they engaged with the redress and rehabilitation processes adopted by the three faith institutions, including all of their subsidiary entities underneath them.

What does this Inquiry mean when you're looking at the redress and rehabilitation processes? As the terms of reference set out, this means when somebody is effectively seeking a remedy, whether it is a monetary remedy or a non-monetary remedy, such as counselling or as we'll hear from some of the evidence, sometimes it can be something like wanting a photograph of a particular person taken down from being on display in a prominent place.

I note the religious affiliations in New Zealand, we are not an overly religious country compared to others. In

```
1 2018 census, there were 314,913 people who identified as
```

- 2 Anglican, that's 6.7% of the religious affiliations. And
- 3 we see across all of these, a downward trend relative to
- 4 the 2001 census.
- 5 295,743 persons identified as Roman Catholic, 6.2%, down
- from 16.86% in the 2001 census. But there is another group
- 7 of those who identify with Catholicism (but not defined) of
- 8 a further 173,016 people.
- 9 And a much smaller number identified as affiliated to
- the Salvation Army, 7,929 persons, so 0.17% of those with
- 11 religious affiliations.
- 12 Currently, and even more so historically, these
- faith-based institutions that we're looking at here in this
- 14 hearing have touched the lives of many living in
- 15 New Zealand. That includes through their respective
- 16 pastoral care activities but also through the many schools
- 17 and social services provided by entities associated with
- 18 them. So, the number of people who were in the care of
- 19 these institutions is therefore not limited to those
- affiliated to a particular faith over those years.
- I pause at this point in the opening to note what this
- hearing is not about. The core focus of this hearing is
- 23 not the nature and extent of the abuse in the faith-based
- 24 institutions. It is not about the factors, whether they
- 25 are structural, systemic or practical, that caused or
- 26 contributed to the abuse. It's not primarily focused on
- 27 the impact of the abuse or the circumstances that led to
- the person being in the care of the faith-based
- 29 institutions. These are important aspects of the Inquiry
- 30 but they are to be explored in-depth in the investigations
- into the Anglican Church and the Catholic Church and those
- 32 other faith-based institutions the Inquiry decides are to
- 33 be investigated.
- However, Commissioners, you will be receiving evidence
- of the nature and extent of the abuse of each of the

```
1 individuals appearing before you and also of the impact
```

- that abuse had on them and their whanau and communities.
- 3 Much of this evidence is disturbing. It sets the context,
- 4 which is important to understanding the witnesses'
- 5 experience of seeking redress but also capturing this
- 6 evidence now means that these witnesses have told the
- 7 Inquiry their experiences once with that information being
- 8 relevant to multiple parts of the Inquiry's Terms of
- 9 Reference.

The "looking forward" part of the Terms of Reference includes how redress and rehabilitation processes should be improved for those victims and survivors who report abuse in the future. Obviously, a very important component of the Inquiry's work. The evidence from those we are hearing from in the next two weeks, together with all those who have given witness statements describing their faith-based redress experiences and the evidence that has continued to be gathered relating to that topic, will provide an important evidential foundation for the Inquiry's redress recommendations to be developed in the future.

Now, it is important to emphasise that this faith-based public hearing is part of a much broader investigation into the redress and rehabilitation processes of those who were abused in faith-based care. This aspect of the investigation continues well beyond this particular public redress hearing, which in itself is divided into two, with the second part of this hearing scheduled for March 2021.

I want to note formally for the record, Commissioners, the activity that is happening in this investigation space. The Inquiry has received a substantial volume of documents from the three faith-based institutions, that's about complaints recorded in their respective systems, their redress and safeguarding policies and processes, complaints received and responses to those complaints. This investigation gathering and analysis will continue.

As I've mentioned, the second phase of the faith-based redress hearing commences in March 2021. The Inquiry will hear evidence from the faith-based institutions themselves about their redress and safeguarding policies and processes.

Other faith-based institutions have been served with formal notices by this Commission to produce information about complaints they have received and their safeguarding policies and processes. This evidence is to be delivered to the Inquiry in early 2021. It will be the subject of careful analysis at that point.

And, as I've said, the Inquiry will continue to take witness statements from faith-based - about faith-based redress experiences. Accordingly, those who wish to come forward and share their experiences with the Inquiry are warmly invited to do so. Their evidence is very important to the Inquiry's work.

In addition to public hearings, significant research and policy work of the Inquiry, including roundtables, hui, issues papers, will inform the development of the assessment the Inquiry will make of past redress and rehabilitation practices of these institutions and the impact that had on the relevant individuals.

It will assist the development of findings in relation to the systemic and cultural factors that have contributed to the form and extent of past redress processes. And it will also assist the development of recommendations on how institutions can better meet the needs of victims and survivors, and that's both during and subsequent to their redress processes.

Over this week and the week following, this public hearing will hear from 24 people who reported their abuse to one of these faith-based institutions and the redress processes adopted by the institutions in response.

In relation to those who have experienced abuse in a Catholic setting, we have 10 victims, plus one daughter of a deceased survivor, so 11 witnesses in that context.

In relation to survivors of abuse in the care of the Anglican Church, you will be hearing from seven individuals.

7 And in relation to abuse in the care of the Salvation 8 Army, from six witnesses.

This evidence will reveal the extent to which the institutions' policies and procedures were adequate in terms of recognising unique and individual needs of each victim and survivor, including their cultural and spiritual needs. Whether the policies and procedures were adhered to or consistently applied and whether there was equality of outcomes.

The evidence will also reveal whether redress processes and outcomes met the needs of the victim or survivor.

In this next part of my opening I comment briefly, Commissioners, on some of the themes relevant to the evidence that you will be hearing.

It is acknowledged, of course, that people will experience redress processes and barriers to accessing them differently. That difference in experience is affected by, among many other considerations, their unique and differing needs, their understanding of the process, their expectations and also the culture of relevant faith-based institutions.

Despite these differences, there are important identifiable themes that are common to many of the survivors' experience which will be revealed in evidence during this coming fortnight.

In relation to trauma-informed engagement and support, there is extensive research available that recognises the need for any redress process to be sensitive to the trauma

that has been the subject of a victim or survivors'
abuse - and to prevent further harm from being caused.

The development and communication of expectations for victims and survivors from the very start of the redress process is therefore an essential component for a trauma-informed approach.

As you listen to the evidence, Commissioners, you will no doubt be attentive to whether the form and extent of engagements and support necessary to understand and meet unique and specific needs were adequate. Was a truly trauma-informed approach adopted by the faith institution?

In relation to initial risk assessment and reporting, I note there is significant research globally that's identified a key motivation for reporting of abuse by victims and survivors is to prevent further harm to others. During this public hearing, evidence will reveal the extent to which, following the disclosure by victims and survivors of their abuse, initial steps taken by institutions and whether they prioritised or not the prevention of further abuse or reporting of abuse to Police or other relevant civil authorities.

In relation to the investigation and assessment process after somebody has come to the institution and reported abuse, as you hear the evidence you will be considering whether these witnesses received the right level of information about how their reported abuse would be investigated and assessed. Did they receive adequate explanations about what information and evidence would be used, how that was accessed, who it would be shared with and for what purposes? Were investigation or assessment outcomes shared with them?

In relation to acknowledgment, also many international inquiries have found the most significant barrier that prevents victims and survivors from disclosing their abuse is a fear of not being believed. Accordingly, there is a

- 1 profound need for institutions to accommodate this
- 2 dimension when designing and implementing redress
- 3 processes. An acknowledgment can often take the form of an
- 4 oral or a written apology. Commissioners, you will no
- 5 doubt be looking forward to the evidence on whether victims
- 6 or survivors felt they did or did not receive a meaningful
- 7 acknowledgment.

the Inquiry.

In relation to redress outcomes that meet the needs of survivors, a further theme to focus on as you receive the evidence from the victims and survivors is whether policies issued by these faith-based institutions and which of course underpin their respective responses to the people coming forward to them, focus on redress outcomes that meet their own institutional needs, rather than the needs of the person abused or preventing abuse to others. In this context, the question of whether prevention of future harm was adequately addressed is an important consideration for

Madam Chair and Commissioners, my submission is that those thematic aspects I have identified will be important considerations for the Inquiry to bear in mind as you are receiving evidence from an individual's experience but of course which collectively add up and create these themes.

Against that setting, the witnesses giving oral evidence over the two weeks are in relation to the Catholic redress witnesses: Frances Tagaloa, Tina Cleary, Anne Hill, Gloria Ramsay, Ann-Marie Shelley, Mary Marshall and five anonymous witnesses, including one who will be heard in private.

In relation to the Anglican redress witnesses, you will be hearing from Neil Harding, Jim Goodwin, Robert Oakley, Louise Deans and four anonymous witnesses.

In relation to the Salvation Army redress witnesses, you will be hearing from Jan Lowe, Darrin Timpson, Gloria White, Roy Takiaho and two anonymous witnesses.

Nō reira tēna koutou, tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou katoa.

1	CHAIR:	Tēnā	koe,	Ms	Anderson.
2					
3					
4					***

1	OPENING SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF THE BISHOPS AND
2	CONGREGATIONAL LEADERS OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH IN AOTEAROA NEW
3	ZEALAND BY MS MCKECHNIE
4	
5	
6	MS McKECHNIE: Mōrena, Chair, Commissioners, as the
7	evidence this week will be focused on the Catholic Church,
8	I have been invited to give an opening statement now and I
9	thank you for that opportunity.
10	There is a copy of the opening statement hopefully in
11	front of each of you.
12	CHAIR: Yes, thank you for that.
13	MS McKECHNIE: I begin by acknowledging Madam Chair,
14	Commissioners, Counsel Assisting, fellow counsel, those in
15	the public gallery and those watching elsewhere. In
16	particular, the Bishops and congregational leaders of the
17	Catholic Church of Aotearoa New Zealand acknowledge the
18	survivors of abuse who have made the courageous and
19	difficult decision to give evidence before this Inquiry
20	this week and those survivors of abuse who are watching
21	this hearing, either here in the room or remotely.
22	I appear as counsel for Te Rōpū Tautoko, on behalf of
23	the Catholic Bishops and Congregational Leaders. Te Rōpū
24	Tautoko was created to co-ordinate the six Catholic
25	Dioceses and 43 Catholic Congregations' response to the
26	Royal Commission. Commissioners, this was necessary
27	because each of the dioceses and congregations are
28	independent from each other. There is no single entity or
29	authority that is "the Catholic Church" or "the Catholic
30	Church in New Zealand".
31	Instead, the Catholic Church is a collect of people,
32	communities, organisations, institutions and entities who
33	are all connected by their faith.
34	There are many others who have engaged with the Catholic
35	community, as my friend said in her opening, through the

2930

31

32 33

34

35

schools and other services that the Catholic community 1 2 provides to the general community in New Zealand as well. In creating Te Rōpū Tautoko and requesting to 3 4 participate in this Inquiry, each Bishop and congregational 5 leader committed to its key set of principles which will quide their work before you in this Inquiry. 6 Commissioners, those are summarised in the statement before 7 you and are publicly available on the website that has been 8 created to support the work of the Church and the 9 10 Commission and are accessible to survivors or members of 11 the public who wish to see them. Ultimately, the Inquiry, the survivors and Bishops and 12 Congregational Leaders of the Catholic Church share the 13 same goals: the complete elimination of all forms of abuse 14 for those in care and ensuring the survivors of abuse 15 obtain the support that they need. The Catholic Church is 16 very committed to the transformation of care in all 17 settings, so Aotearoa New Zealand is a safe place for all 18 19 people. 20 Commissioners, the hearing over the next fortnight is 21 about redress and the redress process begins when a survivor comes forward to share their experience of abuse 22 with the institution who is responsible for that behaviour. 23 In the Church's experience, this is often an ongoing 24 process, sometimes over many years, and the Church 25 recognises the importance of both the redress itself and 26 27 the process of redress in responding to survivors and assisting with their healing. 28

This part of your redress investigation into the Catholic Church is in two parts. As my friend said, you will hear from 11 witnesses this week giving evidence about their engagement with several different Catholic entities and those entities' redress processes. A number of the witnesses who are giving evidence are currently involved in those redress processes.

At the hearing in March next year, people with a deep 1 2 understanding of the Catholic Church's redress process will be giving evidence before you. This includes Bishops, 3 4 Congregational Leaders, and leaders of the National Office 5 of Professional Standards, they will explain and answer your questions and those of my friends about the current 6 7 approach of the Church to redress, how it has evolved and developed over time because it most certainly has done that 8 and where they believe it is currently in need of 9 10 improvement. 11 As you will hear this week and in the evidence in March, there is both co-ordination and independence in the 12 response of the Catholic Church's authorities. This is a 13 significant difference from the evidence you heard in 14 relation to the Crown. 15 While the National Office of Professional Standards is 16 now in existence and responds to complaints of abuse 17 involving - of sexual abuse involving clergy and members of 18 religious congregations, there's no single decision-maker 19 20 in New Zealand, nobody to determine the behaviour of the 21 Church or response of the Church in totality. This will be plain from the evidence you hear this week when witnesses 22 describe their redress processes in relation to particular 23 institutions or particular congregations or dioceses. 24 Understanding that combination of independence and 25 co-ordination and how it's changed is key, in part, to the 26 27 response of the Catholic Church. CHAIR: It might help us to know, when did the National 28 Office of Professional Standards come into existence, just 29 roughly? How old is it? 30 MS McKECHNIE: It's roughly 20 years old, Ma'am, slightly 31 more but it has evolved quite significantly over time, in 32 33 terms of the role that it has played. Cardinal Dew and Phil Hamlin will be giving evidence in March in terms of 34

that evolution. The theme of the evolution, very

1 generally, is increased co-ordination, starting with very

- 2 little and leading to where we are now, which has centred
- 3 on that National Office.
- 4 CHAIR: Thank you.
- 5 MS McKECHNIE: And the hearing in March, as I go on to say
- 6 in the statement, will provide the opportunity for you to
- 7 explore those issues with the Church.
- 8 As my friend noted in her opening, there is other
- 9 evidence that will be given this week and the Catholic
- 10 Church supports the reasons why that evidence needs to be
- 11 given, that survivors are only required to tell their story
- once.
- 13 There are profound accounts of harm to individuals
- 14 caused by members of the Church that will be given this
- week, and their hurt and pain is acknowledged.
- 16 The bishops and congregational leaders express their
- 17 profound regret and sorrow that anyone has experienced harm
- in the care of the Church.
- 19 It is important that these survivors can share their
- 20 experiences. They are speaking of their own experiences
- 21 and in some cases are talking about things that they may
- have heard from others or understand may have happened.
- The bishops and congregational leaders consider that
- this hearing is not the place to question or challenge the
- 25 experience of survivors or the other matters that they may
- wish to share with you.
- 27 Instead, they see their role this week and next week as
- 28 listening and learning.
- 29 My clients are committed to improving the redress
- 30 processes for those who need to engage with them. They
- 31 will closely listen and learn from the experiences and
- 32 proposals made this week and in the coming years, both by
- witnesses, by expert witnesses and then ultimately by you,
- the Commissioners, in your recommendations.

To demonstrate that commitment to listening and learning, there are representatives from the dioceses, the congregations and Te Rōpū Tautoko present during the hearing this week and next, save for those instances where the witnesses have asked that they not be present. Other members of the Catholic community, including bishops and congregational leaders, are following this hearing closely

through the livestream and the transcript.

An offer has been extended, Madam Chair, through the Inquiry's Counsel Assisting to the witnesses if there were particular individuals or entities they wished to be present to hear their evidence, to bear witness to what they have to say, and where survivors have requested particular individuals or representatives from the Catholic Church are present, all efforts have been made to ensure that that is the case.

There have been a couple of individuals who have requested people be here, Ma'am, and they will be here.

Ma'am, to conclude these opening remarks, I reiterate on behalf of the bishops and congregational leaders their respect for survivors who will be giving evidence this week. They are demonstrating real courage.

Ma'am, the Church is also aware that others will be watching this hearing. The bishops and congregational leaders extend an invitation to all survivors to engage with them or with the National Office of Professional Standards. And likewise, to any survivors of abuse who are listening or watching this and have not yet come forward, the bishops and congregational leaders encourage you to do so in the ways that are appropriate for you.

You will be listened to.

As I have previously on behalf of my clients, Ma'am, they reiterate any survivor watching is encouraged please to contact the New Zealand Police about any abuse and my clients are fully committed to transparent co-operation

1	with any criminal investigation and would support and
2	encourage anyone to approach the Inquiry as a place for
3	survivors to share their experiences.
4	Ma'am, while the bishops and congregational leaders seek
5	to ensure their redress processes are robust, fair, and as
6	respectful as possible, they acknowledge this is an ongoing
7	journey. This redress process has changed much since its
8	inception in approximately 1995, Ma'am, and it will
9	continue to evolve, especially in light of this Inquiry and
LO	your recommendations.
l1	Therefore, once again, the Catholic Church thanks the
12	survivors who are going to be giving evidence this week and
13	reiterate that they will be heard and their experiences and
L4	proposals will be taken on board by the Church. The
15	bishops and congregational leaders will continue to work to
16	improve these redress processes so that all who need to
L7	engage with the Church are heard and supported.
18	Thank you, Madam Chair, Commissioners.
19	CHAIR: Thank you, Ms McKechnie. I now invite the Network
20	of Survivors of Abuse in Faith-based Institutions and their
21	Supporters, Dr Heasley and Ms Tonks.
22	

SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF NETWORK FOR SURVIVORS OF ABUSE IN FAITH-BASED INSTITUTIONS

BY MURRAY HEASLEY AND LIZ TONKS

MR HEASLEY: Mōrena Madam Chair, Commissioners. Ko mātou ngā māngai me ngā mōrehu o te hunga e whakapono ki te whakanōhanga ki a rātou kaitautoko.

We are here to give voice to the Network and our victim survivors of abuse in faith-based institutions and their supporters.

Kotahi te kōhao o te ngira ka kuhu mai: te miro mā, te miro pango me te miro whero.

Our network is a needle, our Network represents the needle, which has one eye through which pass the white thread, the black thread and the red thread. The white thread represents the incredibly heroic victim survivors who have stepped forward to inform this Inquiry and the public of New Zealand of the serious problem we face with the abuse of children in the care of Church institutions. None of us would be standing here today without their heroism.

The black thread is the media that began to believe them and brought their stories into the public realm from the late '80s.

The red thread is that of the many advocates and experts globally who use their experience and expertise to validate and support the experiences that victim survivors report.

We acknowledge those abused in the care of the State and faith-based institutions. It is an error to see the issues facing abuse in State and faith-based care as separate. They stand together and meet many of the same barriers when

seeking redress, protection of institutional reputation

35 and financial assets being the hardest to overcome.

But let us remember, many have died without ever receiving justice - the term they use when referring to redress.

For many victims who have passed on or who took their own lives because the pain was simply too much to bear, we remember you today.

I now beg the indulgence of the Commissioners and ask all those present to join with us in a few moments of silence to remember them.

He nui, he whakaaro nui i te haumūmūtanga.

How powerful the silence.

CHAIR: Kia ora, Dr Heasley.

MS TONKS: Commissioners, over the next two weeks you will hear testimony from victim survivors who were abused in the care of the Catholic, Anglican and Salvation Army Churches. These are not the only faith-based institutions where abuse occurs, of course, however they will provide a snapshot of the abuse that happens in Church institutions in New Zealand and illustrate the common pattern of further trauma victim survivors face when they seek redress.

Victim survivors again reporting their experience and to a public hearing is not without risk of further trauma. We thank them for their courage to do so.

We are mindful they risk their evidence will be seen as "just another survivor story" by the New Zealand public, a public that is saturated with example after examples of such abuse and whose lack of outrage can only be understood in terms of how a tolerance of abuse has become woven into our New Zealand culture. A tolerance and acceptance that is a huge barrier for all victim survivors to overcome.

To you, Commissioners, and the public, we emphasise the importance of understanding that the testimony you will hear is not unique to those who are speaking at this hearing. They are all - they speak for the many that are silent, have been silenced or who have suffered again as

they sought redress and a safer future for New Zealand children.

1 2

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

Evidence from victim survivors of abuse in the care of 3 4 the Catholic Church will begin this hearing. 5 respectfully, Commissioners, on their behalf you listen carefully to their evidence while keeping in mind they were 6 reporting and seeking redress from an institution with a 7 culture based on guilt, shame and a belief that all people 8 are sinners and sins need to be absolved. And the priest 9 10 and religious are represented as a higher level of human 11 being, equivalent to God on earth, that seeks to hide its sins, minimise and shift blame, so that the roles are 12 reversed and the child victims are left feeling they are 13 the offenders and carry guilt and shame of the abuse that 14 Members of our Network have 15 happened to them. requested we underline to you the importance they give to 16 this Inquiry's investigation into redress. It is their 17 belief, that with their evidence, and the outcome of the 18 redress hearings, sufficient will be known to understand 19 20 the systemic issues that prevent the Churches being the 21 appropriate bodies to investigate the abuse that happens within their institutions and that they can no longer be 22 left to investigate complaints and continue to deny victim 23 survivors the redress they deserve. 24

We put it to the churches, this Inquiry should not have been needed. The churches, like the Crown, have known for decades upon decades the abuse that was happening under their purview. They have had decades to put measures in place to prevent it happening. They have had decades to put appropriate trauma-free processes in place to ensure victim survivors have redress and that the redress reflected the resultant loss of life potential and the seriousness of the abuse they suffered. It has not happened.

From their earliest submissions to be included in this 1 2 Inquiry, our victim survivors have consistently asked not 3 to be expected to trust an institution they couldn't trust 4 to keep them safe from abuse to investigate their 5 complaints and to determine what redress they receive. Asking them to trust a Church to investigate itself and 6 then determine redress is simply wrong and traumatic for 7 8 them. They have also consistently asked that this be dealt 9 10 with, with urgency. They are relying on you, 11 Commissioners, and this Inquiry to achieve that for them. MR HEASLEY: But there is another issue we must address. 12 Only 10 short days ago you held a procedural hearing into 13 matters around the suppression of names of paedophiles and 14 their enablers from witness testimony. As an advocate and 15 voice of our members, I attended that procedural hearing. 16 From the Churches I heard a call for natural justice, 17 accompanied by a demand for the redaction and suppression 18 19 of names. All this in the name of preventing trauma to the 20 families of those whose names would be brought into the 21 In doing so, the Church sought to use its significant legal resources to silence victim survivors to 22 prevent others who have never reported from knowing that 23 24 they are not alone. In that hearing, Ms McKechnie, appearing for the 25 26 Catholic Church, made issue of the "colourful language" 27 used by Mr Cleary in his statement. Was she suggesting that the language he used as he was dying somehow 28 undermines his credibility? Should he have been 29 colourless! His life was torpedoed as a child by two of 30 the most odious and disgusting of men, former rectors of St 31 Pat's Stream and St Pat's Town. Mr Cleary recently passed 32 33 on and now his daughter will read his testimony. She is no less a victim than her Dad, as the trauma of abuse 34

radiates. Was any consideration given by the Church and

their legal counsel to the trauma they would create by 1 2 targeting the testimony as they did? At the Contextual Hearing a year ago, the Catholic 3 Church had the last word. Ms McKechnie delivered the 4 5 following message on behalf of the Church and I quote, "The bishops and congregational leaders are committed to working 6 with the Royal Commission in this Inquiry and have asked me 7 to publicly reiterate that both to you and to those who are 8 listening this afternoon. They are committed to their 9 10 errors and omissions being examined transparently and 11 openly". Take a moment to consider how child abuse could be 12 referred to as "errors and omissions". Consider how 13 seeking suppression orders aligns with open and transparent 14 examination. 15 We commend the Commissioners for denying the Churches' 16 attempt to silence our victim survivors once again. 17 other outcome would have been outrageous. Now the victims 18 get to speak. Kaua e wahangū, silent no more.. 19 20 Ngā mihi ki a kōrua (thank you very much). 21 the conclusion of the opening statements, I believe it's appropriate that we take a short break and to enable our 22 first witness to be ready. We will resume again at 11.15. 23 Is that suitable, Ms Anderson? 24 MS ANDERSON: Yes. 25 26 Hearing adjourned from 11.00 a.m. until 11.20 a.m. 27 28 29 30

31 ***