ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY TULOU – OUR PACIFIC VOICES: TATALA E PULONGA

The Inquiries Act 2013

Under

	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Date:	23 July 2021
Venue:	Fale o Samoa 141 Bader Drive Māngere AUCKLAND
Counsel:	Mr Simon Mount QC, Ms Kerryn Beaton QC, Ms Tania Sharkey, Mr Semisi Pohiva, Ms Reina Va'ai, Ms Nicole Copeland, Ms Sonja Cooper, Ms Amanda Hill for the Royal Commission Ms Rachael Schmidt-McCleave, Ms Julia White and Ms Alana Ruakere for the Crown
Royal Commission:	Judge Coral Shaw (Chair) Ali'imuamua Sandra Alofivae Mr Paul Gibson Dr Anaru Erueti Ms Julia Steenson
In the matter of	The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in State Care and in the Care of Faith-based Institutions

INDEX

MS TU Questioning by Ms Sharkey Questioning by Commissioners	276 313
DR SAM MANUELA	
Questioning by Ms Va'ai	316
Questioning by Commissioners	340

- **CHAIR:** And we'll leave the floor to you, because you wanted to say something to conclude.
- Yes, so I wanted to thank you, Commissioners, for hearing me today, and also recognising that my evidence and other people's evidence have been dealing with a lot of pain and harm, and so just acknowledging that you're hearing a lot of painful things and thinking -- and that I'm thinking about your well-being.

I wanted to thank -- I really wanted to thank my lawyers and investigation team. I don't think that I would have been able to do this if the Commission hadn't thought through carefully who would be taking my evidence. And I just think it's amazing that you've got like a Pasifika investigations team. They helped me from the very beginning, they understood what I was talking about, it didn't feel like that I had to explain things. And I just think that the cultural sensitivity around that has been really important to me and it gave me more courage to know that I was doing the right thing because I told you that I had a lot of conflicts about that.

So in particular I wanted to thank Sharkey who I think has, you know, really great to talk to and amazing lawyer, Stephanie, Reina and Helenā. And I wanted to thank the well-being person Maikali who's worked really hard to build a trusting relationship with me, and I haven't been easy to connect with, but he has made me feel really comfortable giving evidence today. And I wanted to thank all my friends and family who are listening in there or are supporting me. Thank you.

CHAIR: We join you in thank you, I think did you want to -- I think you're going to be honoured with a waiata, yes.

22 [Samoan song]

- MS SHARKEY: Fa'afetai lava Ms TU. I'll be in touch with you and I'll pay you for your comments later okay? Thank you very much. We'll talk soon.
- 25 A. Bye.

- **CHAIR:** We'll take the lunch adjournment now and resume again at, Ms Sharkey?
- **MS SHARKEY:** 2.15?
- **CHAIR:** 2.15 we'll resume, thank you.

29 Lunch adjournment from 1.14 pm 2.15 pm

- **CHAIR:** Good afternoon everybody, welcome back to the last session of today's hearing, and I'm going to invite Ms Va'ai to come forward to present our witness.
- **MS VA'AI:** Kia orana tatou katoatoa, our first witness is Dr Sam Manuela.

33 DR SAM MANUELA

CHAIR: Good afternoon Dr Manuela, welcome to the Royal Commission. Can you see me?

Before we start can I just ask you to take the affirmation. Do you solemnly, sincerely and truly declare and affirm that the evidence that you will give to the Commission will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

4 A. Yes.

- **Q.** Thank you, I'll leave with you Ms Va'ai.
- QUESTIONING BY MS VA'AI: Thank you. Kia orana Dr Sam Manuela. Meitaki for being
 here today. Before we begin I'm wondering if you could please introduce yourself and tell
 us a bit about your background.
 - A. Yes, kia orana tatou katoatoa. My name is Sam Manuela, I am a senior lecturer in the School of Psychology at the University of Auckland. I am Cook Island through my father, we are from Manihiki in the Cook Islands and we live in Nikao. We also have links to Atiu and Pukapuka. Through my mother I am European, she is from Te Kuiti down in the King Country. Myself, I am born and raised here in New Zealand in Auckland.

At the University of Auckland within the School of Psychology I teach across undergraduate psychology, I teach cultural psychology within our introductory psychology courses. In stage 3 I teach in a paper on culture and psychology focusing specifically on Pacific issues that are psychology relevant. In postgraduate I facilitate a course on ethnicity, identity and culture where I encourage students to draw on their own ethnic and cultural expertise to explore topics around sexuality, spirituality, masculinity, identity, language, and how these relate to our people's every day lives as well.

In addition to teaching I'm a researcher as well. I'm currently leading two research projects, one is funded by the Health Research Council of New Zealand. And this project is going to be a survey of mental health in the Cook Islands, which has not been done before, so I'm quite excited to head over to the Cook Islands once the Covid situation calms down a bit. Another project that I'm leading is funded by the Royal Society of New Zealand, it's a Marsden Fast-Grant study. That study will be looking at how Pacific psychologists in New Zealand incorporate their cultural knowledge and expertise into their psychological training and working with their clients.

- **Q.** Thank you. Very impressive young man. Dr Manuela, in light of your background as an academic, why have you decided to answer the call to be here in the Fale o Samoa as an expert witness for the Pacific hearing, Tatala e Pulonga?
- A. My research expertise focuses specifically on the identities and well-being of Pacific peoples, so that is a large theme across the broad range of research projects that I do. When I first was asked to be part of this Inquiry I was a bit sceptical about why personally I was

asked, but after talking with yourself and the rest of your team, they helped me realise the broader implications of what the survivors have experienced and looking at the statements as well and hearing some of the statements that I've -- throughout the week, I see a lot of the themes that they have experienced as well resonate with what I have specialisation in.

- Thank you. And I've seen you here at the hearing, you've been here for the past couple of days. Would you agree that ethnic and cultural identity are some of the stronger themes coming out of the Pacific survivor stories?
- 8 A. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- As you are well aware, ethnic and cultural identity and the ways in which they're linked to well-being are very complex. What I'd like to do is unpack these concepts and walk through the ways in which your work through the study of cultural identity and well-being, just looking at how they're closely linked with our Pacific survivor stories. So I'll start off with something that you refer to in your statement provided to the Commission. You refer to something called "psychological perspectives". What does this mean, Dr Manuela?
 - A. So psychology as a discipline is a relatively young discipline. It has grown in prominence since the 1800s. Where psychology has been developed has largely been in North America and Europe. And so when I'm talking about psychological perspectives, what I'm referring to primarily is the theories and the methods that have been developed within psychology, but how these methods and theories have been developed within their own cultural context as well, that being primarily North America and Europe. And so when I do talk about psychology perspectives it's recognising that psychology as a discipline is quite Eurocentric and it is predominantly based on western value systems.
 - Q. So just to clarify, whenever you refer to psychological perspectives, what you're talking about is a Eurocentric western view of psychology, is that correct?
- Yes, in addition to what I do in psychology, I guess I'll clarify a little bit further as well. 25 A. My background is in social psychology, so it looks at how -- the relationship between 26 people and their social environments that also intersects another specialisation which I have 27 which is in cultural and Pacific psychologies as well. So I'm in kind of a unique position 28 here being -- having expertise in two different areas of psychology. Part of that is 29 recognising the cultural biases that are embedded within the disciplines that we are taught 30 as well. So what I'm doing here is looking at the cultural biases that are embedded within 31 psychology as a discipline and how Pacific knowledges can be part of that and can enhance 32 the discipline as well. 33
 - Q. We'll just put on our western Eurocentric hat for a minute. I'm going to ask whether you

[can share ho	w ethnic ar	nd cultural	identity are	e understood	from this	perspective

- Yes. So ethnic identity, there are multiple theories that can help explain from A. psychological perspectives what that is. Social Identity Theory is one of the major ones. So Social Identity Theory posits that people will categorise themselves into particular social groups. That can be ethnicity, it can be gender, it can also be things like religion, people can identify themselves in terms of the churches that they belong to as well. And so recognition of these different groups that we belong to is ways in which people can form their own identities. So identity itself isn't a singular thing, it is a multi-faceted, multi-factorial construct. So our identities are a constellation of our perceived memberships across various groups.
 - So for instance, under a Social Identity Theory perspective, ethnic identity would be a recognition of an ethnic group that you belong to and your perceived membership within that group as well. With that membership, often there is what we would call an in group. So the in group is what is the group that you identify yourself as belonging to. And then there will also be various out groups. So these are the different groups that we use to compare and contrast ourselves with.
 - These comparisons are usually done in a way in which we can see ourselves in a positive light, and so people will tend to make clear distinctions between one group and another group, make a distinction of themselves belonging to one group and view that group membership in a positive way. So that's under a social identity perspective.
 - If we look more deeply into the content of ethnic identity and what that actually entails. So ethnic identity is then suggested to comprise of recognition of belonging to an ethnic group, so understanding the labels that signify an ethnicity that you can identify with.
 - Attached to that is also the feelings and the attitudes that you have about your membership with that group as well. So it is a sense of belonging to that ethnic group, it is the positive or the negative attitudes that you can have about that ethnic group as well.

Further to that, and this is a source of some contention within psychological literature as well, is the behaviours that are associated with ethnic groups as well. Quite often this is seen as cultural practices, stances, and language as well. So when we're looking at ethnic identity, we're looking in terms -- sometimes we're looking at the content of that, which I have just discussed now.

Crucial to ethnic identity, it is important to recognise that we aren't born with an identity, it is something that develops over time. And so ethnic identity development is a long process and within psychological literature there has been what has been described as stages in

which people can go through. The first stage would be a diffuse stage. So this is when
people have done very little to no exploration around what their identity means to them, and
they don't have a very clear understanding of what their ethnic identity means to them
either.

 Another stage is what is called foreclosed. This stage it is where people understand that they belong to an ethnic group, or they know that they are part of a particular ethnic group, but they have not done any exploration around what that means to them.

Another stage is moratorium. This part is where people have searched around what their ethnicity means to them, but there is some confusion around what that meaning means, and what that means in relation to their own ethnicity.

And finally, there is achieved. So the achieved status suggests that people have explored what their ethnicity means to them, they have a clear and secure understanding of what that means to their own self-concept.

Ethnic identity development generally occurs through adolescence. So as people are growing up, they're going through these particular stages, starting at the diffuse stage, perhaps going back and forth between foreclosed and moratorium and then eventually hopefully coming to an achieved status where they have navigated what their ethnic identity means to them.

However, with young children and babies as well, and I'd like to connect this to some of the evidence that was provided by expert witness Dr Seini Taufa where we can ascribe identity to someone. So as a baby, like the baby that was just crying now, that baby will be given an ethnic group label. That baby doesn't describe what -- doesn't say what the ethnic group label is. As that young baby gets older, eventually she will come to understand that she is Samoan, or she is Cook Island. As she gets older she'll perhaps start to explore what that means for her and what that means for those around her. And hopefully at some point she will have an understanding of what that actually means and that will then form a strong part of her ethnic identity.

- **Q.** Thank you. So we've still got the western hat on and can you explain how well-being is understood through this particular lens?
- A. Yes. So well-being is a bit of a surprisingly difficult concept to define easily. And but largely what researchers in this area have -- kind of agree upon is that well-being is the ways in which people appraise or evaluate their life in a positive manner. So this can often be associated with things like quality of life, positive or negative affect, happiness and life satisfaction. Some key theorists in well-being, they posit that well-being can be understood

across six key domains. So these include self-acceptance, which is exploring the, or understanding positive attitudes about yourself, environmental mastery, so that is when people are able to make use of the opportunities around them and are able to manage their day-to-day life. Positive relationships with others is another one, so this is when people are able to engage in very meaningful and reciprocal intimate relationships with others. Personal growth, where people can recognise their own development over time. Purpose in life, so people have goals, they can move towards their goals and know that their life holds meaning, and autonomy as well. So people feel that they have the ability to guide their own life and regulate their own behaviour.

So what I have described there is what I would call subjective well-being. So subjective well-being is where this is people deciding for themselves what well-being feels like and looks like for them. But we, as a social psychologist, I always am mindful that people are embedded within social systems. So we must balance their own appraisals of their life with what I would call objective indicators of well-being as well.

These objective indicators of well-being are things that people can have control over, sometimes they can't have control over. Things like housing, having safe, warm, comfortable homes, a safe home environment, education, employment, health, these things contribute to well-being as well. So although people can evaluate their own lives and the ways in which they need to, we must be mindful that there are other things that contribute to that well-being as well.

Quite often when we're looking at things like objective indicators of well-being, there can be a tendency to equate that with success. So having a good job means you're successful, that can -- I think the research generally shows that people with higher qualifications and education tend to be employed in higher paying jobs. There is a saying, I guess, that money doesn't buy happiness. This is a myth and it is not that money buys happiness, it's that being financially secure affords you stability and security when times get tough. So this is the ways in which we can understand the objective indicators of well-being, that having a high paying job is going to afford you that safety net which is going to support or enhance your own subjective well-being as well.

- Q. So if we're still viewing psychology through this western framework, can you explain the relationship between ethnic identity and well-being, how they're linked?
- A. Yes. So when I talked about Social Identity Theory, one of the key aspects of that theory is that people are motivated to see themselves in a positive light. And they will tend to make these comparisons between their in group and their out group in a way that is going to

bolster their self-esteem. And so with ethnic identity, particularly those who have a very secure and good understanding of what their ethnic identity means for them, quite often we will see that this is paired with positive self-esteem, that it is paired with mastery in other areas of their life as well.

There are several meta-analyses that have been conducted. A meta-analysis is when multiple studies that have been done independently of each other are pulled together, and we look at the average of the results across all of these different studies. And they have consistently shown that a strong or positive ethnic identity has a positive relationship with well-being outcomes. And these well-being outcomes can be diverse, it can be self-esteem, it could be good mental health, it could be a positive self-concept.

And so when we see these links here, we just know that ethnic identity is positively related to all these other positive life outcomes, but there are ways in which that relationship can be influenced as well, in light of ethnic identity, perhaps one of the biggest contributors to influencing that relationship would be things like ethnic discrimination, marginalisation, prejudice and things like racism as well.

- Q. You've just mentioned that discrimination can influence the relationship between ethnic identity and well-being. Are you able to expand on this a little bit more?
- A. Yes. So in addition to those meta-analyses that highlight the overall positive relationship between identity and well-being, those same meta-analyses have been conducted to explore the relationships between discrimination and well-being. I have an image that I have developed that will help illustrate these relationships that I'm talking about. Yes, so on the left-hand side there we can see that ethnic identity will positively influence your well-being. Likewise, discrimination is going to negatively impact your well-being.

So what we have here is people, we're telling people that your ethnic identity is good for your well-being. We're also telling people that discrimination is bad for your well-being. So what happens if your ethnicity is the target for discrimination? What we see here is these two opposing affects, positive in one direction, negative in the other. So the relationship between ethnic identity and well-being and the relationship between discrimination and well-being is very complex. And the literature does not show a very clear picture of that relationship either.

In some instances, ethnic discrimination is going to -- sorry, ethnic discrimination can enhance the negative effects of well-being. In some instances we see that discrimination has no impact on well-being, in other instances we see that discrimination increases well-being. And this really depends on the ways in which we understand the relationship between these three

1 constructs.

I will talk a bit later about some research that I have done in relation to this on Pacific identities discrimination and well-being. But I do want to make note here that generally what we are looking at is a very complicated situation and there is no single way in which people are going to respond to ethnic discrimination, it depends on a whole range of different factors, ethnic identity is one part of that puzzle.

- Q. Now we're going to switch hats, we're putting our island hat on now, or ei katu as we're both wearing today. I'm going to take you to paragraph 25 of your statement where you say, "Understanding Pacific ethnic identity requires moving beyond psychological perspectives." Can you please share what you mean by this?
- A. Yes, so when I talked about the cultural context and the cultural biases of psychology, moving beyond that means reframing the knowledge base that we are working with in psychology as well. And so what we can do here is look at Pacific epistemology, and epistemology is the nature of the knowledge and the nature of the construction of knowledge. And this is where we can inform our understanding of something based on the world view of Pacific knowledges, or Pacific epistemologies. I'm intentionally pluralising them here because there is no single Pacific knowledge system. We are constantly working with multiple Pacific knowledge systems. And psychology needs to be reflecting that as well.

So when we take a Pacific perspective, we are often doing so from our own unique Pacific perspective. I'm here today as one voice, as a Cook Island man to give my perspective of psychology as that is informed by my understanding of my culture. But I'm also drawing upon common elements across diverse Pacific cultures to inform this understanding as well.

And so when we are trying to understand any kind of Pacific perspective, especially in relation to Pacific identities and well-being, my specific focus is going to be in the context of Aotearoa. So how this has developed within this country. Pacific perspectives also make sure that we are mindful and aware of the social, the cultural and the historical backdrops of what we are dealing with at the same time. So for Pacific peoples in New Zealand, this means that we are taking into account the influence of Christianity being introduced to our region, the migration story of our people to this country, the Dawn Raids that happened to us as well. And this is the historical backdrop that we have in this country where the young people, young Pacific people that we have in New Zealand today are the products of their history that they have come from.

1	It is a	llso recognising that the diversity that exists within the Pacific, our Cook Islanders, our
2		Samoans, our Tongans, they are all reflected here in New Zealand, but there's also other
3		levels that we need to be mindful of as well. Myself, I am born and raised in New Zealand.
4		We also must be mindful of those who are recent migrants to this country too, that the
5		experiences that they may have in this country will likely be different to the experiences
6		that I have here.
7	There	e are other aspects that we need to take into consideration as well. Ethnicity does not act
8		alone. They are not it is not the only thing that make up who we are. We have our
9		religions, we have our spiritualities, we have our sexualities, we have our physical health as
10		well. All of these things are forming the wider picture of who we need to of what we
11		need to understand to know who we are.
12	But t	hese all have their own culturally nuanced meanings as well which have changed over time
13		and they change depending on where we are. What it means to be Tongan in New Zealand
14		is not the same, likely not to be the same as what it means to be Tongan in Tonga or
15		Tongan in Australia. The histories of these countries are different, the cultural context of
16		these countries are different as well. So a Pacific perspective is recognising all of that in
17		addition to recognising the cultural knowledge that we hold in this country too.
18	Q.	Thank you. In your statement you mentioned the word " $v\bar{a}$ " and $v\bar{a}$ is a concept that we've
19		heard even just as early as earlier today.
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	And also yesterday. But there are so many layers to this pan-Pacific concept. Can you
22		explain how you see the va working in the context of abuse in care?
23	A.	Yes. For me, and this is my understanding as well, I will talk about what I think vā is first.
24		And it has been spoken about quite a lot throughout this Inquiry this week. For me, vā is
25		the space between people, it is also the space between people and objects, people and their
26		land. And when we talk about space, we're not talking about a physical gap, we're talking
27		about a social space. It is a space that isn't defined by distance, but it is defined by
28		connections. And so it is within the space in which we in which relationships are formed
29		and it is in which relationships are nurtured and in which they are maintained.
30	So vā	i in the context of abuse in care, if we're thinking about the importance and the value, the
31		sacredness of these relationships that we have, these relationships are tapu, our vā is tapu.

Abuse in care is a violation of that relationship. It is a damage to the $v\bar{a}$. And so it is

for us to be able to nurture that space, nurture that $v\bar{a}$ and to repair it as well.

important for us to be able to recognise what that damage looks like and it is also important

32

33

Q. You've shared how cultural and ethnic identity are understood from psychological perspectives. From your perspective as a Pacific professional, how is cultural and ethnic identity, how are they viewed through a Pacific lens?

A. I will start by critiquing some of the theories that I just mentioned earlier. When I talked about the -- those stages of ethnic identity, of being diffuse, foreclosed, moratorium and achieved, that gives an impression that identity is a linear process, that is something that we have a trajectory towards. Research in Pacific locations and with Pacific peoples is different. We don't look at identity as a linear process. Perhaps a more apt description is that our ethnic identity is a journey, and it is a journey that doesn't have a destination, it is a continuous thing that we are always navigating.

So when we're thinking about these journeys that we go upon, there may be some similarities so what we see in psychological perspectives, but what psychological perspectives miss is a lot of the social and cultural and historical contexts in which we are embedded in that shape these journeys that we go along.

- So part of this is recognising that our journeys can be marked by periods of confusion, periods of exploration, and periods of tension as well. To be Pacific in this country means that you are being Pacific against a backdrop of discrimination and against a backdrop in which systems have been developed in a way that does not take who you are as a Pacific person into account.
- We are also, although many of us are born in this country, we are tauiwi, we are visitors to this country as well. Our Tangata Whenua here we are connected to through our own relationships, but Aotearoa is not our land, our land is elsewhere. That we are connected by our oceans, it is important for us to recognise the ways in which our identities are tied to our lands as well.
- When we're looking at, I guess, the ways in which psychology can resonate with the Pacific stories of our identities, identity for Pacific peoples which psychology would classify us as a collective culture in comparison to an individualistic culture, our self concepts could be understood as interdependent. So our identities are formed based on our relationships or memberships within different groups.
- It is common for many Pacific peoples when they introduce their selves, you might meet someone and you say "Tell me a bit about yourself." They will say something like -- I'll use myself as an example, which I did at the beginning, I am Cook Island, my father is from Nikao, he's from Manihiki. What I'm doing is signifying that I'm connected, you know, my family links are important, my place where I am located is important. These groups in which

I belong to are important. So my identity is dependent on my relationships with other people.

That would be a psychological perspective that would resonate with us as Pacific peoples. But in addition to that, taking, I guess, a more Pacific perspective within that, vā is a way in which we can understand our identities as well. So my identity is understood through the relationships or the vā that I have with others. So this would be meaning that ourself, ourselves are relational, or what we can call the relational self. So our identities are formed based on these relationships that we have. In psychology it is understood as an interdependent self-concept. In Pacific it is the vā, it is the relationships that bind us together and that form our identities. So that means that I guess in one way the self is a Eurocentric concept because the self and Pacific is intricately tied to do so many other people.

My own research that I have done in this area, a little bit more about my specific expertise; I am a quantitative researcher, though I do draw extensively on Pacific knowledges, which does put me in that unique position of doing culturally-informed quantitative research. My PhD and masters focused on psychometrics. Psychometrics is -- I'm trying to explain this in a way that is --

Q. Please explain.

A.

I feel like I'm giving a lecture. If I wanted to measure weight I would get out my scales and I would measure your weight. If I wanted to measure the distance between us, I would get out my ruler and I would measure that. If I wanted to measure your identity, I can't take out your brain and measure it that way, I potentially could, but the information I might get from that isn't really what we're looking for. Psychometrics is a statistical method that we can use to get an indication of what identity is.

Part of that is what I would call a latent construct. So it is recognising that there is something there that I can't directly measure. But what I can do is I can look at how you respond to things that are related to this thing that I'm trying to measure. So this is where we see things like identity scales or if you've ever done a survey where there's a 1 to 7 strongly agree, strongly disagree, these kinds of things.

What I do in psychometrics and what I have done is developed a measure of Pacific identity and well-being. So it asks people questions that are related to their identities, and looks at patterns within those responses as well. That is something called Exploratory Factor Analysis followed up by something called Confirmatory Factor Analysis. So it is looking at the patterns in ways in which people respond to these

particular items.

The patterns that I noted in the surveys that I conducted with people were very much reflective of the themes that we see in Pacific literature around what Pacific identities encompass. This includes our sense of belonging to our ethnic groups, to our communities. It includes the positive attitudes that we have towards our ethnic groups as well, which I have called in my own studies Group Membership Evaluation.

It also -- my studies also capture something that I have called Religious Centrality and Embeddedness which looks at the extent to which religion is intertwined with our Pacific cultures. I have also noted something called -- which I have termed "cultural efficacy", which looks at the extent to which someone feels that they are able to participate comfortably within a Pacific cultural context.

In addition to that is domains around well-being which in my research has focused on family well-being and also societal well-being as well. So our Pacific identities are constructed of many of these different things, of the ways in which we see ourselves belonging to groups, the positive attitudes that we have towards those groups, the extent to which we feel that we can participate within those groups, the extent to which we feel that our religions and our faith is intertwined with these groups, and the ways in which we feel supported and are satisfied with our families and the societies that we live in.

- Q. Can you explain, religion is such a big thing for Pacific people, can you explain what role religion plays just a little bit more?
- A. We would need to go a little bit further back into history before the missionaries came through the Pacific and introduced Christianity. So before then we had, and we still do today, we had a cosmology of beliefs, our atua, our gods of the sea, the skies. And they exist, they existed for many, many, many years and then as missionaries came through and they introduced Christianity to the Pacific and this was readily adopted, not readily adopted, over time it was adopted and incorporated into Pacific nations within the Pacific.

So what we saw was a fundamental shift, I would say, in Pacific cultures then where the values within a Christian religious framework were different to those to the values embedded within a Pacific cultural framework back in those days. And so we started to see a shift there. One example may be the ways in which views on gender changed, where gender pre-Christianity may have been more fluid, understood differently with fa'afafine, akava'ine. Gender was different. The introduction of Christianity saw a shift in the understanding of gender roles in the Pacific. So this is ways in which Christianity has had an influence and shaped who we are today.

And today, I would argue and would suggest many would argue as well, that our religions have
become so intertwined with Pacific cultures that we can't separate them anymore, even the
social structures that we have can revolve around religiosity, which I want to clarify is
different from spirituality as well. So spirituality is looking at how we are connected to
things that transcend who we are as people, and religiosity or religion would be the social
structures in which that spirituality can be performed. Both have influenced our Pacific
cultures.

A.

- In New Zealand, especially during the Dawn Raids, our churches -- sorry, I'll go back a little bit.

 In New Zealand, especially with the first migrants coming to New Zealand, our churches were pivotal, absolutely pivotal. They provided that village away from home where you could be connected with people who you knew, who you understood, and who understood you as well.
- And so the social role that religion and churches play in Pacific lives is absolutely vital and critical for us. And is also reflecting the ways in which we can't separate them anymore, they are so enmeshed together. In saying that, there is a growing proportion of Pacific peoples, when we see in the census, who do not declare any religious affiliation as well. So not all Pacific people are religious. Again, just highlighting there the diversity within our Pacific cultures here today. But religion still is impacting on people regardless of their religious affiliations or not.
- Q. We've heard from survivors who have shared about how their cultural identity has been stripped because, you know, they can't speak their own language. Can you explain what role does language play here?
 - Yes. I mentioned earlier that ethnic behaviours was a bit of a contentious area in understanding ethnic identity. And language is one of those perfect examples of that.

 I myself do not speak Māori, Cook Island Māori, but I identify as Cook Island. If we were to flip that a little bit. If I could speak French, that doesn't mean that I would necessarily identify as French. So what this is showing is that yes, language is very intricately tied to our identities, and quite often we can view language as identity. And this is a controversial issue within Pacific research spaces as well. When we -- I have heard people say that, I will use Samoans as an example, that you can't be Samoan if you can't speak the language. And I understand that sentiment. There is knowledge located within languages that can't be translated into English. There is an essence that belongs to them. And it is why we are taking great care to protect our languages.

But that doesn't mean that those who are, for whatever reason, are unable to speak their language,

1	are unable to identify with their ethnic groups either. Part of why that is so important to
2	recognise is that language is something that belongs to us but it is also something that can
3	be taken from us. We saw here in Aotearoa through colonisation where Māori were forced
4	not to speak their language in schools. We also see that various ways in Pacific, in the
5	Pacific nations as well, where there is growing prominence of English speaking too.
6	I believe in New Zealand 95% of Cook Island people who are born in New Zealand are unable to
7	speak their language. And compare that to Samoans, I believe it is about 60% of
8	New Zealand-born Samoans can speak their language. So we need to be mindful of the
9	nuances that we see across the Pacific and the relationship between languages and identity
10	as well.
11	But language is an important marker of identity, but it is not all that makes up our identity as well.
12	I say this with a bit of caution. I don't want to give the impression that our languages are
13	not important. They are absolutely vital for us and they are vital for our continuation of our
14	cultures and of our histories, because we are an oral culture, our stories and our knowledges
15	are passed through our oratory. So we must protect those languages.
16	We must also be mindful that our relationships, or our personal relationships with our languages
17	are not uniform either. That people, through no fault of their own, have been disconnected
18	from their languages, and that there are critical periods throughout your development that
19	are easier to learn a language; usually during adolescence and perhaps into your kind of
20	later teen years. There is a, I guess what I would call a critical period for language
21	acquisition, where you have that time and space to learn your languages.
22	This does depend on your surroundings though. If you are in an environment where the languages
23	are not spoken, you're not going to pick it up and it's not going to be very easy to pick it up.
24	In New Zealand, the primary language of instruction is English. For many of our Pacific
25	peoples we have to be able to speak English. But all of New Zealand does not have to
26	speak Cook Island Māori. So there is a bit of imbalance there, I guess, in terms of the
27	values in which our society can place on different languages.
28	But again, it is important to note that it is not just languages that make up our ethnic identities,
29	there is our sense of belonging and connection to that. Which is why in my research that
30	I did I initially had the same ideas of language being a marker of identity. The methods
31	that I use in terms of developing items to reflect identity and language were very difficult to
32	kind of get out within the statistical methods I was using. And that was because a lot of
33	people who were unable to speak the language strongly identified with their ethnic group.
34	So it became a bit of a problem in what I was trying to do.

So what I did instead was lend from literature and research on self-efficacy. So self-efficacy, meaning the beliefs that one has to be able to do something. And so I drew on that concept and developed this area of cultural efficacy. So this meant that I'm not, I guess, taking kind of tick box approach to what it means to be a Pacific person that you have to be able to speak Cook Island, that you have to be able going to church; it is looking at the dimensions that we can connect to and how we vary along those dimensions. And cultural efficacy in that respect meant looking -- rather than saying you must speak a particular language to be Pacific, it's asking people how comfortable do you feel within a Pacific cultural setting. So that may mean perhaps if I don't speak the language I can still feel comfortable within that

Q. Just reflecting on language acquisition, we heard this morning from Ms TU, a Samoan survivor who shared about being adopted into a Palagi family. There was that real sense of disconnection and in her words discomfort. Something that you've raised in our previous talanoa is a phrase called "ethnic labelling". Can you explain what ethnic labelling is?

context so I can kind of score myself quite highly on that.

A. Yes. Again, I will connect to the evidence provided by expert witness Dr Seini Taufa who spoke about the ethnicity data, and I guess we can think of ethnic labelling as that tick box exercise of which ethnic groups do you belong to. These are the markers of ethnicity, which is -- I want to clarify, is something separate from ethnic identity. Ethnicity is -- can be understood as the -- a social construct that reflects the geographic and cultural heritage of a particular people. Ethnic identity is your sense and sense of meaning and sense of attachment to that. Our baby that was crying earlier, I could give that baby an ethnic label as a parent. But that does not necessarily mean that as that baby gets older that that baby is going to identify with that identity that I have given them, or with that ethnicity I have given them, in the same way that we might see ethnic identity.

So this is what I would call an ascribed identity, so it is an ethnic label that we give to others. So this is also recognising that identity is interplay between yourself and other people. So it does -- and ethnic identity context, it does start with us giving ethnic labels to our children. What we can often see is for -- and also for many Pacific peoples, is when there are those with multiple ethnic backgrounds -- myself, for example, I am both Cook Island and European. On a survey or whatever, I would have to tick two boxes, Cook Island and European. But it is -- that is my ethnicity. My identity is something different. My identity is how I feel and how I am connected to those boxes that I have ticked. I guess the labels force me to identify in a particular way. But my identity allows me to do so in a way that is more fluid and reflective of how I feel. So we must be careful that we don't conflate these

ethnic labels with your own personal sense of ethnic identity there.

- You've just mentioned that identity is fluid and I understand there is a concept called
 "identity fluidity", which you have touched on before. Can you expand on what identity
 fluidity actually means and the way that this relates to ethnic identity development?
- A. Yes. So our -- if we looked at the ethnic identity development process, or journey, it is not something that is consistent over time, it fluctuates as we try to navigate what that means for us. Even if you have a secure understanding of your own identity and what that means, these identities are multi-faceted. I will use our Pacific peoples as an example of that. When we first came to New Zealand we were perhaps identifying ourselves in terms of the villages that we belong to or the churches that we belong to. We weren't identifying ourselves as Cook Island or Samoan. But once we came to New Zealand, we were labelled Pacific Islanders. So that was something that was given to us. And it is also something that we have adopted in some sense, either through necessity or through the ways in which our socialisation and experiences in this country have forced us to as well.
 - Those initial migrants that came to New Zealand were labelled as Pacific Islanders despite that they were perhaps not viewing themselves in that way. But they were all sharing a common experience of trying to acculturate to New Zealand society. They had children, their children were born into a context where their experiences were going to be very, very similar to them.
 - Through very good intentions, many Pacific parents encouraged English speaking for their children as what they saw as a way to survive New Zealand society. New Zealand viewed us as a single community of Pacific Islanders, despite us knowing very well that we have different histories, languages that make up this diverse group of who we are.
 - And so our subsequent generations were born into this context where they had more in common with each other than what they had in common with the generations that came before them. And so they were developing this broader identity, Pacific identity which spoke to the common experiences that they were having. At the same time they knew that they are Niuean, or that you are also Cook Island, or that you are Samoan. So what we are seeing here is a multi-layered ethnic identity, where sometimes you are Cook Island, sometimes you are Pacific, it really depends on where you are.
 - Today I am Cook Island, at the University of Auckland I am Pacific. Why? Because there is not many of us in my faculty. So there is strength in our numbers. And the way in which we can connect more easier is by recognising that we are Pacific. So we have a stronger voice. We can access more resources as a wider collective rather than a constellation of smaller

1	collectives. So that stronger relationship between who we all are does serve a good purpose
2	for us. It does come at the expense of losing sometimes that specific nuance that gives us
3	that uniqueness of what makes each of us special in our own ways.
4	So identity fluidity can refer to the ways in which our identity changes depending on the context in
5	which we are in. Some aspects of our identity will be more salient in some spaces than
6	they are in other spaces. Likewise, because identity develops over time, there may be
7	instances where your understanding of your ethnicity and your ethnic identity can change
8	over time. Even if I was to go back to the psychological perspectives, and achieved identity
9	does not necessarily mean that one can experience confusion about what their ethnic
10	identity means to them either.
11	So there is a constant back and forth. Identity, as I said earlier, is a journey and journeys have
12	bumps in the road and they wind, they go up and down. And they don't have that
13	destination either. So that is one way in which we can see that fluidity. But we can see that
14	fluidity on paper as well. When we're looking at data, we can get the impression that
15	identity or perhaps ethnicity is a stable construct.
16	But what we have seen, and what has been evidenced by many of the survivors here, is that people
17	can be mislabelled. So we are if we were to follow that one individual across time, at the
18	various points at which they have their data has been entered into our systems, someone
19	has been Māori, suddenly they're Samoan, suddenly they're Rarotongan. Parents, when
20	they label their children, especially if the parents are of different ethnic backgrounds, the
21	way in which they label their children can differ as well. Mum might define her child as
22	Samoan, but dad might define his child as Tongan. The child is both Samoan and Tongan.
23	But depending on who I guess maybe depending on what mood dad is in today, he might
24	just tick the Tongan box or something like that.
25	As that child gets older and they grow in their understanding of what their ethnicity means to them,
26	the way they tick that box can change as well. They might tick Tongan and Samoan, or
27	they might just tick Tongan. It depends on what their experiences were like growing up.
28	As we saw with many of our survivors, some were disconnected from their families and
29	perhaps did not have that sense of connection and belonging to that ethnic label that they
30	may have to tick.
31	So in that instance, what we're seeing there is fluidity again on discrete data. So there's multiple
32	ways in which our identities can be fluid in both our understanding, but also in the official
33	statistics that we have about who we are as well.

Now, we're still continuing our journey, we've still got our Pacific hat on. I'm going to talk

Q.

to you about well-being, ask you about well-being and what that means from a Pacific perspective in psychology.

A. Yes, so when I was talking about identity earlier, I mentioned religion, senses of belonging and things like that. This is same -- the same ways in which we can conceptualise Pacific well-being as well. I have a slide that I would like to share that highlights Pacific models of health. So these models can illustrate the broader, holistic conceptualisations that people, that Pacific people or Pacific health theorists have about how we can understand what health and well-being can look like and can be understood from a Pacific perspective.

On the left-hand side is Fonofale. Fonofale was developed by Fuimaono Carl Pulotu-Endemann. He uses a fale as a way to articulate different dimensions of Pacific people's lives. The foundation of the fale represents family, the roof represents culture, and on this image there are four posts. Each post represents something as well. So one post represents physical health, one represents spirituality, one represents mental health, and the last post it says other, but that represents things like gender, education, employment, income and things like

that. Surrounding that fale is a cocoon that represents environment, time and context.

That fale, the way that it represents health and well-being is that the architecture of the fale needs to be in balance. If the foundation of the fale is weak, the rest of the fale can collapse, or the structure will be compromised. This means that to understand Pacific health means we need to understand the importance that families have, that if a family is experiencing hardship in any way, shape or form, that this can impact on their physical health, this can impact on their spirituality, this can impact on their mental health, this can impact on the ways in which they can express their cultures. So it is a representation of the holistic ways in which health is understood within a Pacific world view.

The one on the far right-hand side is Te Vaka Atafaga. It is a Tokelauan model of health developed by Kupa Kupa, who I believe he is in the nursing industry. He uses a vāka as a way to understand Tokelau models of health. Similar to the ways in which Fonofale represents a Samoan perspective, I guess. Kupa here -- one thing that I want to point out is something called 'inati, this is a Tokelau concept of social support. I'm not Tokelauan so I hope I do my explanation justice here. In Tokelau, 'inati is a cultural way of providing support for others. For instance, the example that is given in the publication of this framework, he talks about a mother who has lost her husband, her husband has died, and so the rest of the village will provide for her and the children as well. That culturally embedded way of supporting her is called 'inati. And it is something that is part of their cultural practices, it is not something that is questioned, it is just what is done.

In the middle there is Fonua, a Tongan model of health developed by Sione Tu'itahi within the
Health Promotion Agency, and each of those circles represents a different domain, very
similar to Fonofale and Te Vaka Atafaga. In the middle you have your spirituality and as
you go outwards there's mental health, physical health, your community and the
environment and the different levels in which these need to be understood as well, at the
individual level, the family, local, national and global levels.

I highlight these three models of health here so we can appreciate the differences that exist within them. Fonofale is Samoan, it's slightly different to Te Vaka Atafaga which has its own unique Tokelau concepts within it, which is different to Fonua which has its own Tongan phrasings to describe what is going on there. But there is commonalities across them. There is the family, spirituality, the physical body, the communities that we belong to as well.

I will note that with Te Vaka Atafaga, family is represented by the lashings that tie the different components of the vaka together. Like we see up here on our fale, the lashings that keep the wooden structures together, Kupa articulates those lashings as family, as tying everything together.

MS VA'AI: Madam Chair, I'm wondering whether this is a good place to take a break.

CHAIR: Yes, I think so. We've got a lot to think about, so I think we all need to take a break, and have a think about it and we'll come back to finish your evidence in the second half of the afternoon. Thank you.

A. Thank you.

Adjournment from 3.29 pm to 3.50 pm

CHAIR: Welcome back everybody. And welcome back to you Dr Manuela. Thank you Ms Va'ai.

MS VA'AI: Thank you. Dr Manuela, so just summarising what you've said so far in your evidence, right at the beginning you discussed psychological perspectives which is a Eurocentric, Palagi way of viewing cultural identity and well-being. Now we have our Pacific hat on, our ei katu and you've taken us through Pacific perspectives of cultural identity, in particular Pacific models.

- 30 A. Yes.
- **Q.** So if we could just bring that slide up please Hene.
- A. Yes, so to finish with this part here, these three models are just a few of a wider array of Pacific models of health and well-being that are available and that exist within our cultural landscape. My own spin on this, I guess, is again related to vā. So my interpretation of

well-being for Pacific peoples and how this can be understood through vā, again the vā is the space between us, it's that relationship between us. We can extend upon these models that exist here by understanding how well-being can be reflected through the vā that you have with each of these different dimensions of life; what is the nature and the quality of the vā within your family relationships. We can extend that to what is the vā between you and your culture as well. Though culture is not a person, nor is it an object, it is something that we have a relationship with. Likewise, our mental health can be understood as the vā, the relationship that you have with your own mental well-being; your physical health as well.

- So my professional perspective of Pacific well-being, based upon my consolidation of these various models, is that vā for Pacific peoples can be understood as the totality of the quality of the relationships that we have across these various domains of life, recognising that people are -- we have relationships with people across each of those dimensions as well.
- Q. Your research touches on discrimination and racism as well within this context. Can you explain how it's linked to both well-being and identity?
- 16 A. Yes.

- **Q.** For Pacific people, sorry, Dr Manuela.
- A. Yeah. Earlier I showed a diagram that had the opposing effects of identity and well-being on -- sorry, identity and discrimination on well-being. What I have done in my own research is explore how that relationship looks like. What I have found, or the research that I have done in various surveys and the subsequent analyses that I have conducted with that has shown -- I have shown that ethnic identity moderates the relationship between discrimination and well-being.
- In this particular study that I did, I looked at how people who reported high levels of ethnic identity relative to low levels of ethnic identity on the identity measure that I developed. Those who experienced discrimination, people who reported higher discrimination, what I found was that they were protected from the corrosive effects of that discrimination. So the reports of their life satisfaction, their satisfaction with their physical health and their satisfaction with life were not affected directly at least by the impacts of that discrimination. That suggests to me that ethnic identity provides some kind of psychological resource that buffers you against the negative impacts of ethnic discrimination.

In addition to this, those people who reported lower ethnic identity scores, what I found was that they also reported lower satisfaction within their families, lower satisfaction with their

physical health, and lower satisfaction with their life over
--

- To me what this suggests is that ethnic -- sorry, discrimination has a more harmful effect on those who are still trying to understand what their ethnic identity means for them. That does not mean that those with a secure understanding of their ethnic identity are also not impacted by that discrimination, it does mean that their identity does provide some protection against that.
 - Furthermore, if we are to understand conceptually what identity and well-being is for Pacific peoples, in psychology perspectives we look at identity as its own concept, we look at well-being as its own concept, and we model the relationship between the two using various statistical methods.
 - In a Pacific world view, identity can be understood in terms of the vā between myself and other people. Well-being can be understood in terms of the vā between myself and across these various domains in life.
 - Because of vā, we can't conceptualise identity and well-being as distinct concepts within a Pacific world view. Like how I mentioned earlier that religion and Pacific cultures are so intertwined, this is the same for Pacific identities and well-being. Our identity relies on the quality of the relationships with ourselves and with our other people and our well-being relies on the quality of the relationships with other people as well. Because of this, I guess we can say that identity is well-being and well-being is also identity. Or perhaps we just don't have the words in English to articulate that as we can in a Pacific way.
- Q. I remember having a discussion with you in preparation for this and when I asked you, is there a Pacific word for well-being?
- A. Not that I know of. There is no distinct translation into well-being, but there are ways in which our languages reflect that how our relationships are fully embedded in our ways of knowing and our ways of being. If I was to say, if I was to greet you in my language, I would say kia orana. That means I wish you good life. That to me is well-being. It is reflected in everything that we do. We don't greet you as hello, we greet you by wishing you good life.
- Q. Meitaki. In your statement you provided you reflected on our Pacific survivor stories and you categorise them into different themes. I'm just going to walk you through these themes and wondering whether you could share your thoughts; firstly on family?
- A. Yes. So many of the survivors talked about how they were either completely disconnected and taken away from their families and put into other places. Others talked about how their family environments were of a different ethnic and cultural background to them. Others

1	spoke about how their family environments were unhealthy and not a good place for them
2	to be in.

- If we think about the ways in which we look at the importance of family, for instance like Fonofale, if the family unit is not strong, then the rest of the person is going to be compromised. And families are important for us. They are something through which we draw our strength. Which can in some instances be a double-edged sword because families are so important to us, if that family is not well, the damage can be horrific, as we have seen by the survivors. But that is also, in my opinion, where we can intervene. It is also where we can heal as well.
- Q. Another theme or category that you have mentioned or highlighted is culture. Can you please share your observations on that?
 - A. Again, many of our survivors talked about being disconnected from their cultures and their languages. If we think about how important it is for you to develop your own identity, how this is connected to other positive outcomes, your self-esteem, your well-being, not having access to your culture through no fault of your own, means that you have been cut off from that source of well-being as well. It can also be a way for you to connect with others.
 - As people, what we know in psychology is that one of the most harmful things to a person is isolation. And to be disconnected from something that connects you to other people in ways in which other cultures may not understand. You have been isolated through no fault of your own. We know people die in isolation and we know that those social relationships can form a protection there. Our culture is more than the way we view and understand the world, it is part of our health as well. So for many of our survivors when I reflect on their stories that they have shared, I feel their pain and their longing for wanting to be connected to that. Because it is through those connections that healing can be found and can occur, but it is through those connections as well in which you can be protected. My own research demonstrates that as well.
 - But I must also make note that some survivors, though on paper they have been labelled Pacific, for them their ethnicity is not a centrally defining aspect of their identity. And we must be mindful of that as well. To me this means that any Pacific-orientated approach to redress, what has happened to our survivors, must also take into account the diverse ways in which people are Pacific. There is no one size fits all for a Pacific person. The way that they orient themselves or connect to their ethnicity or their culture must be taken into consideration, even if that means that a Pacific way may not be for them.

I reflect now on the evidence provided yesterday by one of the survivors who spoke about his

experience of being present in this fale where he was contending with the fact that he discovered abruptly that he was Samoan, believing he was Māori. That he attributed a lot of the hardships and abuse that he experienced to Pacific peoples in the school that he was at. And I felt that being present in this space in some ways brought to light a lot of that trauma again that he had experienced. But he was also very careful to ensure that he did not believe that this was reflective of Pacific peoples entirely. But it is an example of how our care and approach must be nuanced to the individual needs of our survivors.

Q. Looking at identity and discrimination, what are your reflections on those two themes?

- A. Again, looking at the ways in which culture and identity can provide that protection for those who have been disconnected from their families and from their culture, what we're seeing is people who have been stripped through no fault of their own of something that they deserve. The discrimination that they have experienced as well, though at times, though they spoke of the discrimination that they experienced in the places in which they had been placed into care, this discrimination happens all over the place as well. And we must be mindful of that too. Many of them spoke about, even our survivor this morning who was adopted into a European family, her physical appearance denotes who she is.

 Despite her perhaps only knowing her ethnic background, her ethnic identity is still developing. Her journey is still continuing. Growing up she was treated in a particular way. They viewed her as a particular way, despite her not seeing herself in that way.
 - So when we look at identity, I don't want to put responsibility on to an individual. Individuals are within a wider system. So people, other people and societies have their role in the harm that they have done to their survivors as well. What our country has done in terms of the Dawn Raids, very overt forms of discrimination can give permission, for lack of a better word, for other people to treat us negatively, to discriminate against us. And so we need to be sure that while we are doing our best to provide that cultural support and safety for our young people as they are growing up, that we are also pairing this with societal shifts and attitudes towards inclusion and acceptance and embracing of the diverse peoples that call this country home as well.
 - **Q.** Having listened to survivor stories throughout the week, are there any other reflections or themes that you've thought about so far?
- A. Yes. One would be the intergenerational trauma that has been carried on. I was born in 1986 after the Dawn Raids. But my reality is shaped by that. This is the same for many other people as well. These events influence policies and we are all affected by those. So we must be sure that we are mindful of how this trauma is carried by all of us, but this

1	trauma can manifest itself in ways that are even more harmful on others. Our survivors
2	within their families, their families are also the products of the histories within this country
3	as well. I don't know the situations of these families, but I do know that these families were
4	in trouble for whatever reason that may have been, and this trouble has then been passed on
5	to the survivors who have done their best to deal with it as much as they can.

- So the intergenerational trauma is another theme that I have seen. Connected to that and to also relate that back to culture and identity, it is within our families that our identities and our cultures are passed on. Our culture is passed on between generations and when that family breaks down, that intergenerational transfer of knowledge and of identity is lost.
- **Q.** Finally, as a Pacific, as a Cook Island professional working in psychology, what is your hope for our Pacific people, our survivors in this space?
- A. One is connected to another theme that I would like to talk to, and that is about resiliency. So I have noted how strong each of our survivors have been to come, share their stories as hard as it can be for them to relive that trauma that they have experienced. Resiliency is about someone's ability to adapt to a situation that is harmful to them. It is a way to survive that and then to return back to who you are, to return back to your happiness. The survivors did not talk about resiliency directly, but they have shown it indirectly.
- Our Commissioners, I have noted that you have mentioned resiliency. I do have some critiques of resiliency as a thing that I hope you take into consideration as well. Part of this critique is recognising the racial dimensions of resiliency. There is an unequal distribution of power within this country. If people are to be resilient, we need to understand what that resiliency looks like and who is being asked to be resilient.
- We know that Pacific people experience discrimination and other hardships in this country and we expect them to be resilient against it. Our resilience is more than that. What does Pacific resilience look like? In some instances it looks like this. It is our people coming to you to tell you their stories, the ways in which systems have failed them, systems have marginalised them, and what they have done to try to survive that.
- So resilience also looks like resistance. It is resistance against these systems that fail us. It is us holding those in power to account to ensure that they are doing what they should be doing to protect. One thing with resilience, a critique that is shared by scholars in Māori research as well, is that if we are to encourage resilience, we do so at the risk of accepting these inequalities and these inequities. We do not accept them and our survivors have expressed that in many, many different ways that they do not accept this.
- So yes, individually our survivors have shown great resiliency and to our survivors I thank you so

much for sharing your stories. Individual resiliency is one thing, and collective resistance is something that is going to be paired with that resiliency as well. We must ensure that what they have gone through can't be done again.

Your individual resiliency to our survivors, I hope that you can take strength from our collective in seeking your redress to what has been happened to you. I also try to share my hopes and understandings of your own identities in ways in which you have been taken from them, in ways you can't control. You belong to us and we belong to you. It is hard to not get emotional about these things. As an academic and researcher we're taught in psychology to be objective to these things. But this is our community, we can't deny that who we are are not reflected in the testimonies of our survivors. We must also be authentic in what we do. We have to be sure that we present ourselves as whole people throughout this entire process just as our survivors have presented themselves as whole people as well.

So to our survivors, again I extend to you my warmest gratitude for you and your families and thank you for everything that you have shared with us and I hope to you all that you do find ways in which you can connect with who you are, because you belong to one of the greatest legacies in this world. Perhaps you don't understand it just yet, but there are people out there who will champion you regardless of your own experiences that you have gone through.

- **Q.** Meitaki ma'ata Dr Sam Manuela. I understand that you're happy to take questions.
- 20 A. Yes.

- **Q.** Thank you Madam Chair.
- **CHAIR:** Thank you Dr Manuela, I'm going to ask my colleagues if they would like to any questions.

COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora Dr Manuela, thank you so much for your enlightening statement today. Yeah, I thought -- there were so many things in there so I just don't know where to start, but I'll just focus on our terms of reference, let's think about that. I thought it was really interesting in thinking about the nature and effects of abuse and neglect, discriminatory statements on someone whose identity wasn't fully formed or robust in terms of ethnicity and the negative impact that those statements would have on that not fully formed ethnic identity. It's really useful for us to understand, to think about the nature, the full extent, nature of discriminatory statements for example. And I wondered --and also the flip-side of that about healthy, robust identity would mean that you are more resistant to those attacks, right, I wanted to hear that and you said that, that's great, it's great to know that.

I wonder too whether -- I'm not sure whether you were talking in your statement
about the stigmatisation of identities too, and how that can be internalised by the identity,
you know, by the person so that if you're called lazy, you know, so forth, then you actually
-- it starts to form part of your identity. Would you agree with that that these are some of
the effects?

- A. They're not uniform effects. We can see that sometimes. I have shown in some research that I have done that there can be societal attitudes that can be shared by Pacific peoples who may also hold negative views or hold negative stereotypes against Pacific peoples as well. Where the source of those attitudes come from, I don't know, but there definitely is people who are Pacific peoples who would hold attitudes that would be similar to kind of more dominant discriminatory attitudes as well.
- Yeah, I think that makes sense, if you're told something over and over again by the dominant cast or what have you, then there's a risk that it is internalised and it then becomes part of your identity, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. So that's another way of looking at the harm of discrimination on survivors, yeah?
- A. I think to that point as well, the way that it can -- that can manifest itself can be important to consider. So it could be things like trying to push children into a certain profession or trying to persuade them to -- "Why do you want to study this, you should be doing something else." And so it can be a recognition that our people don't do a particular profession. And so --
- 22 **Q.** Yes, yeah, the Māoris will do the trade and so forth.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- Yeah, exactly, yeah. And I did -- I think it's a really -- now moving to healing if you like, I think it's a really important insight that you had about how on identity, to be nuanced about it. Because if we look at it, say, at one end of the spectrum you've got a more sort of fully formed sense of identity kind of closed, if you like, and at the other a more cosmopolitan identity, see it as a spectrum, do you know what I'm talking about?
- A. Yeah, I would say that identity is -- has multiple dimensions, and that we can vary along each of those dimensions. And so a more psychological perspective might have a more kind of concrete idea of perhaps like a more global identity perspective of ethnic identity, but within Pacific peoples what we're looking at is these specific dimensions which relate to identity as well. And to what extent do people connect to each of those dimensions too. So that could mean that you could be highly identifying as a Pacific person, but not

- religious. And so what we can do there is look at the extent to which the dimension along religiosity is important to yourself concept as a Pacific person.
- I appreciate, because you talk about our multiple affiliations and identities, yet it seems to me that when it comes to -- you seem to be saying that ordinarily for Pasifika peoples or Māori, what have you, that would most likely prioritise their ethnic identity over the other parts, the other affiliations they might have?
- A. It depends on the context that we're in as well. So usually for ethnic minorities, because the ethnicity is much more salient and prominent, that tends to be something that becomes their defining feature in society. So it tends to be something that they would tend to identify more strongly, because that is the way that they're treated more often.
- Yes, I think so. And particularly if you're subject to discrimination, that that part of your identity would be more prominent than perhaps your religious or your class affiliations or your gender or so forth?
- 14 A. Yes, yes, but they're each important as well, because within our ethnic understandings, 15 there's those own nuanced understandings of things like gender and religiosity as well.
- To give you an example, when they had the US primaries for the US election several years back and Obama was running with Hillary Clinton, my wife, who's also Māori, was torn between whether she should support Obama or Hillary Clinton because it was race and gender, and I was obviously for Obama, and then eventually I think she moved back towards Obama again, but that -- because of the work that we do, the field that we do, we strongly self-identify as being Māori obviously, so it's a very strong part of our identity, but it shows just that even then --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 **Q.** -- there's complexities.
- 25 A. Yeah.
- 26 **Q.** Great, thank you so much for your evidence, really enjoyed it.
- 27 A. Thank you.
- 28 **COMMISSIONER STEENSON:** Tēnā koe Dr Manuela.
- 29 A. Tēnā koe.
- Thank you for your expertise today. It's really made sure that we've focused on a Friday
 afternoon, that's for sure. My question is, can you help unpack a little bit when you talked
 about the theory that one is born without a cultural identity and learns it, which for me is a
 difficult concept because of the idea of whakapapa and being born with that. And I think
 we heard from a survivor this morning who spoke to that, even though not being brought up

- in an environment where she learned about her culture, she naturally had this draw towards
 it. And I understand that with other survivors being disassociated with their culture due to
 a traumatic event subsequent to birth. So I kind of need to get you to unpack that theory a
 little for me please.
- Yes. So when we are born, what I was referring to specifically there is an ascribed identity.

 So the ethnicity which people will give to a child on any kind of official document or anything.
- 8 **Q.** That isn't necessarily their blood?
- 9 A. It could be.
- 10 **Q.** Could be, okay.
- 12 A. Yeah. Also recognising in terms of, I guess, just thinking about cognitive development as
 12 well, as a baby, babies, I don't even know what babies think, but they're definitely not
 13 thinking in terms of identity or culture as well. And when I say we aren't born with an
 14 identity, perhaps a better thing to say would be we are born into a culture that we learn over
 15 time, absolutely recognising that who we are is connected through our whakapapa as well.
 16 But the understanding that comes with that is something that develops over time of what
 17 that means to them.
- 18 **Q.** Thank you. The other question I had was around you talked about ethnic labelling being different from cultural identity, which was very useful. So does it follow then that ethnic labelling has an impact on someone's cultural identity?
- 21 A. Yes, absolutely, yeah. The labels that we use are things that we can identify with, and the language that we have to articulate a particular identity that we have as well.
- 23 **Q.** Because I think we've seen lots of evidence of that from being wrongly labelled.
- A. Yes, absolutely, yeah. And I guess what we risk with conflating ethnicity and identity is 24 that if we look at the statistics and we see ethnic disparities in, say, health outcomes, if 25 we're conflating ethnicity and identity, we could be representing those disparities in terms 26 of a deficit that belongs as part of the cultural understandings or part of the culture of a 27 particular group. Which is definitely not the case but it is something that can be implied if 28 we attribute, it's something called the cultural attribution fallacy where we attribute ethnic 29 differences that we see between groups as being explained by their cultural practices 30 without any evidence that it is cultural practices that have led to these differences that we 31 32 see.
- Thank you. Excellent. I also really enjoyed your korero around resistance not to accept what is wrong as an important representation of resilience, not just getting through a

- traumatic event and stabilising one self. I think that was really insightful. Thank you very much. Tēnā koe.
- 3 A. Thank you.
- **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Kia orana katoatoa Dr Manuela.
- 5 A. Kia orana.

- Q. Meitaki ma'ata for your talanoa this afternoon. Really rich insights that we're able to take away and soak up. Thank you for explaining so well the intricacies and how imbued culture, passion, faith are so interlinked, it's very hard to see one from the other. You explained how we can do -- you can measure individuals, right, in terms of well-being, but one of the things that we hear constantly, and it doesn't have to just be here in our space, but it's pertaining here to our space in this fale now, is collective; it's the collective well-being of the aiga, the kāinga, the magafaoa. Is it possible to actually measure that in such a way that we're able to then influence systems? Because Pasifika are always forced together with Māori into little boxes and we can't always see the flow of the narrative. Are you able to comment on that or...
 - A. There are measures of collective well-being that exist. I don't know a lot about them, I do know that they do exist. In terms of collective well-being, we would need to consider what are the indicators that we are going to use to reflect that, and do those indicators accurately reflect Pacific well-being. Quite often what we can and do see is things like those objective indicators, like housing, access to education, these are important aspects for collective well-being as well. How, if we look at home ownership rates amongst Pacific peoples we know that it is quite low, at the same time that rents are very, very high. So what does the living situation look like for multiple Pacific families, and are we -- how are we using that living arrangement as an indicator for something like economic well-being. You know, those are just the kind of collective objective indicators that we have that we know are related to health outcomes and education outcomes and things like that.
 - Other aspects of collective well-being do speak to a lot of our cultural aspects as well. To what extent are Pacific people able to practise their languages, to what extent have -- recognising then as well, you know, how endangered is a language. This is one way that we can assess or try to assess and understand collective well-being by looking at language use but being careful not to alienate people who are trying to learn the languages as well or recognising that there are multiple ways in which you can connect to your culture as well.
 - And we can -- even though they are individual measures, I'm involved in a number of studies that do survey populations, I work with populations. Some longitudinal studies as well, so we

can track individuals over time but also track groups over time as well. The importance of 2 these big datasets is vital, because they do provide information about groups, the more data 3 that we have, the more intricate we can model the specific relationships that affect, that are affected by the nuance that exist within groups as well. So it's important that we do 5 multiple levels, individual, community, national approaches to collective well-being as well. Noting that, I guess, taking a more theoretical and conceptual approach, that our 6 well-being is inherently relational. And so it is something that is going to be inherently 7 collective as well. 8

- How to measure that, I'm not sure. I do know that there is a paper by Fiona Cram, she is a Māori researcher, she's published a paper providing suggestions on how can measure Māori well-being, which I think would be quite useful to read. I can't remember it off the top of my head.
- That's fine, we'll find it. Just one more question please, Dr Manuela, and it really relates --O. a big issue that we've been tasked with and which you've heard about perhaps this week is the concept of redress, how do you right the wrong. And you've been very generous in your talanoa this afternoon about making sure we understand the nuances and don't just lump everyone together under a pan-Pacific label. This really comes to -- it's a policy question perhaps, you know, around is it enough to just say in a document, in a report, in any other way that might be culturally appropriate, or do you think that is wide enough to be able to afford a survivor a Pacific survivor who might be looking at it and say oh I can apply a Tongan framework to that, I can apply a Cook Island framework to that?
- A. I think there are ways. And I've seen documents before that have drawn upon multiple perspectives and infused them all into various ways, into the way that they do their methodologies of something, or say things around family violence prevention and intervention programmes that have drawn on a kind of broader framework, but also make reference to specific cultural frameworks within there as well. So I think there definitely is space. How that looks like I'm not entirely sure, but I think -- one important thing for me, I guess, and I'll use the Dawn Raids again, I think next Sunday is the Dawn Raid apology by the Government. Apologies are meaningless without actions, really. And so what does redress look like, I think, is the main thing to -- not the main thing, but a thing to ensure that the policies don't just exist on paper but they are fully enacted, yeah.
- Q. Much appreciated, fa'afetai, fa'afetai, fa'afetai lava.
- Meitaki. 33

1

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

34 **CHAIR:** I'm the lucky last. Really most of the things I wanted to say have been covered, but I just wanted to make it clear that we deeply appreciate the fact that you've brought your scholarship and your expertise and for me personally what you have done is provided frameworks, ways of thinking to help us properly understand what our survivors have been telling us. They have framed it from their own personal deeply held and deeply moving experiences, and we don't lose sight of those, but to have them brought up and -- I don't want to say codified but I'm going to say it. I hope you don't think I'm disparaging them, but just to give us a -- I think the word framework is probably better, really helps us to understand it, because we have to turn those accounts into recommendations of the sort that my colleague here has just talked about. Do you say "and any other appropriate way", or can we be more specific, more helpful.

In that regard I just wanted to thank you for two very important revelations, or important thoughts that you've given us, which we will take away and may even call on you again in future. The first relates to the implications for care of children, vulnerable adults in the future, and that's Pacific people. That is your reference to the vā that exists between family members, because what's happening when children and others are taken out of their own family environment, as you've pointed out in paragraph 65, the vā that exists between family members doesn't have the same meaning as the vā between a person and unfamiliar others. And I think that for me has been a very important idea that you've really put your finger on something, what goes wrong when you take a child from a home, when you take a disabled person from their home and place them in an institution. You're losing the familiar, you're losing the relationship. So in terms of our recommendations for care for Pacific people I think we must bear that in mind, it's very valuable.

The second thing relates to the redress. I think your emphasis again on the $v\bar{a}$, the merging of well-being and, what was the other bit, well-being and --

A. Identity.

Q.

-- and identity. Very important for people who will be giving the redress in future, that that is recognised, acknowledged, stated so that it can be well advanced. So those in those two really important areas, care and redress, you've given us really valuable insights for which I thank you. And don't be surprised if he come knocking at your door again in the future because I think we've got a lot more to learn from you.

So on that note, many thanks for the scholarship and work you've done to put into this paper which I think we should all read again very carefully and thank you for coming today.

A. Meitaki, thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Great to see you on a mission to decolonise the science of	
2		psychology bro.
3	A.	It's a long mission.
4	Q.	Go hard.
5	CHA	IR: On that note our first week of hearings is drawn to an end. We will start again on
6		Monday, I believe at 10 o'clock, am I right on that? Yes, so if you're interested please
7		return. Thank you to all of those who have come. I know not all of you have been here all
8		through the week, but one in particular I see has been Halo, thank you, he's always here.
9		With the turn-out of the Pacific communities to support and listen has been very moving for
10		us and we're greatly appreciative of it. And I think it's time that we closed and that we
11		get is Reverend Aptinko still here? Here he is, so please we invite you again Reverend to
12		close off our proceedings for the week.
13	REVI	EREND APTINKO: I want to close with the Gospel of John chapter 10 verse 10. "Thieves
14		come only to steal, kill and destroy. I have come that they may have life and heaven in
15		full." As Christian faith leaders and Pacific community leaders we are called to champion
16		what Christ has started; supporting, caring and providing for our people who live a life in
17		full. And as I said in the morning, compassion is not about saying it and thinking, but it's
18		about moving us to respond and giving, as Christ was moved and filled with compassion
19		when he saw people who were like lost sheep without a shepherd. So he responded by
20		healing and feeding the multitude according to the gospels.
21		Care institutes need to care with compassion to do that our Pacific community is in
22		need of a system composed by those who has the wisdom of God and are driven by
23		compassion. I relate the Pacific investigators and the working team to the good shepherd.
24		That you are now on the ground level, level with those who are suffering, connecting and
25		giving to those who are suffering to have a good life restored. Let us pray. [Prayer in
26		Rotuman]
27	CHA	IR: Thank you Reverend.
28		Hearing adjourned at 4.49 pm to Monday, 26 July 2021 at 10 am
29		
30		
31		
32		